STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Ben-Zion Feldman

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/78-8/30/80.

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 25th day of May, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Ben-Zion Feldman, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Ben-Zion Feldman c/o T. Dadzie 189 E. 93rd St. #3D New York, NY 10028

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 25th day of May, 1984.

Daniel Carchuck

•

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

May 25, 1984

Ben-Zion Feldman 5, Inbar Street Neve Monosson, ISRAEL

Dear Mr. Feldman:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative Ben-Zion Feldman c/o T. Dadzie 189 E. 93rd St. #3D New York, NY 10028 Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

through August 31, 1980.

In the Matter of the Petition : of : BEN-ZION FELDMAN : for Revision of a Determination or for Refund :

of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1978

DECISION

Petitioner, Ben-Zion Feldman, 5 Inbar Street, Neve Monosson, Israel, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1978 through August 31, 1980 (File No. 36562).

:

A small claims hearing was held before John F. Koagel, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, Room 65-51, New York, New York 10047, on August 31, 1983 at 10:30 A.M. Petitioner appeared pro se. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner is entitled to a refund of sales tax paid on the rental charges for an aircraft used for flight training.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On August 10, 1981, petitioner filed an Application for Credit or Refund of State and Local Sales or Use Tax covering the period July, 1978 through June, 1980. Petitioner sought a refund of sales tax paid in the amount of \$226.78 on aircraft rentals. The application was filed on the grounds that the aircraft was used for flight training in order to obtain a private pilot's license and later a commercial pilot's license.

2. The Audit Division denied petitioner's claim for refund on January 12, 1982 on the grounds that the hourly rental of an aircraft is taxable under section 1105(a) of the Tax Law.

3. During the period July, 1978 through June, 1980 petitioner rented aircraft from Airnav, Inc. and paid the appropriate sales tax as billed on the hourly rental charges. Petitioner also rented aircraft for a short term from Reliable Air Service, Inc. In all instances the aircraft was used for the purpose of meeting the requirements set forth by the Federal Aviation Administration for in-flight training and experience in order to obtain both a private and commercial pilot's license.

Petitioner arranged to have his own flight instructors who were not affiliated with either Airnav or Reliable. Charges billed by these vendors covered the aircraft rentals only.

4. Petitioner relied on an Opinion of Counsel dated January 25, 1968 which set forth rules applying to aircraft dealers and fixed-base operators of aircraft with respect to purchases, sales and rentals of aircraft. Such rules, in pertinent part, are as follows:

"5. Flight Instructions:

All flight instruction under the control of a qualified teacher, including solo flights, is exempt from tax where required in order for the student to obtain his license to fly. Any use of the training aircraft by a pilot who has already received his license, even though it is for the purpose of achieving a higher rating, is considered a taxable rental."

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That section 1105(a) of the Tax Law imposes sales tax on the receipts from every retail sale of tangible personal property, except as otherwise

-2-

provided. A sale as defined by Tax Law \$1101(b)(5) includes any transfer of title or possession or both, rental, lease or license to use, in any manner or by any means for a consideration.

B. That counsel's opinion of January 25, 1968 (Finding of Fact "4") relates to aircraft dealers and fixed-base operators who retain dominion and control of the aircraft while the student is receiving instructions. However, that where a student rents or leases an aircraft and then hires an instructor, the student gained dominion and control of the aircraft and thus rented the aircraft.

C. That the rental of aircraft is subject to the tax imposed under \$1105(a) of the Tax Law.

D. That the petition of Ben-Zion Feldman is denied and the refund denial of January 12, 1982 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONE

COMMISSIONER

P 440 977 042

.

,

۰.

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

146 - Jun

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED-NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)		
	An non feldman	
X	UT Dudate P.g. State and ZIR ade L. Bull	1#30
	tougard My	\$10128
	Cartified 239 Special Delivery 209	
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	Restricted Delivery Fee	
	Raturn Becaipt Showing to whom and Data Dalivered	
	Return Receipt Showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	
	TOTAL Postage and Fees	\$
	Postmark or Date	
orm 3800		
PS F		

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Ben-Zion Feldman

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 6/1/78-8/30/80.

State of New York }
ss.:
County of Albany }

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 25th day of May, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Ben-Zion Feldman, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Ben-Zion Feldman 5, Inbar Street Neve Monosson, ISRAEL

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 25th day of May, 1984.

Danisk Parchurk

•

•

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174