STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

December 3, 1982

Murray Saltzman d/b/a Evans Drug Store 878 Nostrand Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11225

Dear Mr. Saltzman:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1139 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
 Martin R. Weinberg
 10 Harvard St.
 Roslyn Heights, NY 11577
 Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MURRAY SALTZMAN d/b/a EVANS DRUG STORE

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund : of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period March 1, 1976 : through August 31, 1979.

Petitioner, Murray Saltzman, d/b/a Evans Drug Store, 878 Nostrand Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11225, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period March 1, 1976 through August 31, 1979 (File No. 32035).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph Chyrywaty, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on February 22, 1982, at 1:15 P.M. Petitioner appeared by Martin R. Weinberg, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by Ralph J. Vecchio, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner over reported taxable sales on sales tax returns filed for the period March 1, 1976 through August 31, 1979.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Murray Saltzman, d/b/a Evans Drug Store, operated a drug store located at 878 Nostrand Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.
- 2. On June 5, 1980, petitioner filed an application for a refund of sales tax of \$8,225.74 plus interest for the period March 1, 1976 through August 31, 1979. The claim was based on a field audit conducted by the Audit Division for

the period March 1, 1976 through August 31, 1979 which showed that petitioner's reported taxable sales exceeded the taxable sales determined by the auditor.

- 3. Petitioner executed two consents extending the period of limitation for assessment of sales and use taxes for the period at issue, to December 20, 1980.
- 4. On audit, the Audit Division analyzed petitioner's purchase invoices for the year 1978 and found that 15.5 percent of said purchases were items that would result in a taxable sale when sold. This percentage was applied to total purchases for the audit period of \$228,598.55 to arrive at taxable purchases of \$35,432.78. A mark-up analysis using costs and selling prices in effect at the time of the audit for the taxable items sold revealed an average mark-up of 59.94 percent. The average mark-up determined was applied to the above taxable purchases to determine taxable sales of \$56,671.19. Petitioner reported taxable sales of \$159,493.00 or \$102,821.81 greater than those determined on audit. As a result, the Audit Division accepted the accuracy of the sales reported by petitioner.
- 5. On November 10, 1980, petitioner's refund claim was denied in full on the basis that the sales taxes were overcollected from customers and that such overcollections were correctly paid over to New York State.
- 6. Petitioner's cash register tapes or books and records did not account for taxable sales and sales tax collected. The books and records reflect one amount for total receipts from all sources. Petitioner estimated taxable sales by applying a ratio of 35 percent to gross sales. This percentage was determined from a prior audit conducted in 1968.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That petitioner failed to maintain the records required under section 1135 of the Tax Law, and in the absence of such records petitioner cannot establish the exact amount of sales tax liability for the period under review which is necessary to determine if, in fact, a refundable overpayment was made.

That section 1138 of the Tax Law authorizes the use of the audit procedures set forth in Finding of Fact "4" to estimate taxes due when insufficient books and records are maintained. However, such procedures are not satisfactory for the purpose of proving that a refund is due.

B. That the petition of Murray Saltzman, d/b/a Evans Drug Store is denied and the refund denial issued November 10, 1980 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

DEC 0 3 1982

STATE TAX COMMISSION

TCTING

COMMISSIONER

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Murray Saltzman d/b/a Evans Drug Store

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax : under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 3/1/76 - 8/31/79.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of December, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Murray Saltzman, d/b/a Evans Drug Store, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Murray Saltzman d/b/a Evans Drug Store 878 Nostrand Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11225

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of December, 1982.

AUTHORIZED TO ADMINISTER OATHS PURSUANT TO TAX LAW

SECTION 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Murray Saltzman d/b/a Evans Drug Store

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax : under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Period 3/1/76 - 8/31/79.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of December, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Martin R. Weinberg the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Martin R. Weinberg 10 Harvard St. Roslyn Heights, NY 11577

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of December, 1982.

AUTHORIZED TO ADMINISTER OATHS PURSUANT TO TAX LAW

SECTION 174

P 230 844 243 RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)_

SE	ITTO	<u>, .</u>	tie of Mais	his	
STREET AND NO.					
	ØT.	ATE	AND ZIP CODE	nu	
A	STAC	GE	en gregger	s	_
ES	CERTIFIED FEE 7/5			77	¢
	OPTIONAL SERVICES	SPECIAL DELIVERY			¢
E .		RESTRICTED DELIVERY			¢
STER FO		RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE	SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED		¢
POSTMA			SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY		¢
CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES			SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY		¢
			SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY		¢
то	OTAL POSTAGE AND FEES			2	
PO	POSTMARK OR DATE				
			· •	14	
1			. • •		-

P 230 844 242 RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

SENT TO

STREET AND NO.

P.O., STATE AND ZIP CODE

POSTAGE

SPECIAL DELIVERY

RESTRICTED DELIVERY

RESTRICTED DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND
DATE DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE.
AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE.
AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE.
AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE.
AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE.
AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

STREET AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY

TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES

STREET AND ADDRESS

STREET AND ADDRESS

COMMITTED

PS Form 3800, Apr. 1976

POSTMARK OR DATE