STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Mary E. Spirit, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax : under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period Ending 2/29/80. :

ss.:

State of New York :

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of October, 1986, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Mary E. Spirit, Inc. the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Mary E. Spirit, Inc. 26 Mill Spring Rd. Manhasset, NY 11030

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 7th day of October, 1986.

met M.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174 STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Mary E. Spirit, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax : under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period Ending 2/29/80. :

State of New York :

ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of October, 1986, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Evan W. Godsall, the representative of the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Evan W. Godsall Brady & Tarpey, P.C. 90 William St. New York, NY 10038

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 7th day of October, 1986.

Janet M. Snai

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

October 7, 1986

Mary E. Spirit, Inc. 26 Mill Spring Rd. Manhasset, NY 11030

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Evan W. Godsall Brady & Tarpey, P.C. 90 William St. New York, NY 10038

STATE OF NEW YORK

1980.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition : of : MARY E. SPIRIT, INC. DECISION : for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 : of the Tax Law for the Period Ended February 29, :

Petitioner, Mary E. Spirit, Inc., 26 Mill Spring Road, Manhasset, New York 11030, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period ended February 29, 1980 (File No. 41790).

.

A hearing was held before Doris E. Steinhardt, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on November 20, 1985 at 10:45 A.M., with additional evidence to be submitted by June 9, 1986. Petitioner appeared by Evan W. Godsall, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Anne W. Murphy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

I. Whether two vessels purchased by petitioner were purchased solely for resale and therefore exempt from sales and use taxes.

II. Whether petitioner was a nonresident of New York State at the time it purchased the vessels.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Mary E. Spirit, Inc., is incorporated in the State of Delaware. Edward J. Brady, a New York resident, formed Mary E. Spirit, Inc. for the purpose of operating as a broker for the sale of boats, horses and real estate.

2. Since 1970, petitioner has sold or negotiated the sale of eight boats of various sizes and models. In most of these transactions, petitioner has made a profit.

3. On April 22, 1976, Edward J. Brady purchased a C & C 43 foot Golliwog, the <u>Mary E's Spirit</u>, of which he took delivery in North Carolina. The vessel was assigned to petitioner on May 2, 1977. Petitioner moored the vessel at Flagship Marina, Mineola, New York until it was delivered to Canada and sold to Bornoc Associates II on September 20, 1980. Petitioner had advertised the vessel as being for sale from September, 1979 through July, 1980.

4. On December 27, 1979, petitioner purchased a New York 40 foot yacht, the <u>Mary E</u>, of which it took delivery in Block Island, Rhode Island. Edward J. Brady made arrangements for the purchase of the <u>Mary E</u> and prepared a check from his New York account for such purchase. Petitioner chartered the <u>Mary E</u> out the following summer. In late 1981, petitioner brought the <u>Mary E</u> to New York State, where it is currently in dry dock at Flagship Marina. The vessel has been in dry dock in New York State for the past four years. Petitioner is currently trying to sell the Mary E.

5. The Audit Division observed petitioner's vessels, the <u>Mary E's Spirit</u> and the <u>Mary E</u>, at Flagship Marina. Since the boats were registered in Delaware, inquiries were sent to petitioner to determine if the boats were subject to New York sales or use tax. The inquiries, dated September 28, 1981 and January 5, 1982, were not answered.

-2-

6. On October 29, 1982, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner, in care of Edward J. Brady, for taxes due of \$13,107.00, plus penalty of \$3,276.00 and interest of \$4,381.00, for a total amount due of \$20,764.00. The amount of tax was computed based on an estimated sales price for each vessel. The sales price of the <u>Mary E's Spirit</u> was estimated by adjusting the vessel's value for U.S. Customs purposes. The sales price of the <u>Mary E</u> was estimated based on the footage of the boat (40 feet x \$2,000.00 per foot).

7. Subsequent to the hearing, petitioner produced evidence that the actual purchase price of the Mary E was \$62,500.00.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the sales tax is a "destination tax"; that is, the point of delivery or point at which possession is transferred by the vendor to the purchaser or designee controls both the tax incident and the tax rate (20 NYCRR 525.2[a][3]). The delivery of both vessels took place outside of New York State. Accordingly, the transactions were not subject to the tax imposed under section 1105(a) of the Tax Law.

