STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

December 29, 1982

Diego Petruzzella 75 Traymore Ave. Buffalo, NY 14216

Dear Mr. Petruzzella:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
 Ernest J. Gawinski
 615 Brisbane Bldg.
 Buffalo, NY 14203
 Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DIEGO PETRUZZELLA

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1973 through June 30, 1976.

Petitioner, Diego Petruzzella, 75 Traymore Avenue, Buffalo, New York 14216, filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1973 through June 30, 1976 (File No. 18914).

A small claims hearing was held before Arthur Johnson, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 65 Court Street, Buffalo, New York, on May 13, 1982, at 9:15 A.M. Petitioner appeared by Ernest J. Gawinski, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by Paul B. Coburn, Esq. (Patricia Brumbaugh, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Whether petitioner, the purchaser in a bulk sale transaction, is liable for the taxes determined to be due from the seller in accordance with section 1141(c) of the Tax Law.
- II. Whether the penalty and interest in excess of the minimum statutory rate should be cancelled.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Pursuant to an agreement executed August 23, 1976, petitioner, Diego Petruzzella, purchased a grocery store business from James A. Chevillot.

Petitioner did not notify the Audit Division of the proposed sale.

The total sales price was \$8,327.62 which comprised the following: \$1,500.00 - goodwill, furniture, fixtures, equipment, \$6,487.10 - stock and \$340.52 - miscellaneous supplies.

- 2. An audit of the seller's books and records disclosed additional sales taxes due of \$4,066.71 for the period June 1, 1973 through June 30, 1976. On March 18, 1977, the Audit Division issued a Notice and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against petitioner for the amount of said taxes, plus penalty and interest of \$1,956.34, for a total of \$6,023.05. Petitioner was held liable for the taxes determined due from the seller in accordance with section 1141(c) of the Tax Law.
- 3. Petitioner stated that the business closed December 31, 1980 and that he is presently unemployed and is receiving disability benefits because of a heart ailment. Petitioner went on to state that on the other hand, James Chevillot is gainfully employed and that for such reason, and the fact that the tax liability was incurred by the seller, the Audit Division should seek collection from Mr. Chevillot.
- 4. The additional taxes found due on audit were caused by the seller. Petitioner acted in good faith at all times.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That petitioner failed to comply with the provisions of section 1141(c) of the Tax Law and that for such failure is personally liable for taxes determined due from James Chevillot as provided in said section of the Tax Law.
- B. That the penalty is cancelled and interest shall be computed at the minimum statutory rate.

C. That the petition of Diego Petruzzella is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "B"; that the Audit Division is hereby directed to modify the Notice and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued March 18, 1977; and that, except as so granted, the petition is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

DEC 29 1982

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Diego Petruzzella

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the : Period 6/1/73 - 6/30/76.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of December, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Diego Petruzzella, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Diego Petruzzella 75 Traymore Ave. Buffalo, NY 14216

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 29th day of December, 1982.

AUTHORIZED TO ADMINISTER OATHS PURSUANT TO TAX LAW SECTION 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Diego Petruzzella

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the : Period 6/1/73 - 6/30/76.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of December, 1982, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Ernest J. Gawinski the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Ernest J. Gawinski 615 Brisbane Bldg. Buffalo, NY 14203

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 29th day of December, 1982.

AUTHORIZED TO ADMINISTER OATHS PURSUANT TO TAX LAW

SECTION 174

P 230 844 349 RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

SENT TO

STREET AND NO.

STREET AND NO.

STREET AND NO.

POSTAGE

CERTIFIED FEE

SPECIAL DELIVERY

RESTRICTED DELIVERY

GAIT DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE
AND ADDRESS OF
DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE
AND ADDRESS OF
DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE
AND ADDRESS OF
DELIVERY

SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE
AND ADDRESS OF
DELIVERY

TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES

POSTMARK OR DATE

P 230 844 348
RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

STREET AND.

STREE

PS Form 3800,

PS Form 3800, Apr. 1976