In the Matter of the Petition

٥f

Howard & Charles Schneider

d/b/a Schneider & Schneider

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision

of a Determination or a Refund of

Sales & Use Tax

under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law

for the Period 6/1/72 - 5/31/75.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of September, 1979, he served the within notice of Determination by mail upon Howard & Charles Schneider, d/b/a Schneider & Schneider, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Howard & Charles Schneider d/b/a Schneider & Schneider 1501 White Plains Rd.

Bronx, NY 10462

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

21st day of September, 1979

Friscella a. Wood

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Howard & Charles Schneider

d/b/a Schneider & Schneider

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision

of a Determination or a Refund of

Sales & Use Tax

under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law

for the Period 6/1/72 - 5/31/75.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 21st day of September, 1979, he served the within notice of Determination by mail upon Paul Miller the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Paul Miller 26 Court St. Brooklyn, NY

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

21st day of September, 1979.

Priscella a. Wood

JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER THOMAS H. LYNCH

JOHN J. SOLLECITO DIRECTOR

Telephone: (518) 457-1723

September 21, 1979

Howard & Charles Schneider d/b/a Schneider & Schneider 1501 White Plains Rd. Bronx, NY 10462

### Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Determination of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

cc: Petitioner's Representative Paul Miller 26 Court St.

Brooklyn, NY

Taxing Bureau's Representative

### STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

HOWARD SCHNEIDER & CHARLES SCHNEIDER : d/b/a SCHNEIDER & SCHNEIDER

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under : Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1972 through May 31, : 1975.

Applicants, Howard Schneider and Charles Schneider d/b/a
Schneider & Schneider, 1501 White Plains Road, Bronx, New York
10462, filed an application for revision of a determination or for
refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax
Law for the period June 1, 1972 through May 31, 1975 (File No. 14527).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph Chyrywaty, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 8, 1978 at 2:45 P.M. Applicants appeared by Paul Miller, accountant. The Sales Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

### ISSUE

Whether applicants' sales tax liability was finally and irrevocably fixed by their execution of a Consent to Fixing of Tax Not Previously

Determined and Assessed (ST-580) and by payment to the State of New York of \$7,992.47 (in accordance with such consent), so as to preclude the Sales Tax Bureau from redetermining said liability.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. A field audit of applicants' candy store business was conducted by observation, since applicant refused to provide the Sales Tax Bureau with business records. Based on said audit, an estimate was made of applicants' gross sales and the tax due thereon.
- 2. Based on said estimate, the Sales Tax Bureau issued a
  Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes
  Due on July 31, 1975 for the period June 1, 1972 through May 31, 1975,
  assessing applicants \$7,749.24 in taxes due, plus \$2,201.98 in
  penalty and interest, for a total due of \$9,951.22.
- 3. On October 28, 1975, applicants executed a Consent to Fixing of Tax Not Previously Determined and Assessed (Form ST-580), consenting to the assessment of a tax due of \$7,065.44, plus penalty and/or interest of \$927.03, for a total due of \$7,992.47.
- 4. The Consent to Fixing of Tax Not Previously Determined and Assessed provides, in part, that:

"The undersigned agrees that there is due and payable to the State Tax Commission a sales and/or use tax as described below, and also agrees that by this consent that such tax is hereby assessed and finally and irrevocably fixed in accordance with the provisions of the Tax Law, subject to the approval of the State Tax Commission."

- 5. The Sales Tax Bureau received the executed Form ST-580, along with applicants' payment of \$7,992.47 in accordance therewith. At that point, the Sales Tax Bureau did not close the case, but rather held it open pending the results of an audit conducted by the Income Tax Bureau.
- 6. On November 28, 1975, the Sales Tax Bureau informed applicants' accountant that the case was not approved, based on a review of applicants' New York State partnership returns for the years 1972 and 1973. The review indicated that the initial audit estimates of applicants' gross sales were lower than the gross sales reported on the partnership returns.
- 7. Based on said review, the Sales Tax Bureau issued a second Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due on April 7, 1976, reassessing applicants for \$8,488.50 in tax due, plus \$2,651.84 in penalty and interest, for a total due of \$11,140.34. This amount (less the \$7,992.47 previously paid by applicants) resulted in a balance due of \$3,147.87.
- 8. Applicant contended that its execution of the consent (Form ST-580) and its payment of \$7,992.47 in accordance therewith, finally and irrevocably fixed its tax liability so as to preclude the Sales Tax Bureau from redetermining said liability and issuing a second Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due. Additionally, applicants contend that the Sales Tax Bureau represented that the sum of \$7,992.47 remitted by applicants was accepted as payment in full of their liability.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That applicants' execution of consent Form ST-580 and its payment of \$7,992.47 in accordance therewith, finally and irrevocably fixed its tax liability, subject to the approval of the State Tax Commission as specified on said form.
- B. That applicants' consent Form ST-580, not having been approved by the State Tax Commission, did not finally and irrevocably fix applicants' tax liability within the meaning and intent of section 1138(c) of the Tax Law, so as to preclude the Sales Tax Bureau from reassessing said liability, based on receipt of new information from applicants' income tax reports.
- C. That the application of Howard Schneider and Charles Schneider d/b/a Schneider & Schneider is denied, and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued April 7, 1976 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

