## IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION OF

## IRVING TRUST COMPANY

For Refund of Sales and Use Taxes imposed pursuant to Article 28 and under the : authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law, and imposed pursuant to New York City Local: Law No. 73 of 1965, as amended, for the period commencing August 1, 1965 and ending: December 31, 1965, for the calendar years 1966, 1967 and 1968 and for the period: commencing January 1, 1969 and ending December 23, 1969.

FORMAL DETERMINATION

The taxpayer, Irving Trust Company, having duly and timely filed applications for refund of sales and compensating use taxes for the periods August 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965, January 1, 1966 through December 31, 1966, January 1, 1967 through December 31, 1967, January 1, 1968 through December 31, 1968, and January 1, 1969 through December 23, 1969 imposed pursuant to Article 28 and under the authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law, and imposed pursuant to New York City Local Law No. 73 of 1965, as amended; and said applications for refund having been denied by the State Tax Commission; and the taxpayer then having duly and timely filed applications for a hearing by the State Tax Commission to review the denials of the requested refunds; and a stipulation of facts having been entered into by and between the taxpayer, Irving Trust Company, and the State Tax Commission, a copy of such stipulation of facts together with the exhibits which are part thereof, being hereto attached and made a part of this determination, the State Tax Commission hereby finds the following facts:

The taxpayer, Irving Trust Company, is a commercial bank and trust company incorporated under the laws of the State of New York. It is now and was at all relevant times engaged in the commercial banking business in this State. 2. The taxpayer, during the periods involved, exercised substantially similar functions and engaged in substantially similar business as national banks in the State of New York incorporated under the laws of the United States. The taxpayer, during the periods involved, was a purchaser of tangible personal property and services, including the following: electronic computer equipment and services, office equipment, office furniture and furnishings, office supplies, check books and other bank forms, vaults, safe deposit boxes, automobiles and trucks, repairs, utilities, cleaning, maintenance supplies, medical supplies, publications, storage, printing and mailing, dining room and food service, and give-away premiums. All of the property, and all other items purchased during the aforesaid periods by the taxpayer on which sales or compensating use taxes have been paid, are of a description, nature and kind subject to the sales and compensating use taxes imposed by Article 28 and pursuant to the authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law in the case of persons subject to tax thereunder. 4. All of the aforesaid tangible personal property services and items are similar in description, nature and kind to those purchased or used in this State by purchasers generally, and upon which taxes have been paid by such purchasers whether or not such purchasers were doing a banking business or residing in, doing business in or incorporated in the State of New York or under the laws thereof. The taxpayer concedes that taxes were -2lawfully due and owing from purchasers generally. The taxpayer contends however that those purchasers which were doing a banking business under the facts set forth in Finding of Fact No. 2 were immune from the tax during the periods involved.

5. There is no language contained in Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law, or any local laws, ordinances or resolutions under the authority of such latter article, which imposes sales or compensating use taxes on purchases by banks or banking institutions in a manner different than upon purchasers generally, nor is there any language contained therein which states that banks or banking institutions, whether state or national, are exempt from paying sales or compensating use taxes on its purchases; furthermore, paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of section 1116 of the Tax Law grants to the United States of America and any of its agencies or instrumentalities as purchaser, user or consumer, an exemption only insofar as it is immune from taxation. Such paragraph reads as follows:

"Sec. 1116. Exempt organizations.--(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, any sale or amusement charge by or to any of the following or any use or occupancy by any of the following shall not be subject to the sales and compensating use taxes imposed under this article:

\* \* \*

"(2) The United States of America, and any of its agencies and instrumentalities, insofar as it is immune from taxation where it is the purchaser, user or consumer, or where it sells services or property of a kind not ordinarily sold by private persons;"

6. As decided by the United States Supreme Court in First Agric. Nat. Bank of Berkshire County v. State Tax Comm., 392 U.S. 339, a state has no power to tax national banks unless specifically authorized by Congress and that Congress had not, prior to the expiration of the periods in issue, authorized the imposition of sales and use taxes; that the New York Court of Appeals in the case of Liberty Nat. Bank & Trust Co. v. William K. Buscaglia, 23 N Y 2d 933, reversing 21 N Y 2d 357;

affirmed a lower court order exempting national banks from sales and use taxes upon the constraint of the <u>First Agric.</u>

Nat. Bank of Berkshire County decision <u>supra</u>, and of

Dickinson v. First Nat. Bank of Homestead, 393 U.S. 409;

that accordingly the taxes imposed by Article 28 and under the authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law of New York State were not among those permitted by Congress in R.S., Section 5219 (12 U.S.C. Section 548) and national banks were therefore immune from taxation under such Articles.

