#### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

Dana Irving

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 12/1/80-11/30/81.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany:

Doris E. Steinhardt, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of February, 1986, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Dana Irving, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Dana Irving 3 Irving Dr. West Grove, PA 19390

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 18th day of February, 1986.

Tous & Stemharat

Anthorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Dana Irving

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 12/1/80-11/30/81.

State of New York:

County of Albany :

Doris E. Steinhardt, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 18th day of February, 1986, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon John T. Konther, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

John T. Konther Conboy, Hewitt, O'Brien & Boardman 100 Park Ave. New York, NY 10017

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Days & Semhandt

Sworn to before me this 18th day of February, 1986.

Authorized to adminaster oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

February 18, 1986

Dana Irving 3 Irving Dr. West Grove, PA 19390

Dear Mr. Irving:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
 John T. Konther
 Conboy, Hewitt, O'Brien & Boardman
 100 Park Ave.
 New York, NY 10017
 Taxing Bureau's Representative

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DANA IRVING

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 : of the Tax Law for the Period December 1, 1980 through November 30, 1981.

Petitioner, Dana Irving, 3 Irving Drive, West Grove, Pennsylvania 19390, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period December 1, 1980 through November 30, 1981 (File No. 42521).

A hearing was held before Arthur Johnson, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on May 22, 1984 at 2:45 P.M. The hearing was adjourned and was to be continued on a later date. In lieu of reconvening the hearing, petitioner submitted the case for decision based on the entire file and upon additional evidence to be submitted by August 15, 1985. After due consideration of the file, the State Tax Commission renders the following decision.

#### ISSUE

Whether the Audit Division properly imposed use tax on three standardbred racehorses used in New York State during 1981.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On November 26, 1982, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner, Dana Irving, for taxes due of \$5,455.00, plus penalty and interest of \$2,038.11, for

a total of \$7,493.11. Said notice was issued as a result of petitioner's failure to file a Report of Casual Sale as required by section 1133 of the Tax Law when he purchased the following racehorses: "Candelaria", "Delroy N" and "Roman Moon". The Audit Division estimated the purchase prices based on the value of the claiming race in which these horses were entered as follows:

Date Entered	Horse	Claiming Price	Track	Tax Due
October 11, 1981	"Candelaria"	\$30,000	Yonkers Raceway	\$2,475.00
February 2, 1981	"Delroy N"	22,000	Roosevelt Raceway	1,540.00
May 1, 1981	"Roman Moon"	18,000	Yonkers Raceway	1,440.00

- 2. Petitioner was a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

  However, he was engaged in racing activities at various racetracks in New York

  State during 1980 and 1981.
- 3. Petitioner purchased the horse "Candelaria" at the Garden State
  Standardbred Sales which took place on August 17, 1981 at The Meadowlands, New
  Jersey. The total purchase price was \$32,000.00. Petitioner argued that he
  purchased fifty percent interest in the horse and the other fifty percent was owned
  by Hugh Grant. However, petitioner offered no evidence to show he purchased the
  horse other than as an individual or as a member of a partnership. Records of the
  U.S. Trotting Association show that "Candelaria" raced on the following dates and
  at the follwing tracks within New York State in 1981 under petitioner's ownership:

August 26, 1981	Vernon Downs	
September 2, 1981	Vernon Downs	
September 9, 1981	Vernon Downs	
September 12, 1981	Vernon Downs	
September 19, 1981	Vernon Downs	
October 14, 1981	Yonkers Raceway	

4. Petitioner purchased the horse "Roman Moon" for \$13,000.00 on April 1, 1981. The horse raced four times at Yonkers Raceway during May, 1981. On June

- 9, 1981, "Roman Moon" was entered in an \$18,000.00 claiming race at Yonkers
  Raceway and the horse was claimed by Thomas Coleman from that race. On April 1,
  1981, petitioner was conducting racing activities at Roosevelt Raceway in
  Nassau county.
- 5. On November 18, 1980, petitioner purchased the horse "Delroy N" from Harness Breeders Sales Co., Inc. in New Jersey for \$6,800.00. "Delroy N" was entered in a \$22,000.00 claiming race at Roosevelt Raceway on February 2, 1981. No further evidence was presented with respect to the racing activities of "Delroy N". Petitioner, at that time, was conducting racing activities at Yonkers Raceway in Westchester County.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1110 of the Tax Law imposes a tax "for the use within this state...of any tangible personal property purchased at retail" unless the property has already been subject to the sales tax.
- B. That section 1118(2) of the Tax Law provides an exemption from the imposition of the compensating use tax "[i]n respect to the use of property purchased by the user while a nonresident of this state... A person while engaged in any manner in carrying on in this state any employment, trade, business or profession, shall not be deemed a nonresident with respect to the use in this state of property in such employment, trade, business or profession."
- C. That a person is considered to be engaged in carrying on a business within New York State and any locality if he races horses on tracks within New York State and the locality (Matter of Jaclyn Stable, State Tax Commission, June 5, 1981). Accordingly, petitioner, for sales tax purposes, was a resident of New York State when he purchased "Candelaria", "Roman Moon" and "Delroy N". At the time petitioner purchased "Roman Moon" (April 1, 1981), he was also a

resident of Nassau County but not Westchester County. Therefore, petitioner is liable only for the New York State tax (4%) on the purchase of said horse. The purchase price is adjusted to \$13,000.00. Likewise, petitioner is liable only for the New York State tax (4%) on the purchase of "Delroy N" since he was not a resident of Nassau County at the time of purchase. "Delroy N" would be subject to the local tax upon the subsequent use of the horse within Nassau County. The purchase price of "Delroy N" is adjusted to \$6,800.00.

D. That section 1118(9) of the Tax Law provides an exemption from use tax "in respect to the use of a thoroughbred, standardbred or quarter horse purchased outside the state and brought into the state, for the purpose of entering a racing event or events on which pari-mutuel wagering is authorized by law...the exemption...shall not apply to any such horse which enters racing events in this state on more than five days in any one calendar year." (as added by Ch. 604, Laws of 1981, effective July 28, 1981).

The policy of the Audit Division with respect to the imposition of use tax on race horses purchased by a resident outside the State and subsequently brought into the State for the purpose of entering pari-mutuel racing events is set forth in Memorandum TSB-M-80(12)S Revised. This memorandum provides examples which illustrate the proper application of the use tax where the same horse is raced in localities with different tax rates. The examples show that the local use tax rate of the locality in which the owner of the horse is a resident is not imposed until after the horse has been entered in more than five pari-mutuel races in that locality.

E. That "Candelaria" was purchased on August 17, 1981 and was entered in more than five pari-mutuel events (five at Vernon Downs and one at Yonkers Raceway) while under the ownership of petitioner. However, the horse was not

entered in more than five races at Yonkers Raceway and, therefore, petitioner is liable only for use tax at the New York State rate of  $4\frac{1}{4}$  percent in Westchester County pursuant to TSB-M-80(12)S.

F. That the petition of Dana Irving is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusions of Law "C" and "E"; the Audit Division is hereby directed to modify the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued November 26, 1982; and that, except as so granted, the petition is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

FEB 18 1986

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

# P 684 313 297

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

3-517	Sent to				
983-403	Street and No.				
* U.S.G.P.O. 1983-403-517	P.O. State and ZIP Code	919398			
U.S.G	Postage	\$ '			
*	Certified Fee				
	Special Delivery Fee				
	Restricted Delivery Fee				
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered				
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery				
Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	s			
PS Form 3800,	Postmark or Date				
era S	,				
PS F					

# P 684 313 278

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

1517	Sent to har T KANTAW					
83-403	Street and No. Albott D. Bru	40 CBnh				
.0.19	P.O. State and ZIP Code					
* U.S.G.P.O. 1983-403-517	Potter grap 14 1	is 1				
*	Certified Fee	, /				
	Special Delivery Fee					
	Restricted Delivery Fee					
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered					
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery					
Feb.	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$				
	Postmark or Date					
Form 3800,	• ',					
S Fo						
~						