STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition	-
	:
of	
MORRIS WITTENBERG	:
D/B/A MORRIS TOYLAND	
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or	:
a Revision of a Determination or a Refund	
of Sales and Use	:
Taxes under Article(s) 28 and 29 of the	
Tax Law for the Xeas (e) or Period(s)	:
August 1, 1965 - August 31, 1967	-

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Carmen Mottolese she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1976, she served the within age, and that on the 6th day of August Notice of Determination by (**certified**) mail upon Morris Wittenberg d/b/a Morris Toyland (representative wet the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed Morris Wittenberg as follows: d/b/a Morris Toyland 1896 Third Avenue New York, New York and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a

(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the trepresentative last known address of the knew knew knew of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

6th

day of August , 1976. <u>annen Mottalee</u>

mit mack

TA-3 (2/76)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

TAX APPEALS BUREAU STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

August 6, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Г

Morris Wittenberg d/b/a Morris Toyland 1896 Third Avenue New York, New York

Dear Mr. Wittenberg:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) **1138 and 1250** of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 months** from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

burs,

Enc.

Faul B. Coburn Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

TA-1.12 (1/76)

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

	·····	
In the Matter of the Application	:	
of	:	
MORRIS WITTENBERG,	: DETERMI	NATI
d/b/a MORRIS TOYLAND	:	
for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales or Use Taxes Due under	:	
Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Periods August 1, 1965 through	:	
August 31, 1967.	:	

Morris Wittenberg, d/b/a Morris Toyland, 1896 Third Avenue, New York, New York, filed an application under sections 1138 and 1250 of the Tax Law for a hearing to review a determination of sales taxes due under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the periods August 1, 1965 through August 31, 1967.

Said determination was asserted by Notice No. 90,753,979 issued February 5, 1968, and is in the amount of \$7,103.77 plus interest and penalty of \$1,293.37 for a total of \$8,397.14.

A hearing was duly held on October 21, 1975, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The applicant appeared by its sole owner, Morris Wittenberg. The Sales Tax Bureau was represented by Peter Crotty, Esq., appearing by Michael Alexander, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUES

The issues in this case are the amount of applicant's taxable sales and the rate at which sales tax should be computed.

FINDINGS OF FACT

 Applicant, Morris Wittenberg, does business as a sole proprietor under the name of Morris Toyland at 1896 Third Avenue, New York City. His sales consist mostly of toys and stationary. He also repairs bicycles.

2. Applicant sells toys to some organizations exempt from sales tax. These included churches, settlement houses and youth programs. These sales to exempt organizations had not become a great part of applicant's business prior to the close of the period under review. Applicant asserts that on many small sales to children he chose to absorb the sales tax. However, applicant has shown no documentation of this.

3. Applicant filed sales tax returns. The amounts shown for taxable sales for the entire audit period amounted to 62.42% of the reported gross sales. The statutory sales tax rate at the time was 5%.

-2-

4. An audit was made of applicant's business. The month of March, 1967 was used as a test period. The applicant could produce bills to exempt organizations to account for only 3.45% of his gross receipts. Applicant has not produced any further documentation as to items of sales which would be exempt from tax.

5a. The determination under review is computed on the basis of taxable sales amounting to 96.55% of the reported gross sales. The applicant's reported total sales are accepted as filed.

b. The determination computes the applicable sales tax rate to take into account over-collections by applicant under the bracket system of collection. The auditor used a figure of 5.15% which is based on his own experience of auditing retail stores. He did not, however, check this against applicant's own records.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the taxable sales as audited are found to be correct. The applicant has the burden of showing the amount of any additional amount of exempt sales which should be subtracted from gross sales. This burden has not been met.

B. That the tax should be computed at the statutory rate and not at any higher effective rate. The effective rate used in the audit under review is not based on an examination of this applicant's own experience. Applicant has shown no reason why the

-3-

penalty and interest should be reduced below the amount stated in the determination under review.

C. That the determination under review is redetermined to be \$6,555.20 with penalty and interest of \$1,293.37 for a total of \$7,848.57. Said sum is due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 1145 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York August 6, 1976 STATE TAX COMMISSION

1.6 PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER/