STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

January 29, 1982

Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased) 78-37 Springfield Blvd. Bayside, NY 11364

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Deputy Commissioner and Counsel Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-6240

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative Sam Nadler Finkel, Nadler & Goldstein 401 Broadway New York, NY 10013 Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

SPRINGFIELD FOODS, INC. and JACK SHERMAN (DECEASED)

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period March 1, 1971 through February 4, 1974.

Petitioners, Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased), filed petitions for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period March 1, 1971 through February 4, 1974 (File No. 10307).

A formal hearing was held before Harvey B. Baum, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on June 9, 1977 at 1:15 P.M. There was no appearance by anyone on behalf of petitioner Jack Sherman (deceased). Petitioner Springfield Foods, Inc. defauted by failing to appear at the hearing. Libby Sherman, wife of petitioner Jack Sherman (deceased), appeared by Samuel L. Nadler, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (James Morris and Alfred Rubenstein, Esqs., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner Jack Sherman (deceased) is liable as a responsible officer pursuant to section 1131(1) of the Tax Law for sales and use taxes due from petitioner Sprinfield Foods, Inc.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On July 18, 1974, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against Jack Sherman for

taxes due of \$22,459.60 plus interest of \$2,696.62 for a total of \$25,156.22 for the period March 1, 1971 through February 4, 1974.

- 2. The aforementioned notice resulted from a field audit of petitioner Springfield Foods, Inc. of which Jack Sherman was a responsible officer.
- 3. On February 7, 1975, the Audit Division revised the above notice to tax due in the amount of \$19,431.24.
- 4. There was no evidence offered at the hearing to show that Jack Sherman was not a responsible officer of Springfield Foods, Inc.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1131(1) of the Tax Law provides, in part, that a "(p)erson required to collect tax" shall include any officer of a corporation who as such officer is under a duty to act for such corporation in complying with any requirement of this article.
- B. That Jack sherman was a person required to collect tax within the meaning and intent of section 1131(1) of the Tax Law; therefore, he is liable for the tax determined to be due from Springfield Foods, Inc.
- C. That the petition of Sprinfield Foods, Inc. is denied upon its failure to appear.
- D. That the petition of Jack Sherman (deceased) is denied and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued July 18, 1974 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

JAN 29 1982

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period: 3/1/71-2/4/74.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of January, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased) 78-37 Springfield Blvd. Bayside, NY 11364

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 29th day of January, 1982.

a Sayelund

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the : Period 3/1/71-2/4/74.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of January, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Sam Nadler the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Sam Nadler Finkel, Nadler & Goldstein 401 Broadway New York, NY 10013

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 29th day of January, 1982.

Camie a Gagelens

P 230 842 059 RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

				(See Heverso)				
		las	AND Hei	ND ZIP CODE LOUK N-4	Lusay			
F	os	TAG	Ε					
	CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES	CEF	RTIF	¢				
1:		7	SPE	CIAL DELIVERY	¢			
				STRICTED DELIVERY	¢			
		CES	1CE	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED	£			
		OPTIONAL SERVICES	IPT SER	SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY	¢			
		OPTION	RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	, 0			
				SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	,			
197	TC	TAL	\$					
μ pr	PC	POSTMARK OR DATE						
PS Form 3800, Apr. 1976								

P 230 842 058 RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

STREET AND NO.									
24.8	STA	24	AND ZIP CODE	13 PM					
100		RTIF	¢						
EES		SP	ECIAL DELIVERY	¢					
8	OPTIONAL SERVICES	RE	STRICTED DELIVERY	¢					
STER F		RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED	¢					
POSTMA			SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY	¢					
CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES		IRN REC	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢					
CC		RETU	SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢					
TO1	AL	s							
PO:	POSTMARK OR DATE								

PS Form 3800, Apr. 1976

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period: 3/1/71-2/4/74.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 26th day of March, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased) c/o Mr. Finkel Finkel Goldstein & Berzow 67 Wall Street New York, NY 10005

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 26th day of March, 1982.

Course R Localum

New York State Tax Appeals Bureau 💥 , Department of P "AXATION and FINANCE Talles Mr. Genkel Re Springfield Food for & Jack Sherman (deed) Mr. Radler retired - Send capies for TIP i dep * him: Genkel Goldstein & Berzow 67 Well St M-75.1 NEW York, N.4 10005

To leham it may concern (Tany lissa) dolarit referre to us beause a see owner has taken over we don't know what APPEAL

State TAX Commission Try Appeals Bureau State Of New York State CAMPUS ALBANY N.K. 13227 78-37 SpringField Blud. BAYSIDE, NY //SG/2/ FEB 8 P 229 309 381 ISPAZIO CONSA MAIL

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

January 29, 1982

Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased) 78-37 Springfield Blvd. Bayside, NY 11364

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Deputy Commissioner and Counsel Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-6240

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Sam Nadler
Finkel, Nadler & Goldstein
401 Broadway
New York, NY 10013
Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

SPRINGFIELD FOODS, INC. and JACK SHERMAN (DECEASED)

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period March 1, 1971 through February 4, 1974.

Petitioners, Springfield Foods, Inc. and Jack Sherman (Deceased), filed petitions for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period March 1, 1971 through February 4, 1974 (File No. 10307).

A formal hearing was held before Harvey B. Baum, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on June 9, 1977 at 1:15 P.M. There was no appearance by anyone on behalf of petitioner Jack Sherman (deceased). Petitioner Springfield Foods, Inc. defauted by failing to appear at the hearing. Libby Sherman, wife of petitioner Jack Sherman (deceased), appeared by Samuel L. Nadler, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (James Morris and Alfred Rubenstein, Esqs., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner Jack Sherman (deceased) is liable as a responsible officer pursuant to section 1131(1) of the Tax Law for sales and use taxes due from petitioner Sprinfield Foods, Inc.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On July 18, 1974, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against Jack Sherman for

taxes due of \$22,459.60 plus interest of \$2,696.62 for a total of \$25,156.22 for the period March 1, 1971 through February 4, 1974.

- 2. The aforementioned notice resulted from a field audit of petitioner Springfield Foods, Inc. of which Jack Sherman was a responsible officer.
- 3. On February 7, 1975, the Audit Division revised the above notice to tax due in the amount of \$19,431.24.
- 4. There was no evidence offered at the hearing to show that Jack Sherman was not a responsible officer of Springfield Foods, Inc.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1131(1) of the Tax Law provides, in part, that a "(p)erson required to collect tax" shall include any officer of a corporation who as such officer is under a duty to act for such corporation in complying with any requirement of this article.
- B. That Jack sherman was a person required to collect tax within the meaning and intent of section 1131(1) of the Tax Law; therefore, he is liable for the tax determined to be due from Springfield Foods, Inc.
- C. That the petition of Sprinfield Foods, Inc. is denied upon its failure to appear.
- D. That the petition of Jack Sherman (deceased) is denied and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued July 18, 1974 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
JAN 29 1982

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

Finkel, Nødler & Goldstein 401 Broadway New York, NY 10013 Sam Nadler/ TAX APPEALS BUREAU State Tax Commission ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE OF NEW YORK STATE CAMPUS TA 26 (9-79)

