STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

November 9, 1984

Parkchester Restaurant Corp. c/o Ioannis Katechis, President 2529 Cruger Avenue Bronx, NY 10467

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed berewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative Elias P. Bonaros 29-16 212th St. Bayside, NY 11360 Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Parkchester Restaurant Corp.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 12/1/77-8/31/80.

State of New York }

ss.:

County of Albany }

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of November, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Parkchester Restaurant Corp., the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Parkchester Restaurant Corp. c/o Ioannis Katechis, President 2529 Cruger Avenue Bronx, NY 10467

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

David Jarchuck

Sworn to before me this 9th day of November, 1984.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of

Parkchester Restaurant Corp.

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 12/1/77-8/31/80.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York }

ss.:

County of Albany }

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of November, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Elias P. Bonaros, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Elias P. Bonaros 29-16 212th St. Bayside, NY 11360

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 9th day of November, 1984.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

PARKCHESTER RESTAURANT CORP.

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 : of the Tax Law for the Period December 1, 1977 through August 31, 1980.

Petitioner, Parkchester Restaurant Corp., c/o Ioannis Katechis, president, 2529 Cruger Avenue, Bronx, New York 10467, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period December 1, 1977 through August 31, 1980 (File No. 35635).

A small claims hearing was held before Judy M. Clark, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on September 13, 1983 at 2:45 P.M. Petitioner appeared by Elias P. Bonaros, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Angelo Scopellito, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether the Audit Division properly determined petitioner's additional sales tax liability by increasing taxable sales reported by 100 percent.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On June 20, 1981, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against Parkchester Food Shop - Parkchester Restaurant Corp. covering the period December 1, 1977 through August 31, 1980. The Notice was issued as a result of a field audit and

asserted additional sales tax due of \$18,441.92, plus interest of \$3,120.32, for a total of \$21,562.24.

- 2. Petitioner executed a consent to extend the period of limitation for the issuance of an assessment for the period December 1, 1977 through February 28, 1978 to June 20, 1981.
- 3. On examination, the auditor for the Audit Division reconciled sales as reported on sales and use tax returns filed with petitioner's records and with Federal returns filed for the fiscal years ended February, 1979 and February, 1980. Purchases from petitioner's records were also reconciled with the Federal returns for the same period. Both the sales and purchases were found to be substantially in agreement.

Petitioner did not retain guest checks or cash register tapes during the audit period. Petitioner did, however, record sales daily in a daybook along with cash purchases or expenses paid each day. Attached to each page in the daybook was a tape showing the total of each day's receipts.

In order to verify the accuracy of the recording of sales on the cash register, the auditor scheduled a two-day observation test. This appointment, however, was cancelled by petitioner's representative. The auditor then requested that guest checks and cash register tapes be saved for review. In the meantime, letters were sent to petitioner's suppliers in order to confirm the amount of purchases recorded in petitioner's records.

- 4. On February 18, 1981, the auditor advised the petitioner that a field examination of the petitioner's books and records had been scheduled for February 24, 1981. The auditor requested the following records in order to proceed with the audit:
 - "1) Purchase invoices for March 1979 through February 1980 inclusive.

- 2) Cash register tapes for February 1, 1981 through the current day (date of proposed appointment).
- 3) Guest checks for February 1, 1981 through the current date (date of proposed appointment).
- 4) Bank Statements for December 1977 through August 1980 inclusive."

The auditor analyzed this information and found little, if any, discrepancy between these figures and those reported in the petitioner's daybook.

- 5. Subsequently, the auditor requested that guest checks and cash register tapes be maintained and made available for the period May 1, 1981 through June 6, 1981. Observations of the business operation were made on February 18, 1981 and June 5, 1981. At the February 18, 1981 observation from 12:15 to 1:15 p.m., the following was determined:
 - a. Vendor rings up all sales on register.
 - b. Guest checks are written only for customers sitting at tables; customers at the counter do not receive guest checks.
 - c. There were approximately 25 customers between 12:15 and 1:15 p.m.
 - d. Vendor does write amounts charged to counter customers on the backs of guest checks given to table customers which enables him to have a record of total daily sales.
 - e. There were two employees working behind the counter and one waitress.
 - f. Two meals were purchased for which sales tax was charged as follows:

g. There was also a sign in the front window advertising a breakfast special.

At the June 5, 1981 observation, guest check number 92838 was received by the observer. The bill was \$5.95 plus \$.50 sales tax. The amount of \$6.45 was rung up on the cash register.

6. The auditor analyzed the guest checks retained by petitioner for June 5 and June 6, 1981. The guest check number 92838 as noted above was not

included in the guest checks reviewed. The auditor therefore determined that all sales were not reported on sales and use tax returns filed. The taxable sales as reported on sales and use tax returns filed were increased by 100 percent and additional sales tax was determined due of \$18,441.92.

7. Petitioner's markups on Federal tax returns filed for the fiscal years ended February, 1979 and February, 1980 were 258.63 percent and 263.17 percent respectively.

Petitioner reported sales tax on sales and use tax returns filed by dividing its total receipts by 108 percent.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1138(a) of the Tax Law provides that if a return required to be filed is incorrect or insufficient, the amount of tax due shall be determined from such information as may be available. If necessary, the tax may be estimated on the basis of external indices such as purchases or other factors.
- B. That based on all of the evidence in the record, including the guest checks submitted at the auditor's request, it appears that petitioner accurately reported its taxable sales.
- C. That the petition of Parkchester Restaurant Corporation is granted and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued June 20, 1981 is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NOV 0 9 1984

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

P 693 168 884

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

* U.S.G.P.O. 1983-403-517	Sent to Park Chester Restaurant Corporate and No. Street and No. Clu Lo annis Ratechis, Pres P.O., State and ZIP Code 25 29 Cruger Ailenue Postage Browy, NY 10 46 7 Certified Fee		
	Special Delivery Fee		
	Restricted Delivery Fee		
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered		
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery		
Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$	
PS Form 3800,	Postmark or Date		

P 693 168 885

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

3-517	Sent to Elfas P. Boraros		
83-40	Street and No. 29-16 21254.		
.0.18	P.O., State and ZIP Code BOUS 90 C. NY 11360		
* U.S.G.P.O. 1983-403-517	Postage	s	
*	Certified Fee		
	Special Delivery Fee		
	Restricted Delivery Fee		
÷	Réturn Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered		
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery		
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$	
800,	Postmark or Date		
orm (•		
PS F			

₹

94