STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as Officer for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the

ss.:

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

:

:

:

State of New York : County of Albany :

Period 10/6/75-5/31/81.

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of November, 1985, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as Officer, the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as Officer 62 West 48th St. New York, NY 10020

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 7th day of November, 1985.

David Barchurk

Yaco luni Authorized to administer oaths

pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as Officer

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 10/6/75-5/31/81.

State of New York : ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of November, 1985, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Murray Appleman, the representative of the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Murray Appleman 225 Broadway New York, NY 10007

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 7th day of November, 1985.

Danis Carchuck

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174 AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

•

.

٠

:

٠

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

November 7, 1985

Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as Officer 62 West 48th St. New York, NY 10020

Gentlemen:

.

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Murray Appleman
225 Broadway
New York, NY 10007
Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

BITONI RESTAURANT, INC. and WILLIAM DURANDO, AS OFFICER

for Revision of Determinations or for Refunds : of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period October 6, 1975 : through May 31, 1981.

Petitioners, Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as officer, 62 West 48th Street, New York, New York 10020, filed petitions for revision of determinations or for refunds of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period October 6, 1975 through May 31, 1981 (File Nos. 42034 and 42035).

:

:

:

:

DECISION

A hearing was held before Daniel J. Ranalli, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 14, 1985 at 9:15 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by July 17, 1985. Petitioners appeared by Murray Appleman, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Kevin A. Cahill, Esq., of counsel).

### ISSUE

Whether the Audit Division properly determined petitioners' additional sales tax due.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On March 19, 1982, as the result of a field audit, the Audit Division issued four notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due against petitioners, Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. ("Bitoni") and William Durando, as officer of Bitoni, as follows:

Notice No.	Petitioner	Period	Tax	Penalty	Interest	<u>Total</u>
S820319297M S820319298M	Bitoni Bitoni	10/6/75-2/28/79 3/1/79-5/31/81	\$108,649.52 \$56,164.34	\$21,968.14 -0-	\$60,809.00 \$ 8,627.00	\$191,426.66 \$ 64,791.34
S820319299M	Durando	10/6/75-2/28/79	\$106,649.52	\$21,648.14	\$59,284.41	\$187,582.07
S820319300M	Durando	3/1/79-5/31/81	\$ 55,686.88	-0-	\$ 8,563.09	\$ 64,249.97

On June 22, 1982, notice numbers S820319298M and S820319300M were cancelled having been superseded by two additional notices issued May 20, 1982 as follows:

Notice No.	Petitioner	Period	Tax	Penalty	Interest	Total
S820520428M	Bitoni	3/1/79-5/31/81	\$56,164.34	\$12,408.98	\$11,489.39	\$80,062.71
S820520429M	Durando	3/1/79-5/31/81	\$55,686.88	\$12,298.77	\$11,399.84	\$79,385.49 <sup>⊥</sup>

2. Petitioner William Durando, as president of Bitoni, executed consents extending the period of limitation for assessment of sales and use taxes due for the period March 1, 1978 through February 28, 1981 to March 20, 1982.

3. Bitoni operates a fast food restaurant which sells pizza, sandwiches, rolls, coffee, tea, soda, beer and wine. Bitoni did not retain any original source sales documents such as guest checks or cash register tapes so that in computing its sales tax returns, Bitoni's accountant would add Bitoni's bank deposits to its cash payroll and divide by 108 percent to determine sales and sales tax collected.

4. On audit, the auditor, after checking records of the Department of Health, the State Liquor Authority and the Division of Corporations of the Department of State, determined that Bitoni had originally been incorporated on October 6, 1975. Pama Food, Inc. ("Pama") had been operating on the premises prior to Bitoni and the lease was transferred from Pama to Bitoni on October 16,

-2-

<sup>1</sup> The discrepancy between the amount assessed against Bitoni and the amount assessed against William Durando results from a use tax assessment which was assessed against Bitoni but not Mr. Durando. The use tax is not in issue.

1975. Mr. Durando had been the manager of Pama for three years prior to the transfer and then became president of Bitoni. Bitoni purchased the business from Pama for \$20,000.00 plus the assumption of two existing chattel mortgages with a combined balance of \$118,000.00. Bitoni applied for a liquor license on June 30, 1976 and for a permit from the Department of Health on August 3, 1976. The latter permit was issued on September 30, 1976. Bitoni did not register as a sales tax vendor nor begin filing sales tax returns until the period ended May 31, 1978.