7. Section 4 of Article XVI of the Constitution of the State of New York, which Article was adopted by Constitutional Convention of 1938 and approved by vote of the people November 8, 1938, provides as follows:

## "ARTICLE XVI

\* \* \*

- "§ 4. Where the state has power to tax corporations incorporated under the laws of the United States there shall be no discrimination in the rates and method of taxation between such corporations and other corporations exercising substantially similar functions and engaged in substantially similar business within the state."
- 8. On December 24, 1969, immediately subsequent to the taxable periods herein, the law set forth in section 548 of Title 12 of the United States Code (R.S., Section 5219) was amended to permit the imposition by states of sales and compensating use taxes upon national banks; that from December 24, 1969 to date, by virtue of the provisions of the aforesaid Federal statute and paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of section 1116 of the Tax Law of New York which exempted instrumentalities of the United States of America from sales and use taxes only if immune from taxation, national banks lost their immunity, and from December 24, 1969 to date sales and compensating use taxes have been imposed upon national banks; that the taxpayer is not contesting the payment of sales and compensating use taxes for any periods subsequent to December 23, 1969.

Based upon the foregoing the State Tax Commission hereby DETERMINES: That the sales and compensating use taxes imposed by Article 28 and under the authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law are imposed upon purchasers generally. That, accordingly, such taxes are general taxes and not imposed upon banks as a class; that such taxes are imposed without discrimination upon a general class of purchasers including (Matter of Bank of Manhattan Co. v. Murphy, 293 N.Y. 515) C. That neither the spirit nor the letter of section 4 of Article XVI of the Constitution of the State of New York is violated by the provisions of Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law and of any laws, ordinances or resolutions made under the authority of Article 29, which impose taxes without discrimination, upon a broad class in which banks are included, not because they are engaged in banking but because they are purchasers. (Matter of Bank of Manhattan Co. v. Murphy, 293 N.Y. 515) D. That throughout the entire taxable period herein, the restriction set forth in section 4 of Article XVI of the Constitution of the State of New York had no application, and state banks remained subject to the sales and compensating use taxes, since such periods were prior in time to December 24, 1969, upon which date Congress granted to the states permission to impose sales and compensating use taxes on national banks. (Matter of Bank of Manhattan Co. v. Murphy, 293 N.Y. 515) Ε. That any sales and compensating use taxes which may have been paid by the taxpayer during the periods involved were -5required to be paid; accordingly, the State Tax Commission properly denied the taxpayer's application for refund, and such denial of refund is hereby affirmed.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

DATED: ALBANY, NEW YORK

**DECEMBER 22, 1971** 

1

## IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION OF IRVING TRUST COMPANY

For Refund of Sales and Use Taxes imposed pursuant to Article 28 and under the authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law, and imposed pursuant to New York City Local Law No. 73 of 1965, as amended, for the period commencing August 1, 1965 and ending December 31, 1965, for the calendar years 1966, 1967 and 1968 and for the period commencing January 1, 1969 and ending December 23, 1969.

## STIPULATION OF FACTS

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the following facts are applicable with respect to all the above-captioned periods and further that such facts shall be taken in lieu of a formal hearing as the basis for any determination by the State Tax Commission,

Irving Trust Company (hereinafter called "the taxpayer") and the State Tax Commission having waived the right to present any further evidence at a hearing or to make any further examination or inquiry except as set forth below:

1. The taxpayer filed applications for refund of sales and compensating use taxes on tangible personal property, services and other items purchased or used by it within this State, such taxes being imposed under the

laws specified in the caption hereof.

2. Such applications for refund, copies of which are hereto attached and marked Exhibits Al, A2, A3, A4, and A5, were duly and timely filed pursuant to section 1139 of the Tax Law for the periods, in the amounts, and on the dates set forth below:

AMOUNT	DATE
¢ 80 830 00	December 3, 1969
\$ 80,930.00	December 3, 1909
•	
200,640.00	December 3, 1969
198 790 00	December 3. 1969
258,810.00	December 3, 1969
445,948.24	August 3, 1970
	\$ 80,930.00 200,640.00 198 790.00 258,810.00

3. The first four applications for refund filed for the period August 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 and for the calendar years 1966, 1967 and 1968 were denied by the State Tax Commission

on December 18, 1969, and the application for refund filed for the period January 1, 1969 through December 23, 1969 was denied by the State Tax Commission on September 1, 1970, copies of the letters by the State Tax Commission denying such applications being hereto attached and marked Exhibits Bl and B2.

4. The taxpayer, on March 10, 1970, duly and timely filed an application for a hearing by the State Tax Commission to review the denial of the refunds requested in the first four said applications, and on September 10, 1970 duly and timely filed an application for a hearing by the State Tax Commission to review the denial of the refund requested in the last said application, copies of the letters applying for a hearing being hereto attached and marked Exhibits Cl and C2.

- 5. The taxpayer is a commercial bank and trust company incorporated under the laws of the State of New York. It is now and was at all relevant times engaged in the commercial banking business in this State, primarily in the City of New York.
- 6. The taxpayer, during the periods involved, exercised substantially similar functions and engaged in substantially similar business as national banks in the State of New York incorporated under the laws of the United States.
- 7. The taxpayer, during the periods involved, was a purchaser of tangible personal property and services, including the following:

electronic computer equipment and services, office equipment, office furniture and furnishings, office supplies, check books and other bank forms, vaults, safe deposit boxes, automobiles and trucks, repairs, utilities, cleaning, maintenance supplies, medical supplies, publications, storage, printing and mailing, dining room and food service, and give-away premiums.

All of the property, and all other items purchased during the aforesaid periods by the taxpayer on which sales or compensating use taxes had been paid, are of

a description, nature and kind subject to the sales and compensating use taxes imposed by Article 28 and pursuant to the authority of Article 29 of the Tax Law in the case of persons subject to tax thereunder.

- 8. All of the aforesaid tangible personal property, services and items are similar in description, nature and kind to those purchased or used in this State by purchasers generally and upon which taxes have been lawfully due and owing and paid by such purchasers, whether or not such purchasers were doing a banking business or residing in, doing business in or incorporated in the State of New York or under the laws thereof; provided, however, that this paragraph shall not be construed as a stipulation as to whether or not such taxes were lawfully due and owing and paid by purchasers doing a banking business in the State of New York in the manner set forth in paragraph 6 of this stipulation.
- 9. No determination denying a refund of tax shall be made by the State Tax Commission on the ground that proof of payment of tax by the taxpayer has not been shown, unless the taxpayer is first afforded an opportunity to present proof of such payment

acceptable to the State Tax Commission.

- the taxpayer shall first be required to submit proof that the taxes have been paid by it. Furthermore, before any refund can be made, the State Tax Commission shall have the right to examine into the proof offered by the taxpayer and to audit the books and records of the taxpayer to ascertain the amount of taxes paid. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to deprive the taxpayer of any lawful remedies to review the amount refunded in the event that a refund is made and the amount refunded is less than the amount requested by the taxpayer in its applications for refund.
- which the taxpayer has duly filed timely applications for refund of sales and use taxes and also timely applications for hearing in the event of a denial of the applications for refund, the State Tax Commission may defer the issuance of determinations for such periods until a final determination has been made by the State Tax Commission or by the courts with respect

to the periods herein.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Counsel for State Tax

IRVING TRUST COMPANY

BY KROVER RECKLI

# STATE OF NEV RK - DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE SALLS TAX SUREAU STATE CAMPUS, ALBANY, N.Y. 12225

## APPLICATION FOR CREDIT OR REFUND OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES OR USE TAX

TYPE OR PRINT	*	<b>-</b> #			
NAME OF CLAIMANT				IDENTIFICATION NO. (IF RE	
•	IRVING TRU	ST COMPANY		13-5160360	August 1, 1965 thre
STREET ADDRESS		<u> </u>	•	PERIOD COVERED BY CLAIM	Warrist 1, 1965 thre
	1 Vall Str	2.5 <del>†</del> .			
CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE	REFUND CLAIMED	
New York		N.Y.	10015	\$ 80.030.	
NAME OF REPRESENT	TATIVE (IF ANY)			CREDIT CLAINED	•
		•		<b>S</b>	<u>:</u>
STREET ADDRESS					
				IF CREDIT SHOWN AT	BOVE HAS ALREADY BEEN RN. INDICATE
CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE		e e
•				PERIOD	
<del></del>					

Give a full explanation below, including all facts on which your claim is based. Use additional sheets if necessary and submit all documents necessary to properly substantiate your claim.

