## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

December 14, 1984

San Francisco Plum Corp. and John Farro c/o Miller, Hershy & Co. 500 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10036

#### Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Alan Greer AND
Miller, Hershy & Co.
500 Fifth Ave.
New York, NY 10036
Taxing Bureau's Representative

Alan Greer, Esq. 419 Park Avenue South Room 506 New York, NY 10016

### STATE OF NEW YORK

## STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of San Francisco Plum Corp. and John Farro

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/76-11/30/80.

State of New York }

ss.:

County of Albany }

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of December, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon San Francisco Plum Corp. and John Farro the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

San Francisco Plum Corp. and John Farro c/o Miller, Hershy & Co. 500 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10036

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 14th day of December, 1984.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition
of
San Francisco Plum Corp.
and John Farro

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/76-11/30/80.

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of December, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Alan Greer, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Alan Greer Miller, Hershy & Co. 500 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10036

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

David baroluck

Sworn to before me this 14th day of December, 1984.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition
of
San Francisco Plum Corp.
and John Farro

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/76-11/30/80.

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 14th day of December, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Alan Greer, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Alan Greer 419 Park Avenue South Room 506 New York, NY 10016

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Darid barchuck

Sworn to before me this 14th day of December, 1984.

Authorized to administer daths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

SAN FRANCISCO PLUM CORP. and JOHN FARRO DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1976 through November 30, 1980.

Petitioners, San Francisco Plum Corp. and John Farro, c/o Miller, Hershy & Co., 500 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10036, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1976 through November 30, 1980 (File No. 35950).

A formal hearing was held before Robert F. Mulligan, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on May 27, 1983 at 9:00 A.M., with final briefs submitted on April 11, 1984. Petitioners appeared by Alan Greer, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (William Fox, Esq., of counsel).

### **ISSUE**

Whether all payments on account of sales and use taxes made by petitioners were credited against assessments made for the period at issue.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner San Francisco Plum Corp. acquired the business of Eddie's 1890 Pub, Inc. in or about October, 1975. Petitioner John Farro was president of San Francisco Plum Corp. during the period at issue.

2. As the result of a field audit, on October 2, 1981 the Audit Division issued the following notices of determination and demand for payment of sales and use taxes due:

San Francisco Plum Corp.

Notice #	Tax Due	Period
S811002103C	\$47,679.31	6/1/76-11/30/79
S811002104C	308.13	12/1/79-11/30/80

## John Farro, Officer

Notice #	Tax Due	Period
S811002105C	\$46,595.40	6/1/76-11/30/79
S811002106C	235.73	12/1/79-11/30/80

(The differences between the amounts assessed against the corporation and the amounts assessed against Mr. Farro are due to the fact that Mr. Farro was not assessed for use tax.)

3. Petitioners did not contest the audit findings or the assessments, but only the amounts credited against the assessments. They specifically contended that the following checks were not credited by the Tax Compliance Bureau:

Date of Check	Period Ending	Amount of Check
9/16/76	8/31/76	\$3,448.32
12/20/76	11/30/76	3,547.28
3/17/77	2/28/77	4,028.28
3/22/81	11/30/79	7,486.40

Petitioners introduced copies of cancelled checks showing that said amounts were paid.

4. The hearing officer directed the Audit Division to contact the New York City Tax Compliance Unit and determine exactly how much of the assessments remained unpaid. In a memorandum dated March 29, 1984, the Audit Division reported as follows:

Period Ending	Tax Assessed	Tax Paid
8/76	\$3,333.46	\$3,448.32
11/76	3,547.27	3,547.27
2/77	4,028.28	4,028.28
8/78	5,458.76	5,608.46
11/78	2,348.22	2,348.22
2/79	5,237.11	5,237.11
5/79	6,261.36	3,040.91
8/79	7,057.58	Not Paid
11/79	7,486.39	7,486.40

<sup>\*</sup> Partial Payment

The revised tax remaining due on the assessments (as of March 29, 1984) is as follows:

## San Francisco Plum Corp.

Notice #	Tax Due	Period
S811002103C	\$12,934.36	6/1/76-11/30/79
S811002104C	308.13	12/1/79-11/30/80

## John Farro, Officer

Notice #	Tax Due	Period	
S811002105C	\$11,850.42	6/1/76-11/30/79	
S811002106C	235.73	12/1/79-11/30/80	

Total revised tax due for San Francisco Plum Corp. is \$13,242.49. Total revised tax due for John Farro, officer, is \$12,086.15.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That based on the Audit Division memorandum dated March 29, 1984, the assessments are revised in accordance with Finding of Fact "4". The total revised tax due for San Francisco Plum Corp. is \$13,242.49, while the portion of said sum due for petitioner John Farro as a person required to collect tax on behalf of said corporation (section 1131(1) and section 1133(a) of the Tax Law) is limited to \$12,086.15. Penalties and interest are to be recalculated based upon the revised assessments.

B. That the petition of San Francisco Plum Corp. and John Farro is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "A" above, and is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

DEC 1 4 1984

4----

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

TA 26 (9-79)

STATE OF NEW YORK

State Tax Comm., sion

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

4. F.A. . N. Y. 12227 E CAMPUS

CLAIM CHECK

2012

and John Farro

470 316 298

500 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10036 San Francisco Plum Corp.

TOTAL COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF TH

TA 26 (9-79)

State Tax Commission STATE OF NEW YORK

CLAM CHECK A. A. 12.

BUREAU MPUS . 12227

DATE

470 316 300

Alan Greer
Miller, Hershy & Co.
500 Fifth Ave.
New York, NY 10036



# 470 316 298

# RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED-NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse),	m Anie
MAN CUNCHO LLI	The court
of have terro	
Systema No.	· Go
P.O., State and ZIP Code	
from the Ry 100	36
Certified Fee	
Special Delivery Fee	
Restricted Delivery Fee	
Return Receipt Showing	
to whom and Date Delivered	
Return Receipt Showing to whom,	
Date, and Address of Delivery	
TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$
Postmark or Date	
•	-
	i
	ł

# 470 316 299

# RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED-NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)


	Sent to/
	alm Stew
	Willer Grusky & Co
	P.O. State and TIP Code Ox
36	Ported fred My
	Certified Fee
	Special Delivery Fee
	Restricted Delivery Fee
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered
	Return Receipt Showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery
	TOTAL Postage and Fees
	Postmark or Date
	Date, and Address of Delivery  TOTAL Postage and Fees

470 316 300

## RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED-NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

	(See Reverse)		
	Sent to Clar Sien		
	Street and No. Hard WES		
	P.O., State and ZIP Code		
	Postage / Lu Mark 114	40016	
	Certified Fee		
	Special Delivery Fee		
	Restricted Delivery Fee		
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered		
	Return Receipt Showing to whom,		
8	Date, and Address of Delivery		
s Form 3800, Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$	
Fer	Postmark or Date		
3			
2			
5 			
<u>,                                    </u>			



TA 26 (9-79)

STATE OF NEW YORK
State Tax Comm. sion

174560

DATE


CERTIFIED

470 316 298

MAIL

Detached from PS Form 3849-A Oct. 1980

San Francisco Plum Corp.
and John Farro
co Miller, Hershy & Co.
500 Fifth Ave.
New York, NY 10036



STORY STATE OF THE STATE OF THE