STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Don Pat Service, Inc. and Patrick Orlando, Pres.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision: of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law: for the Period 6/1/79 - 12/15/81.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Connie Hagelund, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of March, 1986, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Don Pat Service, Inc., and Patrick Orlando, Pres. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Don Pat Service, Inc. and Patrick Orlando, Pres. 89-04 159th Avenue Howard Beach, NY 11414

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

David Parkuck

Sworn to before me this lith day of March, 1986.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Don Pat Service, Inc. and Patrick Orlando, Pres.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision: of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law: for the Period 6/1/79 - 12/15/81.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Connie Hagelund, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of March, 1986, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon John J. Napolitano, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

John J. Napolitano 95-01 101st Ave. Ozone Park, NY 11416

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

David Carchups

Sworn to before me this 11th day of March, 1986.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

March 11, 1986

Don Pat Service, Inc. and Patrick Orlando, Pres. 89-04 159th Avenue Howard Beach, NY 11414

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: John J. Napolitano 95-01 101st Ave. Ozone Park, NY 11416

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

DON PAT SERVICE, INC. AND PATRICK ORLANDO, PRESIDENT

DECISION

for Revision of Determinations or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1979 through December 15, 1981.

Petitioners Don Pat Service, Inc. and Patrick Orlando, President, 89-04 159th Avenue, Howard Beach, New York 11414 filed petitions for revision of determinations or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1979 through December 15, 1981 (File Nos. 43559, 49391 and 54021).

A hearing was held before Daniel J. Ranalli, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on December 4, 1985 at 1:15 P.M. Petitioners appeared by John J. Napolitano, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Lawrence A. Newman, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Whether the Audit Division, using third party verification of purchases, properly determined petitioners' sales tax liability.
- II. Whether petitioners were properly subject to the fraud penalty for willful failure to pay sales tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. As a result of a field audit, the Audit Division issued three notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due against petitioner Don Pat Service, Inc. as follows:

Date	Period	Т	Fraud	Interest	Total Due
Date	reriod	Tax	Penalty	Interest	TOTAL Due
2/16/83	6/1/79-8/31/80	\$361,696.48	\$180,848.24	\$132,472.22	\$675,016.94
12/12/83	9/1/80-2/28/81	\$175,732.08	\$87,866.04	\$67,266.30	\$330,864.42
6/8/84	3/1/81-12/15/81	\$267,034.27	\$133,517.13	\$111,896.53	\$512,447.93

Identical notices were also issued against petitioner Patrick Orlando, President of Don Pat Service. Inc.

- 2. Petitioner owned and operated a gas station which sold only gas and oil. No repairs, other than of an occasional flat tire, were performed. On December 15, 1981, petitioner sold the business. On audit, the auditor found that petitioner maintained no books and records of any type. The auditor, therefore, obtained information on gasoline purchases from petitioner's suppliers. From June, 1979 through September, 1981, petitioner obtained all his gasoline from Getty Oil Company and from October, 1981 until termination of the business on December 15, 1981, petitioner obtained all his gasoline from Lou Halperin Distributors.
- 3. The information obtained from the suppliers indicated that petitioner purchased 8,401,361 gallons of gasoline during the audit period. The auditor applied average gasoline selling prices obtained from 10 truck stops around the state to the gallons purchased to arrive at taxable sales of \$10,271,859.00, less reported taxable sales of \$248,149.00, resulting in additional taxable

¹ For the sake of convenience, all references to petitioner will be to Patrick Orlando.

sales of \$10,023,710.00. Because of the large discrepancy between reported taxable sales and taxable sales per audit, the Audit Division imposed the fraud penalty. No other evidence of fraud was presented at the hearing.

- 4. Petitioner maintains that he never received the total number of gallons reported delivered by Getty Oil and Lou Halperin. He signed a delivery ticket each time gas was delivered, however, all of his tickets were apparently stolen and he did not attempt to obtain copies from his former suppliers. Petitioner presented no other evidence that he received fewer gallons during the period. He did speculate that the truck drivers were making deliveries to other stations but reporting his station as the delivery location, however, he was able to produce no evidence that this occurred. Moreover, he was never in arrears on his payments to his suppliers and he could not account for the fact that all the deliveries were paid for in a timely fashion.
- 5. Petitioner submitted his personal checking account bank statements which indicated he deposited approximately \$4,500,000.00 from cash sales during the audit period. This amount did not include credit card sales for the period.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That section 1135 of the Tax Law, in effect during the period in issue, requires every person required to collect sales tax to keep records of every sale and of the tax payable thereon. "Such records shall include a true copy of each sales slip, invoice, receipt, statement or memorandum...". Section 1138(a) provides that if a sales tax return "is not filed, or if a return when filed is incorrect or insufficient, the amount of tax due shall be determined by the tax commission from such information as may be available. If necessary, the tax may be estimated on the basis of external indices...".

"When records are not provided or are incomplete and insufficient, it is [the Tax Commission's] duty to select a method reasonably calculated to reflect the taxes due. The burden then rests upon the taxpayer to demonstrate...that the method of audit or the amount of the tax assessed was erroneous." Surface Line Operators Fraternal Organization, Inc. v. Tully, 85 A.D.2d 858.

- B. That, inasmuch as petitioner had no corporate books and records available for audit, it was proper for the auditor to resort to an audit method which relied on information supplied by petitioner's suppliers. Moreover, petitioner has failed to sustain his burden of proving that the amount of tax assessed was erroneous. There was no showing that the delivery figures supplied by Getty Oil and Lou Halperin were in error and petitioner's personal checking account statements, alone, do not explain the discrepancy between taxable sales per audit and taxable sales as reported. Mere speculation that the delivery truck drivers were delivering the gas to other stations is insufficient to meet petitioner's burden of proof.
 - C. That section 1145(a)(2) of the Tax Law provides:
 - "[i]f the failure to file a return or to pay over any tax to the tax commission within the time required by this article is due to fraud there shall be added to the tax a penalty of fifty percent of the amount of the tax due...".

The standard of proof necessary to support a finding of fraud requires "clear, definite and unmistakable evidence of every element of fraud, including willful, knowledgeable and intentional wrongful acts or omissions constituting false representations, resulting in deliberate nonpayment or underpayment of taxes due and owing." Matter of Cardinal Motors, Inc., State Tax Commission, July 8, 1983; Matter of Walter Shutt and Gertrude Shutt, State Tax Commission, June 4, 1982. The only basis for imposition of the fraud penalty put forth by the Audit Division was the discrepancy between reported taxable sales and

taxable sales per audit. The audited sales were admittedly estimates and, while such estimates are sufficient to sustain an audit, they do not suffice to prove every element of fraud. Since no other evidence of fraud was produced, the fraud penalty imposed under section 1145(a)(2) of the Tax Law is cancelled.

D. That the petitions of Don Pat Service, Inc. and Patrick Orlando, President of Don Pat Service Inc. are granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "C"; that the Audit Division is directed to modify the notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due issued February 16, 1983, December 12, 1983 and June 8, 1984 accordingly; and that, except as so granted, the petitions are in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MAR 1 1 1986

DECTDENT

. \

COMMISSIONER

P 319 373 559

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED

Postage

Return Receipt showing to whom and Address of Delivery

Return Receipt showing to whom Date, and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Postmark or Date

Return Receipt Showing to whom Date. and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Sample Return Receipt Showing to whom Date. and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Sample Return Receipt Showing to whom Date. and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Sample Return Receipt Showing to whom Date. and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Sample Return Receipt Showing to whom Date. and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Sample Return Receipt Showing to whom Date. and Address of Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

P 319 373 560

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTEGNATIONAL WAIL

AND ISER PROVIDED

STORY DO LITATO

Street and No ICLAT Court

P. State and ZIP Code

P. State and ZIP Code

Postade

Special Delivery Fee

Restricted Delivery Fee

Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivery

TOTAL Postage and Fees

Postmark or Date

PS Form