In the Matter of the Petition

of

HELMAR PHARMACY, INC.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of December , 1978, she served the within

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Notice of Determination

by (xxxxixixxd) mail upon Helmar Pharmacy, Inc.

KREPKHSHMAKKWEKKE) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

John Huhn

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Helmar Pharmacy, Inc.

c/o Emanuel Kuflik, CPA

75 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of December . 1978

\_\_\_\_

Stacke

In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

HELMAR PHARMACY, INC.

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Sales and Use
Taxes under Article(s) 28 and 29 of the
Tax Law for the \*\*Xex\*\*(\*\*)\*\*\* Period(\*\*)
June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976.

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of December , 1978, whe served the within Notice of Determination by (CHENDICHER) mail upon Emanuel Kuflik

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

Emanuel Kuflik, CPA

75 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of December , 1978.

Much



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

December 13, 1978

Helmar Pharmacy, Inc. c/o Emanuel Kuflik. CPA 75 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038

Gentlemen:

DETERMINATION Please take notice of the of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Hearing Examiner

Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

HELMAR PHARMACY, INC.

**DETERMINATION** 

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976.

Applicant, Helmar Pharmacy, Inc., c/o Emanuel Kuflik, CPA, 75 Maiden Lane, New York, New York 10038, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976 (File No. 17297).

A small claims hearing was held before Raymond Siegel, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on November 18, 1977 at 9:15 A.M. Applicant appeared by Emanuel Kuflik, CPA. The Sales Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Bruce Zalaman, Esq., of counsel).

## **ISSUE**

Whether applicant was properly assessed for sales and use taxes due for the period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976, within the meaning and intent of section 1138(a) of the Tax Law.

13

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Helmar Pharmacy, Inc., filed New York state and local sales and use tax returns for the period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976.
- 2. Applicant operated a retail pharmacy at 3209 Horse Block Road, Medford, New York, until March 19, 1976, when said business was sold to Medmar Pharmacy, Inc.
- 3. On September 7, 1976, the Sales Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against applicant for taxes due of \$5,874.75, plus penalty and interest, for the period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976.
- 4. The above Notice was based on the finding of a prior field audit conducted by an auditor from the Sales Tax Bureau which covered the period December 1, 1969 through May 31, 1974. The auditor determined a margin of error of 100.76% of reported taxable sales for said period. The Sales Tax Bureau applied the margin of error to the taxable sales reported by applicant for the period June 1, 1974 through February 29, 1976. This amount, plus estimated taxable sales of \$3,000.00 for the period March 1, 1976 through March 19, 1976, resulted in additional taxable sales of \$83,925.00. It is the additional sales taxes due which are at issue here.
- 5. Applicant contended that no audit was conducted for the period at issue and that the use of a prior audit makes the determination improper, in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 1138(a) of the Tax Law.

6. The Sales Tax Bureau contended that the audit made for the prior period was virtually uncontested and, therefore, it was correct in rejecting the sales tax returns filed for the period at issue.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That applicant was not afforded an opportunity to present its books and records for audit, before the Sales Tax Bureau issued an assessment on September 7, 1976 for the period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976, which assessment was based on merely extending the findings of a prior field audit, without inquiry into whether applicant's method of doing business had undergone any changes.
- B. That applicant, Helmar Pharmacy, Inc., was improperly assessed for sales and use taxes due for the period June 1, 1974 through March 19, 1976, according to the meaning and intent of section 1138(a) of the Tax Law.
- C. That the application of Helmar Pharmacy, Inc. is granted and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued September 7, 1976 is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York
December 13, 1978

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER