In the Matter of the Petition

of

FREDRIC P. RECKSIEK

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or :
a Revision of a Determination or a Refund
of Sales & Use :
Taxes under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the
Tax Law for the **Xexx(s)**xor** Period(x) :
September 1, 1974 through November 30, 1974.

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 8th day of April , 1977, Whe served the within

Notice of Determination by (xextified) mail upon Fredric P. Recksiek

(REPRESENCE INTEREST OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

1 Linwood Lane Fort Salonga, New York 11768

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representations at the last known address of the (representative executive executive) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

and much

8th day of April

. 1977.

Bruce Batchelor



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

April 8, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518)457-1723

Mr. Fredric P. Recksiek 1 Linwood Lane Fort Salonga, New York 11768

Dear Mr. Recksiek:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 1139 and 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours

Prank J. Puccia

Supervisor of Small

Claims Hearings

c: **XBEXXIGUENCÓ CREPTO CONTRACTOR**:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

FREDRIC P. RECKSIEK

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period September 1, 1974 through November 30, 1974.

Applicant, Fredric P. Recksiek, residing at 1 Linwood Lane, Fort Salonga, New York 11768, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period September 1, 1974 through November 30, 1974.

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph A. Milack, Small Claims Hearings Officer, on October 18, 1976, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York. The petitioner appeared projection-size. The Sales Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (Abraham Schwartz, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether applicant, Fredric P. Recksiek, is entitled to a refund of sales tax allegedly overpaid on the purchase of an automobile.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Applicant, Fredric P. Recksiek, purchased an automobile on October 11, 1974 from Bill Pratt Motors for the sum of \$1,600.00 plus sales tax of \$112.00, making full payment by means of a

personal check. Upon taking possession, he discovered that the automobile was defective and stopped payment on said check. Thereafter, Bill Pratt Motors agreed to accept \$1,400.00 from applicant as payment of the automobile, which was to include the applicable sales tax, thereby making an allowance for the defect in the automobile. No formal agreement or adjusted sales invoice was rendered to reflect the aforesaid settlement.

- 2. Applicant, Fredric P. Recksiek, contended that although the sales price of the automobile was reduced, the sales tax charged thereon was not, thereby resulting in an overpayment of said tax.
- 3. In the absence of an adjusted invoice or other documentary evidence indicating the actual sales tax paid on the sale after the adjustments, it must be concluded that applicant, Fredric P. Recksiek, has not sustained the burden on proof necessary to substantiate his claim.
 - 4. Applicant, Fredric P. Recksiek's claim for refund is denied.

DATED: Albany, New York
April 8, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

THEFTER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER