In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARIA MELCON d/b/a CHANGO SUPER MARKET (Purchaser) AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERREPOED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the 1969 through November 30, 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Donna Scranton

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of , 19 76, she served the within June Notice of Decaration (or Determination) by (cereoffied) mail upon Maria Melcon d/b/a Chango Super Market (representative x of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Maria Melcon

d/b/a Chango Super Market

67-20 48th Avenue

Woodside, New York and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

11th day of June

, 1976. Semas cuntos

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

MARIA MELCON d/b/a CHANGO SUPER MARKET (Purchaser) AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (SERVINGED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales and Use:
Taxes under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) Period April 1; 1969 through November 30, 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of June, 19 76, she served the within Notice of Accisions (or Determination) by (entified) mail upon Emil Sanchez, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Emil Sanchez, Esq.

11 Park Place
New York, New York 10007

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

	before me thi			
llth _{da}	y of June	, 1976.	Conna Decanton	
-	ant mach			



Г

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

DATED:

Albany, N.Y.
June 11, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518)457-3850-

Maria Melcon d/b/a Chango Super Market 67-20 48th Avenue Woodside, New York

Dear Mrs. Melcon:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

very trally yours,

Enc.

SUPERVISING TAX HEARING OFFICER

cc:

Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

MARIA MELCON d/b/a
CHANGO SUPER MARKET (Purchaser)

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes for the Periods April 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970.

Applicant, Maria Melcon d/b/a Chango Super Market, 67-20
48th Avenue, Woodside, New York, has filed an application for revision of a determination or for a refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the periods April 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970.

A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on October 22, 1974, at 1:15 P.M. and was continued on December 16, 1974, at 1:30 P.M. Applicant appeared by Emil Sanchez, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (Solomon Sies, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Was the computation of additional sales tax due from Jose Formoso d/b/a Chango Super Market made on the basis of estimated

purchases for the periods April 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970, pursuant to section 1138(a) of the Tax Law a fair and reasonable assessment of sales tax liability?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On December 3, 1970, applicant, Maria Melcon, entered into a contract for the purchases in bulk of all the business assets of Chango Super Market located at 525 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, New York, from Jose Formoso. She continued the business in the same name.
- 2. On December 23, 1970, the Sales Tax Bureau issued a notice of claim to purchaser (Bulk Sales No. BSQ39478) to applicant,
 Maria Melcon.
- 3. On August 4, 1971, a bulk sale audit report was issued by the Sales Tax Bureau assessing the sales tax due and owing from Jose Formoso d/b/a Chango Super Market for the period April 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970. The findings of the report indicated that an additional sales tax of \$6,781.00 plus penalties and interest of \$815.27 for a total of \$7,596.27 was due and owing over and above the sales tax previously reported and paid by Mr. Formoso. This assessment was computed in the following fashion. The only records available to the tax examiner were a general ledger and purchase inwices held at the offices of Azan Brothers & Co., Mr. Formoso's

accounting firm. It was discovered that Mr. Formoso had been in business for the months of April and May, 1969. Recorded purchases amounted to \$17,117.70. A credit for beginning inventory of \$2,000.00 was applied, creating a net purchase figure of \$15,117.00. A markup of 25% was applied to the net purchase figure creating an estimated sales figure of \$18,897.12 for April and May, 1969. An analysis of purchases made for the months of August and November, 1969, was made to determine the sales tax for the period reported by Mr. Formoso. A markup of 25% was then applied to these purchases resulting in total sales for August and November of \$31,188.15. This amount was reduced by the gross sales reported per books for the same months. The difference was then compared to gross sales per books resulting in a percentage of error of unreported sales of 256.44%. Gross sales reported and unreported were combined yielding a gross sales figure of \$280,357.04.

It was determined that 43.03% of the gross sales of November and August, 1969, were subject to sales tax. This percentage was applied to the total estimated gross sales for the tax period in question resulting in a taxable sales amount of \$120,637.63. This figure was reduced by taxable sales reported resulting in additional taxable sales of \$113,017.63 from which additional tax plus penalties and interest were assessed.

4. On February 22, 1971, a Notice of Determination and demand for payment of sales and use taxes due (Notice No. 90303658) was issued by the Sales Tax Bureau to Jose Formoso d/b/a Chango Super Market as seller and to applicant, Maria Melcon, as purchaser, demanding the payment of additional tax, penalties and interest amounting to \$7,596.27.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

That the computation of additional sales tax due from Α. Jose Formoso d/b/a Chango Super Market for the period April 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970, based on estimated taxable sales for the period was fair and reasonable. Where inadequate recordation exists to indicate the actual nature and extent of taxable business transactions, the tax may be computed on the basis of external indices such as purchases. The sample testing period was of ample length to render a reasonably accurate estimate of gross sales for the entire period. The 25% markup of recorded purchases was a fair and reasonable method of estimating gross sales from purchases. The determination of a percentage figure for unreported sales to reported sales and the computation of taxable sales were similarly done by fair methods. Where a taxpayer has failed to keep adequate records, the Sales Tax Bureau may employ methods reasonably calculated to reflect the taxes including the use of sampler methods. A test check of taxpayer's transactions will be held valid if it is fairly

and reasonably calculated to approximate the taxpayer's actual liability. Absolute precision in this respect is not necessary.

- B. That applicant, Maria Melcon d/b/a Chango Super Market, as a bulk sale purchaser is liable for unpaid sales tax due from Jose Formoso d/b/a Chango Super Market, the bulk sale seller.for the period from April 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970, in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 1141(c) of the Tax Law.
- C. That the application of Maria Melcon d/b/a Chango Super Market is in all respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York June 11, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARKE

COMMISSIONER