STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION Nehr Sittling Confue.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

NEHI BOTTLING CO., INC.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales & Use

Taxes under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the

Tax Law for the (**Ran(***) periods ending Aug. 31, 1965 thru Feb. 28, 1967

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Martha Funaro she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 8th day of June , 1971, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Donald C. Lubick (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Donald C. Lubick c/o Hodgson, Russ, Andrews, Woods & Goodyear One M & T Plaza Buffalo, New York 14203 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

the Funaro

Sworn to before me this

8th day of June, 1971.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

NEHI BOTTLING CO., INC.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales & Use

Taxes under Article(s)28 & 29 of the
Tax Law for the (Keartx) periods ending
Aug. 31, 1965 thru Feb. 28, 1967

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 8th day of June , 1971, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Nehi Bottling
Co., Inc. (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Nehi Bottling Co., Inc.
415 Sycamore Street
Buffalo, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Wartha Funaro

Sworn to before me this

8th day of June , 1971.

Linda Wilson

John C. Danforth

Attorney General of Missouri Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri

New York State Tax Commission Administrative Secretary

State Capitol Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application :

of

NEHI BOTTLING CO., INC.

DETERMINATION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under. Articles 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the periods ended August 31, 1965 through February 28, 1967.

Nehi Bottling Company, Inc., a registered vendor, applied for a revision of a determination of additional sales and use taxes under Articles 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the periods

August 1, 1965, through February 28, 1967. A formal hearing was held before Alfred Rubenstein, Hearing Officer, in the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of Buffalo on September 17, 1968. The applicant was represented by Messrs. Hodgson, Russ, Andrews, Woods & Goodyear, Esqs. (Donald C. Lubick, Esq. of Counsel).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The vendor filed New York State sales and use tax returns for the period of August 1, 1965, through February 28, 1967.
- 2. On September 28, 1967, the Department of Taxation and Finance issued notice of determination and demand No. 90753040 in the amount of \$3,566.61 plus penalty and interest of \$616.41, together totalling \$4,183.02. The amount included penalty at 5% and interest at 1% per month. Vendor paid \$3,868.91 on account on or about 90 days following the issuance of the determination.

The notice was based on a finding by the bureau that vendor's purchases of deposit bottles, carrying cases for the bottles and partitions for the cases are taxable purchases at retail under section 1101(b)(4) of the Tax Law.

3. The vendor is a bottler of carbonated beverages. All products except for a few vending machine sales, are sold to retailers and distributors who are registered vendors. The vendor's

business consisted of filling deposit bottles or purchasing canned beveraged for resale.

- 4. Ownership of the bottles stayed with the vendor at all times. Retailers and distributors paid the vendor a deposit on each bottle. The payment was less than the cost of the bottle. The deposit amount was credited to the retailers and distributors when the bottles were returned to the plant.
- 5. The determination included imposition of use taxes on purchases of filled metal cans for resale, import duties, custom house fees, and delivery bond fees. The taxes attributed to these items amounted to \$8.15 for the period ended August 31, 1965, and \$32.01 for the period ended November 30, 1965, or a total of \$40.16.
- 6. The vendor failed to sustain its burden of proof that the deposit bottles, carrying cases and partitions became a component part of the product produced and sold to its customers.

DETERMINATION

- A. The deposit bottles, carrying cases and partitions are taxable purchases under section 1101(b)(4) of the Tax Law.
- B. The filled non-returnable metal cans purchased by the vendor and resold, import duties, custom house fees, and delivery bond fees were erroneously included in the notice of determination and are nontaxable under Articles 28 & 29 of the Tax Law.
- C. The penalty at 5% and interest at 12% per annum are law-ful and are sustained.
- D. The application is sustained in part and the determination is reduced to \$3,526.31, [\$3,566.61, item 2 above less \$40.16, item 5 above], plus penalties and interest as sustained in item C above.

DATED: Albany, New York

June 8, 1971.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

Peter H. Ruger, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City, Missouri

Dear Mr. Ruger:

In regard to your letter of June 14, 1972, I am enclosing a copy of the Mehi Bottling Co., Inc. determination.

Yours very truly,

EDWARD ROOK Secretary to the State Tax Commission

Enclosure



OFFICES OF THE

JOHN C. DANFORTH

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

June 14, 1972

Administrative Secretary New York State Tax Commission State Capitol Albany, New York

Sir:

Please send me copy of your decision in the recent case styled Matter of the Application of Nehi Bottling Co., Inc. This was the decision that held that returnable soft drink bottles were subject to the New York State sales tax.

Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

PETER H. RUGER Assistant Attorney General

PHR:fs