SEP 2 1 1979

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER



TO.....Mr. Coburn

No better address. Please file

10/10/79

Joseph Chyrywaty

M-75 (5/76)

24 850 Howard & Charles Schneider d/b/a Schneider & Schneider 1501 White Plains Rd. Bronx, NY 10462 Department of Taxation and Finance TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

TA-26 (4-76) 25M







## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

STATE TAX COMMISSION

JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT

MILTON KOERNER

THOMAS H. LYNCH

JOHN J. SOLLECITO DIRECTOR

Telephone: (518) 457-1723

September 21, 1979

Howard & Charles Schneider d/b/a Schneider & Schneider 1501 White Plains Rd. Bronx, NY 10462

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the Determination of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Paul Miller 26 Court St. Brooklyn, NY

Taxing Bureau's Representative

### STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

HOWARD SCHNEIDER & CHARLES SCHNEIDER : d/b/a SCHNEIDER & SCHNEIDER

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under : Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1972 through May 31, : 1975.

Applicants, Howard Schneider and Charles Schneider d/b/a
Schneider & Schneider, 1501 White Plains Road, Bronx, New York
10462, filed an application for revision of a determination or for
refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax
Law for the period June 1, 1972 through May 31, 1975 (File No. 14527).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph Chyrywaty, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 8, 1978 at 2:45 P.M. Applicants appeared by Paul Miller, accountant. The Sales Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

### ISSUE

Whether applicants' sales tax liability was finally and irrevocably fixed by their execution of a Consent to Fixing of Tax Not Previously

Determined and Assessed (ST-580) and by payment to the State of New York of \$7,992.47 (in accordance with such consent), so as to preclude the Sales Tax Bureau from redetermining said liability.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. A field audit of applicants' candy store business was conducted by observation, since applicant refused to provide the Sales Tax Bureau with business records. Based on said audit, an estimate was made of applicants' gwoss sales and the tax due thereon.
- 2. Based on said estimate, the Sales Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due on July 31, 1975 for the period June 1, 1972 through May 31, 1975, assessing applicants \$7,749.24 in taxes due, plus \$2,201.98 in penalty and interest, for a total due of \$9,951.22.
- 3. On October 28, 1975, applicants executed a Consent to Fixing of Tax Not Previously Determined and Assessed (Form ST-580), consenting to the assessment of a tax due of \$7,065.44, plus penalty and/or interest of \$927.03, for a total due of \$7,992.47.
- 4. The Consent to Fixing of Tax Not Previously Determined and Assessed provides, in Part, that:

"The undersigned agrees that there is due and payable to the State Tax Commission a sales and/or use tax as described below, and also agrees that by this consent that such tax is hereby assessed and finally and irrevocably fixed in accordance with the provisions of the Tax Law, subject to the approval of the State Tax Commission."

- 5. The Sales Tax Bureau received the executed Form ST-580, along with applicants' payment of \$7,992.47 in accordance therewith. At that point, the Sales Tax Bureau did not close the case, but rather held it open pending the results of an audit conducted by the Income Tax Bureau.
- 6. On November 28, 1975, the Sales Tax Bureau informed applicants' accountant that the case was not approved, based on a review of applicants' New York State partnership returns for the years 1972 and 1973. The review indicated that the initial audit estimates of applicants' gross sales were lower than the gross sales reported on the partnership returns.
- 7. Based on said review, the Sales Tax Bureau issued a second Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due on April 7, 1976, reassessing applicants for \$8,488.50 in tax due, plus \$2,651.84 in penalty and interest, for a total due of \$11,140.34. This amount (less the \$7,992.47 previously paid by applicants) resulted in a balance due of \$3,147.87.
- 8. Applicant contended that its execution of the consent (Form ST-580) and its payment of \$7,992.47 in accordance therewith, finally and irrevocably fixed its tax liability so as to preclude the Sales Tax Bureau from redetermining said liability and issuing a second Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due. Additionally, applicants contend that the Sales Tax Bureau represented that the sum of \$7,992.47 remitted by applicants was accepted as payment in full of their liability.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That applicants' execution of consent Form ST-580 and its payment of \$7,992.47 in accordance therewith, finally and irrevocably fixed its tax liability, subject to the approval of the State Tax Commission as specified on said form.
- B. That applicants' consent Form ST-580, not having been approved by the State Tax Commission, did not finally and irrevocably fix applicants' tax liability within the meaning and intent of section 1138(c) of the Tax Law, so as to preclude the Sales Tax Bureau from reassessing said liability, based on receipt of new information from applicants' income tax reports.
- C. That the application of Howard Schneider and Charles Schneider d/b/a Schneider & Schneider is denied, and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued April 7, 1976 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

SEP 21 1979

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER