In the Matter of the Petition

οf

DAVIS-ECKERT-JOINT VENTURE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 13th day of December , 19 78, she served the within

Notice of Determination by (xertified) mail upon Davis-Eckert
Joint Venture (representative x ft) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Davis-Eckert-Joint Venture

1062 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12205

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of December , 1978

Uniha

John Huhn

In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

DAVIS-ECKERT-JOINT VENTURE

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Sales and Use : Taxes under Article(s) 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the \*\*X\*\*X\*\*X\*\*X\*\*\* Period(x) : August 1, 1965 through February 28, 1969.

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of December , 1978, the served the within Notice of Determination by (xaxvixiad) mail uponDonald J. Egan and James L. Magavern (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed Donald J. Egan and James L. Magavern, Esqs.

as follows:

Magavern, Magavern, Lowe & Beilewech

900 Prudential Building Buffalo, New York 14202

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of December , 1978

Muscher



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT
MILTON KOERNER
THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

December 13, 1978

Davis-Eckert-Joint Venture 1062 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12205

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Michael Alexander Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

DAVIS-ECKERT-JOINT VENTURE

**DETERMINATION** 

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period August 1, 1965 through February 28, 1969.

Applicant, Davis-Eckert-Joint Venture, 1062 Central Avenue, Albany, New York 12205, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period August 1, 1965 through February 28, 1969 (File No. 01888).

A formal hearing was held before L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, State Office Building, Buffalo, New York, on October 20, 1971. Applicant appeared by Donald J. Egan, Esq. and James L. Magavern, Esq. The Sales Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

## ISSUE

Whether applicant's purchases of materials were subject to sales tax where it entered into a subcontract for capital improvements for an exempt organization, incorporating provisions of the general contract which provided that a) the bid price was exempt from sales tax and b) the purchases were for resale to said exempt organization.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Davis-Eckert-Joint Venture, filed New York State sales and use tax returns for the period August 1, 1965 through February 28, 1969.
- 2. A Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due for the period August 1, 1965 through February 28, 1969 was issued on October 20, 1969, against applicant for taxes due of \$11,296.59, plus penalty and interest.
- 3. Applicant timely filed an application for revision of said determination.
- 4. On August 2, 1966, applicant entered into a subcontract with the Planet Construction Corp. for capital improvements for the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York at the State University at Albany.
- 5. The subcontract incorporated the provisions of the general contract. The general contract provided that the Dormitory Authority was exempt from New York State, city and county sales and use taxes on all materials and supplies sold to it, and that "These taxes are not to be included in bids." The general contract also contained provisions stating that the title to materials would pass upon delivery, and that there was a resale to the tax exempt Dormitory Authority. The general contract also stipulated that subcontractors' purchase of supplies and materials would not be subject to sales and use taxes "...provided that subcontract agreements provide for the resale of such supplies and materials prior to and separate and apart from the incorporation of such supplies and materials into the permanent construction...."

- 6. The Sales Tax Bureau contended that the subcontract must be a time-and-material contract in order for the materials purchased to be exempt from sales tax. Applicant asserted that the exclusion of the sales tax from the contract exempted the purchase of materials from sales tax.
- 7. The general contractor, the Dormitory Authority and applicant did not intend to include a sales tax on the bid prices of the capital improvements, and no sales tax was in fact charged to or collected from the general contractor or the Dormitory Authority.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the general contract provision which states that the Dormitory Authority was a tax exempt institution and, therefore, no sales or use taxes should be included in the bid price or charges to it for the purchase of materials by the contractors is the controlling factor in this case. No sales tax is imposed on purchases of materials for capital improvements made for the Dormitory Authority, where the general contract provides that sales tax should not be included in the bid or charges to it. Sweet Associates v. Gallman, 29 N.Y. 2d 902.
- B. That the application of Davis-Eckert-Joint Venture is granted and the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued October 20, 1969 is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York

December 13, 1978

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER