#### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 3/1/78-5/31/81.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany:

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of November, 1985, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc., the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc. 29 Moore St. Binghamton, NY 13903

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Darrid Parchuck

Sworn to before me this 7th day of November, 1985.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 3/1/78-5/31/81.

State of New York:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of November, 1985, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon David G. Stearns, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

David G. Stearns Stearns & O'Connor 507 Press Bldg., P.O. Box 1964 Binghamton, NY 13902

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Darrid Carcherch

Sworn to before me this 7th day of November, 1985.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

November 7, 1985

Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc. 29 Moore St. Binghamton, NY 13903

#### Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
David G. Stearns
Stearns & O'Connor
507 Press Bldg., P.O. Box 1964
Binghamton, NY 13902
Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

VALLEY WELDING SUPPLY CO., INC.

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 : of the Tax Law for the Period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981.

Petitioner, Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc., 29 Moore Street, Binghamton, New York 13903, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981 (File No. 36712).

A small claims hearing was held before Arthur Johnson, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 164 Hawley Street, Binghamton, New York, on December 20, 1984 at 9:15 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by April 3, 1985. Petitioner appeared by David G. Stearns, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (James Della Porta, Esq., of counsel).

### ISSUE

Whether cylinders used in delivering industrial gases to customers were purchased for resale.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc., is engaged in the sale of welding equipment and materials, industrial supplies and gases and other similar products.

- 2. On December 20, 1981, as the result of an audit, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes

  Due against petitioner covering the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981 for taxes due of \$8,194.06, plus interest of \$1,570.23, for a total of \$9,764.29.
- 3. Petitioner executed consents extending the period of limitation for assessment of sales and use taxes for the period March 1, 1978 through August 31, 1978 to December 20, 1981.
- 4. An audit of petitioner's books and records disclosed additional sales and use taxes due as follows:

(a) Mathematical errors	\$ 304.05
(b) Recurring purchases	1,027.69
(c) Assets	6,862.32
Total	\$8,194.06

At the hearing, petitioner conceded its liability for the taxes determined due in (a), (b), and \$892.96 of (c), above. The unresolved portion of the audit, \$5,969.36, represents the tax due on purchases of cylinders.

5. The cylinders were used by petitioner in delivering industrial gases to its customers. The customers were given a choice from among three alternative payment plans for the use of the cylinders, as distinguished from payment for the gas being delivered in those cylinders. The first such alternative was a per diem charge for the cylinder, starting with the first day of delivery to or pickup by the customer. The second alternative involved a straight relatively long-term rental of the cylinder, for periods of one year or more. The third alternative granted the customer the use of the cylinder without charge, for a specified short period of time (30 days) followed by a periodic use charge referred to as "demurrage".

The charge for the use of cylinders was invoiced separately from the charge for the gas. Petitioner collected sales tax from the customer on the cylinder use as well as the gas.

6. The charges for the cylinders for the years 1978, 1979 and 1980 under alternative one, two and three above in the aggregate comprised, respectively, 37.2%, 35.7% and 44.2% of the revenues derived by petitioner from the sale of the gas contents of those same cylinders.

Petitioner recorded the charges on its books and records under "cylinder rental income" with no distinction as to rental plan.

- 7. Approximately fifty percent of petitioner's receipts from cylinder usage are from the "demurrage" payment alternative.
- 8. The sales price of gases did not reflect petitioner's expense for purchasing cylinders.
- 9. The cylinders vary in size however, they were interchangeable among the three payment plans.
- were in fact for the rental of cylinders and that cylinders purchased solely for rental under these plans were for resale. The Division's position differed with respect to the demurrage arrangement (alternative 3) because a rental did not occur unless the cylinder had not been returned within the specified time period. Consequently, since the same cylinders were used interchangeably between the three plans, the Audit Division considered that the cylinders were not purchased exclusively for resale and thus held all cylinders subject to tax.

11. Petitioner argued that there is no statutory authority for the proposition that its purchases of cylinders must be exclusively for rental. The cylinders were acquired and placed in service with the express intent, and for the purpose of producing revenues through the plans described above. Petitioner took the position that this was a sufficient basis for the cylinder purchases to qualify for the "resale" exclusion under the Tax Law. In addition, petitioner argued that the Audit Division's position would give rise to a pyramiding of tax, rather than to avoid such pyramiding as provided in 20 NYCRR 526.6(c).

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That sections 1101(b)(1) and 1101(b)(4)(i) defines "purchase at retail" and "retail sale" as a sale or purchase of tangible personal property to or by any person for any purpose, other than for resale.

The term "sale" as defined in section 1101(b)(5) of the Tax Law includes rental or lease.

"A charge by a vendor to a customer for the retention of tangible personal property beyond a stipulated time is deemed to be a taxable receipt from the rental or lease of tangible personal property retained." [20 NYCRR 526.5(i)(1)].

B. That in order to qualify for the resale exclusion in the Tax Law, tangible personal property must be purchased exclusively for resale [Matter of Micheli Contracting Corp. v. State Tax Commission, \_A.D.2d\_\_, (3d Dept., March 14, 1985)].

Under petitioner's "demurrage" plan, there was no fixed charge for the use or rental of cylinders. The charge was conditional upon the cylinders being held for more than thirty days. As evidenced by Finding of Fact "7", petitioner collected revenue from demurrage charges, however, not all of the cylinders were subjected to such charge. A rental did not occur without a specified charge

for the use of the cylinder for the initial thrity day period. (Matter of Albany Calcium Light Co., Inc. v. State Tax Commission, 44 N.Y.2d 986).

Since all the cylinders at issue were used interchangeably by petitioner and revolved between the three plans set forth in Finding of Fact "5", they were not purchased exclusively for resale and were therefore subject to the tax imposed under section 1105(a) of the Tax Law.

C. That the petition of Valley Welding Supply Co., Inc. is denied and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued December 20, 1981 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NOV 07 1985

tom

COMMISSIONER

## P 153 387 682

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

8-014	Sent to David & Ste	uns	
U.S.G.P.O. 1984-446-01	Street and No.	nna	
P.O. 1	P.O. State, and ZIP Code Bld	, Y	
J.S.G.	Postage of Box 1964	<b>/\$</b>	
*	Certified Fee Center 1	4/390	?
	Special Delivery Fee		
	Restricted Delivery Fee		
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered		
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery		
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$	
1800,	Postmark or Date		
E S			
PS Fc	•		

## P 153 387 681

# RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

_		
5	sect alley Weldny	Sunde
* U.S.G.P.O. 1984-446-01	Street and the	777
0.	P.O. State and ZIP gode 74	13903
S.a.	Postage	\$
*	Certified Fee	
Ī	Special Delivery Fee	
Ī	Restricted Delivery Fee	
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered	
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$
8	Postmark or Date	•
E	•	
ē		
S	,	