STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

The Food Gallery, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision: of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law: for the Period 12/1/79 - 2/28/83.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 17th day of June, 1986, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon The Food Gallery, Inc. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

The Food Gallery, Inc. 215 West 29th Street New York, NY 10001

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 17th day of June, 1986.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

June 17, 1986

The Food Gallery, Inc. 215 West 29th Street New York, NY 10001

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

THE FOOD GALLERY, INC.

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund : of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period December 1, 1979 : through February 28, 1983.

Petitioner, The Food Gallery, Inc., 215 West 29th Street, New York, New York 10001, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period December 1, 1979 through February 28, 1983 (File No. 53873).

A hearing was held before Arthur Johnson, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on January 17, 1986 at 9:00 A.M. Petitioner appeared by its President, Richard Ferrugio. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq., (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether certain equipment rented by petitioner was for resale to its customers in accordance with the provisions of section 1101(b)(4) of the Tax Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, The Food Gallery, Inc., operated a catering business that prepared food and drink and furnished service personnel.
- 2. On May 7, 1984, as the result of an audit, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner covering the period December 1, 1979 through February 28,

1983 for taxes due of \$10,324.76, plus interest of \$3,015.93, for a total of \$13,340.69.

- 3. Richard Ferrugio, on behalf of petitioner, executed consents extending the period of limitation for assessment of sales and use taxes for the period December 1, 1979 through February 28, 1981 to June 20, 1984.
- 4. On audit, the Audit Division disallowed nontaxable sales of \$62,950.00 resulting in additional sales taxes due of \$5,142.60. A review of purchase invoices disclosed that petitioner failed to pay a sales or use tax on purchases in the following expense accounts:

a)	equipment rental	\$3,807.13
b)	office supplies	190.91
c)	marketing/promotion	433.12
d)	kitchen supplies	751.00
	Total	\$5,182.16

- 5. Following a pre-hearing conference, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Assessment Review which revised the taxes due on the above notice to \$5,182.16. Petitioner had substantiated the nontaxable sales disallowed on audit.
- 6. At the hearing, petitioner conceded that it was liable for the tax determined due on the purchases in Finding of Fact "4" (b), (c) and (d). The only unresolved portion of the audit was the tax assessed on the equipment rentals.
- 7. For certain functions, petitioner rented equipment such as tables, chairs, china, linen, silverware and glassware from different equipment rental companies. The equipment was delivered by the vendor directly to the location where the catered affair was to be held. Petitioner's employees set up and dismantled the equipment as required for the affair. The equipment was picked up the following day by the rental company.

- 8. Petitioner's invoices to its customers showed a separately stated charge for the equipment. Petitioner collected sales tax from the customer on the total charge for the affair including food, drink, equipment, service and extras, such as flowers. Petitioner usually added a markup to its cost for the equipment rental.
- 9. Petitioner took the position that its customers were the actual consumers or users of the equipment and that it merely rented the equipment for re-rental to the customers. The Audit Division maintained that the equipment was used by petitioner in performing its catering services rather than by the customer and that such use precluded any resale or re-rental of the equipment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1105(a) of the Tax Law imposes a tax on the receipts from retail sales of tangible personal property. The term "retail sale" is defined in section 1101(b)(4) of the Tax Law, as:
 - "(i) A sale of tangible personal property to any person for any purpose, other than (A) for resale as such... or (B) for use by that person in performing the services subject to tax under paragraphs (1), (2), (3) and (5) of subdivision (c) of section eleven hundred five...."

In order to qualify for the resale exclusion in the Tax Law, tangible personal property must be purchased exclusively for resale (Matter of Micheli Contracting Corp. v. State Tax Commission, 109 A.D.2d 95). The term "sale and purchase" includes rentals (Tax Law section 1101[b][5]).

- B. That section 1105(d)(i) of the Tax Law imposes a tax upon:
 - "The receipts from every sale of... food and drink of any nature... when sold... by caterers, including in the amount of such receipts any cover, minimum, entertainment or other charge made to ... customers...".
- C. That given the nature of petitioner's business, the tables, chairs, linens, and silverware, etc. were for use by petitioner in performing catering

services pursuant to section 1105(d) of the Tax Law and not exclusively for re-rental to the customer as such. Accordingly, the equipment rented by petitioner was not for resale under section 1101(b)(4)(i)(A) or (B) of the Tax (Matter of Java Caterers, Inc., State Tax Commission, February 6, 1985). Petitioner also must collect sales tax on the separately stated charge to the customer for equipment rental irrespective of the fact that the cost of the rentals is a taxable purchase to petitioner. (See 20 NYCRR 526.5[e]).

That the petition of The Food Gallery, Inc. is denied and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued May 7, 1984, as amended by the Notice of Assessment Review, is sustained. STATE TAX COMMISSION DATED: Albany, New York

JUN 17 1986

COMMISSIONER

P 319 372 769

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

Sent to The Fow & Get lerey July
Street and No.
2 I Showst 29th Shreet
P.O. State and ZIP Code
Now York Life
Postage

Certified Fee
Special Delivery Fee
Restricted Delivery Fee
Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered
Seturn Receipt snowing to whom.
Date, and Address of Delivery
TOTAL Postage and Fees
S
Postmark or Date

{