5. The auditor requested that petitioners' accountant supply him with all the available books and records. The only records available were some sales tax returns, bank statements and cancelled checks. The auditor analyzed purchases by check for the period February 1, 1979 through December 31, 1980. Based on this analysis, he determined that average monthly food purchases by check were \$1,204.53, excluding purchases of pizza ingredients. The average monthly figure was multiplied by 39, the number of months in the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981, to arrive at non-pizza food purchases by check for said period of \$46,976.67. The auditor requested petitioners to keep an account of cash purchases of food for the month of May, 1981. Cash purchases for May were \$4,091.22, exclusive of pizza ingredients. This figure was also multiplied by 39 to determine non-pizza food purchases by cash for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981 of \$159,557.98. The combined check and cash purchases of non-pizza food items were marked up by 181 percent based on a Dun and Bradstreet retail business table for restaurant businesses with an annual sales volume of \$250,000.00 to \$500,000.00. This resulted in adjusted taxable food sales of \$580,361.20 for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981.

-3-

6. To determine pizza sales, the auditor analyzed flour purchases for May, 1981 and determined that petitioners purchased 3,500 pounds of flour per month. Petitioners informed the auditor that one pound of flour was used per pizza and each pizza had eight slices which sold for \$.85 per slice. At the rate of 3,500 pizzas per month, monthly sales were estimated to be \$23,800.00 resulting in \$928,200.00 in pizza sales for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981. The combined pizza sales and other food sales totalled \$1,508,561.24 for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981. This amount was compared to taxable sales reported of \$552,772.00, resulting in additional taxable sales of \$955,789.00.

7. For the period October 6, 1975 through February 28, 1978, when Bitoni was not registered, and did not file sales tax returns or maintain books and records, the auditor determined gross sales by using the average adjusted taxable sales for the period March 1, 1978 through May 31, 1981. This resulted in average gross sales per quarter of \$116,044.00 and total gross sales unreported for the period October 6, 1975 through February 28, 1978 of \$1,073,407.00. This amount was combined with gross sales for the later period resulting in \$2,029,205.00 in additional taxable sales for the period October 6, 1975 through May 31, 1981. This resulted in sales tax due of \$162,336.40.

8. Petitioners made allegations to the effect that Bitoni may not have been in business during the period prior to March 1, 1978; however, petitioners offered no evidence in any form to refute the findings made by the auditor. Petitioners also argued that Bitoni's records were sufficient to conduct a complete audit and that to require more would be arbitrary and capricious.

9. Along with their brief, petitioners submitted proposed findings of fact, all of which have been incorporated herein except for number 1 which was

-4-

irrelevant, number 11 which was irrelevant with respect to Pama's sales tax identification number and filing record, and number 13 which was not supported by the evidence.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That a "...vendor is obligated to maintain records of his sales for audit purposes (Tax Law, §1135), and the State, when conducting an audit, must determine the amount of tax due 'from such information as may be available' but '[i]f necessary, the tax may be estimated on the basis of external indices' (Tax Law, §1138, subd. [a], par[1])." Korba v. New York State Tax Commission, 84 A.D.2d 655, 656. Exactness in determining the amount of sales tax liability is not required where it is the petitioner's own failure to maintain proper records which necessitates the use of external indices. <u>Markowitz v. State Tax Commission</u>, 54 A.D.2d 1023 aff'd 44 N.Y.2d 684.

B. That petitioners had no guest checks, cash register tapes, purchase invoices or any other source documents from which the Audit Division could determine petitioners' sales tax liability. Therefore, resort to a test period and markup based on external indices was warranted. Cancelled checks and bank statements alone do not constitute adequate records with which to conduct a complete audit. Additionally, petitioners produced no evidence, either in the form of testimony or documentation, to refute the audit findings or to show that Bitoni was not in business prior to March 1, 1978; thus, they have not met their burden of proving that the audit was erroneous.

-5-

C. That the petitions of Bitoni Restaurant, Inc. and William Durando, as officer, are denied and the notices of determination and demands for payment of sales and use taxes due issued March 19, 1982 and May 20, 1982 are sustained. DATED: Albany, New York STATE TAX COMMISSION

NOV 07 1985

Cecer ( PRESIDENT

den olmg COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

# P 153 387 635

# **RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL**

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse) \* U.S.G.P.O. 1984-446-014 Sèn Stree Ρ Postage s Certified Fee Special Delivery Fee Restricted Delivery Fee Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982 **TOTAL Postage and Fees** \$ Postmark or Date

### P 153 387 634

No. -

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

	(See Reverse)					
6-014	Sontani Lestauent In.					
84-44	Street Aurancio					
* U.S.G.P.O. 1984-	P.O. State ana ZIP Cooling St					
	Porte Unit, My10	138				
	Certified Fee					
	Special Delivery Fee					
	Restricted Delivery Fee					
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered					
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery					
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$				
800,	Postmark or Date					
т З	*	<i>,</i>				
S Fo						
ď						