B. That section 1110 of the Tax Law imposes a tax on the use of property within New York State, unless the property has already been subject to the sales tax. The term "use" is defined by section 1101(b)(7) of the Tax Law, in pertinent part, as:

"[t]he exercise of any right or power over tangible personal property by the purchaser thereof and includes, but is not limited to, the receiving, storage or any keeping or retention for any length of time...of such property."

C. That section 1118(2) of the Tax Law provides an exemption from the imposition of use tax "[i]n respect to the use of property purchased by the

-3-

1 -

•

user while a nonresident of this state.... A person while engaged in any manner in carrying on in this state any employment, trade, business or profession, shall not be deemed a nonresident with respect to the use in this state of property in such employment, trade, business or profession."

D. That a corporation is considered to be engaged in "carrying on a business" within New York State if it carries on in New York activities preparatory to the purposes for which it was formed (<u>Matter of Paul Friedman, Wanderlust,</u> <u>Inc.</u>, State Tax Commission, January 17, 1986). Mary E. Spirit, Inc. was formed for the purpose of acting as a broker for the sale of yachts and other property. The activities of Edward J. Brady on behalf of Mary E. Spirit, Inc. described in Finding of Fact "4" constituted such preparatory activities. Accordingly, Mary E. Spirit, Inc. is deemed a resident of New York at the time of purchase.

E. That section 1101(b)(4)(i)(A) of the Tax Law defines retail sale as a sale of tangible personal property for any purpose, other than for resale as such or as a physical component part of tangible personal property.

F. That the language of section 1101(b)(4)(1)(A) exempting sales for resale from sales and use taxes "makes the legislative purpose reasonably clear to exempt only property then solely used for resale because 'any purpose' would include all purposes generally. The words 'other than' narrow the exempted purpose down to the singular. It would seem reasonable to think that using the property for resale and some other purpose or purposes would not carry with it the singular exemption created by the statute" (Jacobs v. Joseph, 282 A.D. 622, 625).

G. That the purchase of the <u>Mary E's Spirit</u> was solely for the purpose of resale. Accordingly, the transaction was not subject to sales or use tax.

-4-

H. That although the original intent of petitioner was to resell the <u>Mary E</u>, the resale has not occurred, and petitioner has utilized the vessel. The language of section 1101(b)(4)(1)(A) with respect to sale for resale must be interpreted narrowly, and once petitioner chartered out the <u>Mary E</u>, the vessel could no longer be considered to have been purchased solely for resale. Nowhere does the aforesaid statute either express or imply that the intent of the purchaser at the time of purchase shall govern with respect to whether a purchase is for resale; rather, the actual use of the property is the controlling factor. Therefore, petitioner did not purchase the <u>Mary E</u> for resale within the meaning and intent of section 1101(b)(4)(1)(A) of the Tax Law (<u>see Matter of</u> Naum Brothers, State Tax Commission, February 29, 1984).

I. That the subsequent mooring and dry dock storage of the <u>Mary E</u> at Flagship Marina in Mineola, New York constituted "use" within this State in accordance with section 1101(b)(7) of the Tax Law which was therefore subject to the tax imposed under section 1110 of the Tax Law. The tax due is to be recomputed on an actual purchase price of \$62,500.00 (<u>see</u> Finding of Fact "7").

J. That the petition of Mary E. Spirit, Inc. is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusions of Law "G" and "I"; the Audit Division is hereby

-5-

directed to modify the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued October 29, 1982; and that, except as so granted, the petition is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

OCT 0 7 1986

PRESIDENT COMMISS JONER

I dissent. I would Subtain Use Tax liability on the Many E's Spirit. Fractice Culu

	P	319	117	352		
RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL. NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)						
15-480-794	Pan Pan					
k U.S.G.P.O. 1985-480-79	P.Q. State and ZIP Code Manhabet, N.Y. 11030 Postage					
* N.S	Certified Fee			• 		
	Special Delive Restricted Del					
	Return Receip to whom and					
		dress of D	elivery	S		
PS Form 3800			· .			

1957 Aren

1.95 1981

P 319 117 353

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)					
* U.S.G.P.O. 1985-480-794	Sent to Evante Godsa Street and No. Drovdy + Tarpey, POSIGE MERCENCE St. Vew York N.Y. Postage	11 P.C. 10038 5			
7	Certified Fee Special Delivery Fee				
	Restricted Delivery Fee				
	Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered				
	Beturn Receipt showing to whom, and Address of Delivery				
800	Postmark or Date	5			
PS Form 3800. In					
1.1					

A