STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Kin Lee Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. : AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/76 - 8/31/80. :

ss.: County of Albany :

State of New York :

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of May, 1985, he served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Kin Lee,Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Kin Lee Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. c/o Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott Street New York, NY 10013

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 23rd day of May, 1985.

David Garchuck

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition : of Kin Lee : Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc.

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/76 - 8/31/80.

State of New York : ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of May, 1985, he served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Casimir F. Sojka, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott St., Room 202 New York, NY 10013

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 23rd day of May, 1985.

David Garchuck

:

:

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174 AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

May 23, 1985

Kin Lee Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. c/o Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott Street New York, NY 10013

Dear Mr. Lee:

Please take notice of the decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott St., Room 202 New York, NY 10013 Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Yuk Eng Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. : AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/76 - 8/31/80.

State of New York : ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of May, 1985, he served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Yuk Eng,Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Yuk Eng Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. c/o Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott Street New York, NY 10013

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 23rd day of May, 1985.

David barchurk

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In	the Matt	er of the	Petition	:
		of		
		Yuk Eng		:
Officer	of Dial	Auto Leas	ing System,	Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the : Period 6/1/76 - 8/31/80.

State of New York : ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of May, 1985, he served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Casimir F. Sojka, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott St., Rm. 202 New York, NY 10013

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 23rd day of May, 1985.

David Carchuck

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

May 23, 1985

Yuk Eng Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. c/o Casimir F. Sojka 80 Mott Street New York, NY 10013

Dear Mr. Eng:

Please take notice of the decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Casimir F. Sojka
80 Mott St., Rm. 202
New York, NY 10013
Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Metter of the Detition	:			
In the Matter of the Petition	:			
of				
YUK ENG	:			
Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc.	:			
for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29	:			
of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1976 through August 31, 1980.				
,,,,,,	.:			
In the Matter of the Petition	:			
of	:			
KIN LEE Officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc.	:			
	:			
for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29	•			
of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1976	•			
through August 31, 1980.	:			

Petitioners, Yuk Eng and Kin Lee, c/o Casimir F. Sojka, Esq., 80 Mott Street, New York, New York 10013, filed petitions for revision of determinations or for refunds of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1976 through August 31, 1980 (File Nos. 33222 and 33691).

DECISION

A formal hearing was commenced before Daniel J. Ranalli, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on June 11, 1984 at 1:15 P.M. and continued to conclusion at the same location on December 3, 1984 at 1:30 P.M., with additional evidence to be submitted by January 3, 1985. Petitioners appeared by Casimir F. Sojka, Esq.

The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Lawrence A. Newman, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioners are liable for sales and use taxes due from Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On February 13, 1981, the Audit Division issued a Notice and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner Kin Lee, as an officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc., in the amount of \$47,725.78, plus penalty of \$9,582.70 and interest of \$13,869.88, for a total due of \$71,178.36 for the period June 1, 1976 through August 31, 1980. On the same date, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner Kin Lee, as an officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc., in the amount of \$14,367.96, plus penalty of \$3,368.94 and interest of \$3,311.36, for a total due of \$21,048.26 for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1980. On the same date, the Audit Division issued identical notices against petitioner Yuk Eng, as an officer of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc.

2. Petitioners were planning to purchase the stock of Dial Auto Leasing System, Inc. ("Dial") in November, 1977. The transfer did not take place due to outstanding judgments, tax liabilities and liens against the business; however, petitioners actually took over the running of the operation in February, 1978 with the understanding that the prior owner of Dial, one Gerald Wren, would clear up the outstanding liabilities. The stock of Dial was held in escrow pending the clearing up of the debts. The debts were never cleared up and petitioners never received the Dial stock. Petitioners ran the business

-2-

from February, 1978 until sometime during the summer of 1980. At that time the business was sold. By whom and to whom the business was sold is completely unclear from the record; however, it is clear that petitioners were persons required to collect sales tax for Dial during the period February 1, 1978 through August 31, 1980. Prior to that time, Gerald Wren was the person required to collect tax.

3. Beginning in August, 1979, petitioners began making payments on the outstanding sales tax liability of Dial. Between August 13, 1979 and January 18, 1980, petitioners remitted ten checks totalling \$20,861.32 to the Audit Division in payment of Dial's sales tax liability. Additionally, petitioners remitted checks in the amounts of \$6,274.00, \$17,200.00 and \$28,000.00 dated September 23, 1980, December 3, 1980 and February 7, 1983, respectively. The total of all payments on Dial's sales tax liability was \$72,335.32.

4. By memorandum dated October 8, 1982, the Audit Division stated that the \$17,200.00 payment satisfied Dial's liability as reflected in notice S810126721C issued against the corporation and that any corresponding officer notices should be cancelled. There were two officer notices which corresponded to notice S810126721C issued against Dial; these were the notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due issued against petitioners on February 13, 1981 as discussed in Finding of Fact "1". The \$17,200.00 payment in satisfaction of notice S8101267721C left \$55,135.32 in payments to be applied to the outstanding sales tax liability of Dial for the periods during which petitioners were liable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That section 1133(a) of the Tax Law provides, in part, that every person required to collect the taxes imposed under the Sales Tax Law is also personally liable for the tax imposed, collected, or required to be collected under such law. Section 1131(1) of the Tax Law defines "persons required to

-3-

collect tax" as used in section 1133(a) to include any officer or employee of a corporation, or a dissolved corporation, who as such officer or employee is under a duty to act for the corporation in complying with any requirement of the Sales Tax Law.

B. That, inasmuch as petitioners took over the operations of Dial on February 1, 1978, they were persons required to collect sales tax for the period February 1, 1978 through May 31, 1980 regardless of whether they actually received the stock and became officers of Dial. For the period prior to February 1, 1978, they were not persons required to collect tax and were not, therefore, personally liable for the tax liability of Dial.

C. That, in light of the Audit Division's memorandum discussed in Finding of Fact '4", the two notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due issued February 13, 1981 are cancelled. The remaining \$55,135.32 in payments made by petitioners are to be applied to the outstanding liability of Dial for the period February 1, 1978 through August 31, 1980, the period for which petitioners are personally liable.

D. That the petitions of Yuk Eng and Kin Lee are granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "C"; that the Audit Division is directed to cancel the notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due issued February 13, 1981 and modify the notices and demands issued the same date accordingly; and that, except as so granted, the petitions are in all other respects denied.

MAY 23 1985

STATE TAX COMMISSION

de avela PRESIDE COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

-4-

P 693 169 835

- ·

- jez

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

	(See Reverse)	
* U.S.G.P.D.1983-403-517	Sentro Street and Walland and P.O., State and ZIR code,	ter ber
* U.S.G.P	Postage Certified Fee	N/B
	Special Delivery Fee	
	Restricted Delivery Fee	
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered	
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	
Feb.	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	Postmark or Date	

169 836 Ρ 93

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL									
	(See Reverse)								
-517	Sent to semuer.	Sype							
83-403	Street anono. Mutt St R.	naiz							
0.19	P.O., State and ZIP Code 11/1	1013							
+ U.S.G.P.O. 1983-403-517	Postage	\$							
+ 03	Certified Fee								
	Special Delivery Fee								
	Restricted Delivery Fee								
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered								
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery								
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	s							
800,	Postmark or Date								
rm 3									
S Fc									