

STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION  
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

July 21, 1982

Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc.  
Sunrise Hgwy  
S. Shore Mall  
Bay Shore, NY 11706

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance  
Law Bureau - Litigation Unit  
Albany, New York 12227  
Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative  
Neil Lavin  
IPCO Hospital Supply Corp.  
1025 Westchester Ave.  
White Plains, NY 10604  
Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition  
of  
STERLING OPTICAL CO. BAY SHORE, INC.  
for Revision of a Determination or for  
Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under  
Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the  
Period June 1, 1972 through February 29,  
1976.

DECISION

Petitioner, Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc., Sunrise Highway, South Shore Mall, Bay Shore, New York, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1972 through February 29, 1976 (File No. 16645).

A small claims hearing was held before Arthur Johnson, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York on February 27, 1980 at 1:15 P.M. Petitioner appeared by Neil Lavin, Assistant Corporate Controller. The Audit Division appeared by Ralph J. Vecchio, Esq. (Barry Bresler, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner's purchases of eyeglass frames for use as replacement parts in repairing eyeglasses are subject to sales or use tax.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc., a subsidiary of IPCO Hospital Supply Corp., is engaged in the operation of an optical retail store. The primary business activity consists of filling prescriptions for eyeglasses and contact lenses which are received from staff optometrists as well as outside optometrists and ophthalmologists. Trained personnel assist the customer

in selecting a frame and properly fit and adjust the frame. Other activities include performing repair work on prescription glasses, such as replacing a temple or broken lens, eye examinations and selling non-prescription sunglasses.

2. On August 12, 1976, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner for the period June 1, 1972 through February 29, 1976 for taxes due of \$1,439.09, plus penalty and interest of \$571.70, for a total of \$2,010.79.

3. Petitioner executed a consent extending the time within which to issue an assessment of sales and use taxes for the period June 1, 1972 through May 31, 1975 to September 20, 1976.

4. The Audit Division performed an audit of the books and records of all Sterling Optical locations in New York State. The tax assessed on the aforementioned notice represents the tax on that portion of eyeglass frames purchased that the Audit Division determined were used as replacement parts in servicing or repairing eyeglasses. Petitioner consented to and paid all other tax deficiencies determined on audit.

5. Petitioner, as part of its business activities, repairs eyeglasses for its customers. Replacement parts are taken from similar complete frames in stock. If such part is not available, petitioner will attempt to sell a complete new frame. Petitioner contended that it did not charge customers for repair services unless it was necessary to replace a broken part and that such charge was only for the part itself.

6. Petitioner issued a resale certificate for the purchase of eyeglass frames. Petitioner argued that the frames are resold to customers regardless of whether some are sold as replacement parts rather than complete units. The Audit Division's position was that petitioner is performing a service not

subject to tax and the purchase of tangible personal property used in performing such a service constitutes a purchase at retail and therefore subject to tax.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That during the period at issue, section 1115(a) of the Tax Law provided an exemption from the sales and use taxes for:

"(4) Prosthetic aids, hearing aids, or eyeglasses and artificial devices designed for the use of a particular individual to correct or alleviate physical incapacity."

B. That the eyeglass frames purchased by petitioner for use as replacement parts in repairing prescription eyeglasses constitute component parts of said eyeglasses and, therefore, are exempt from tax pursuant to the meaning and intent of section 1115(a)(4) of the Tax Law.

C. That the petition of Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc. is granted and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued August 12, 1976 is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York

JUL 21 1982

STATE TAX COMMISSION

ACTING PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition  
of  
Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc.

:

: AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision :  
of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax  
under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period:  
6/1/72-2/29/76.

:

State of New York  
County of Albany


Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of July, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc., the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:


Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc.  
Sunrise Hgwy  
S. Shore Mall  
Bay Shore, NY 11706

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this  
21st day of July, 1982.





STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition :  
of :  
Sterling Optical Co. Bay Shore, Inc. :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision :  
of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax  
under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period:  
6/1/72-2/29/76.

State of New York  
County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of July, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Neil Lavin the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Neil Lavin  
IPCO Hospital Supply Corp.  
1025 Westchester Ave.  
White Plains, NY 10604

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this  
21st day of July, 1982.

*Conrad A. Heggen*

*J. Vredenburg*

P 230 842 535

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED—  
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL  
(See Reverse)

SENT TO		<i>W. J. P. Supply Corp.</i>	
STREET AND NO.		<i>1025 Westchester Ave.</i>	
P.O., STATE AND ZIP CODE		<i>White Plains N.Y. 10604</i>	
POSTAGE			
CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES	OPTIONAL SERVICES	CERTIFIED FEE	¢
		SPECIAL DELIVERY	¢
		RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢
	RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED	¢
		SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY	¢
		SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢
		SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢
TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES		\$	
POSTMARK OR DATE			

PS Form 3800, Apr. 1976

P 230 842 534

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED—  
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL  
(See Reverse)

SENT TO		<i>Stetson Capital Co. Bay Shore N.Y.</i>	
STREET AND NO.		<i>Stetson Bldg</i>	
P.O., STATE AND ZIP CODE		<i>Bay Shore N.Y. 11706</i>	
POSTAGE			
CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES	OPTIONAL SERVICES	CERTIFIED FEE	¢
		SPECIAL DELIVERY	¢
		RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢
	RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED	¢
		SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY	¢
		SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢
		SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢
TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES		\$	
POSTMARK OR DATE			

PS Form 3800, Apr. 1976