Claim is hereby made for a refund of Sales and Compensating Use Taxes imposed under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law, Chapter 60, Consolidated Laws, as amended.

Since Section 5219 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court in First Agricultural National Bank v. State Tax Schoolson and by the New York State Court of Appeals in Liberty Lauteman First & Texas Company of Lauteman v. Lauteman, prohibits imposition of the Sales and Compensating Use Taxes on national banks, we contend that the imposition of such tax on Irving Trust Company, a state-chartered bank, constitutes discrimination in a method of taxation between state-chartered banks and national banks which is illegal and unconstitutional under Article XVI, Section 4 of the New York State Constitution.

Detailed data and substantiation of this claim as required by the instructions on the reverse side hereof, are not being furnished herewith inactuch as letter dated March 25, 1969 from Fred W. Tierney, Director Sales Tax Bureau, addressed to Sullivan & Cromwell, Esqu., New York, N.Y. (copy attached) temporarily suspends this requirement pending the conclusion of the litigation involved in this matter. Said detailed data will, however, he available at claimant's office at 1 Vall Street, New York, N.Y.

representative of such claimant, do hereby make apply York State Tax Law, and certify that all New York Stapaid; that no partion of the tax has been refunded or items for which refund or credit was previously received.	ication for refund and/or credit of so the sales and use taxes, if any, for v credited to me by any vendor; and	ales or use tax, pursuant to the New which this claim is filed, have been
SEC-ASTRUCT	TITLE	December 3, 1969
SEVANSTRUCT	TONS ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM	1Decretar_3,_1909

STAIR OF MEN TURK

## DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

FALES TAX BUREAU

FREDERICK W. TICKRES

DIRECTOR

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY 10

TE TAX COMMISSION

JOSEPH N. HURRHY, PACHUENT A. DRUCE MARLEY STAYE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 Telephone 474 - 2121

- March 25, 1969

Sullivan & Cronwell, Esqs. A8 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

Attention Kendyl K. Monroe, Esq.

Gentlemen:

Re: New York Clearing House Association

This is in reply to your letter dated March 18, 1969 written on behalf of the New York Clearing House Association regarding procedures for filing applications for refund by banks pending the conclusion of litigation concerning the sales tax status of national banks and State banks.

We have had correspondence with Mr. John F. Lea, Executive Vice-President of New York Clearing House, regarding this matter. Our letter dated October 15, 1968 contained much of the information relating to the Procedures for litting applications for these refunds.

As suggested in your letter of March 18, 1969, in order to evoid the necessity of furnishing the detailed data and substantiation required by the instructions on Form ST-137, banks will be permitted to file applications on this form without submitting detailed schedules and other substantiating information pending the conclusion of the litigation referred to above. The claim on Form ST-137 should be filed before the expiration of the statute of limitations and will serve to protect the bank's right to refund until the matter is finally determined.

Although the time for assessing any additional sales tax and for claiming refund may be extended under the provisions of Section 11/7(c) when a proper consent form is signed by the taxpayer, this procedure is not applicable under the circumstances involved. These provisions are intended to extend the time for assessment of tax when the three year limitation for audit does not allow sufficient time for the Tax Department to make a proper determination of tax. On this basis, the taxpayer is also granted an extension of time for filling an application for refund.

The bank's rights will be adequately protected when the type of application for refund mentioned above is filed within the time prescribed.

Vory truly yours,

Fred W. Thorney
Director, Sales Tax Bureau

# STATE OF NE TAKE DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE SALES TAX BUREAU STATE CAMPUS, ALBANY, N.Y. 12226

A =

## APPLICATION FOR CREDIT OR REFUND OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES OR USE TAX

		·
		IDENTIFICATION NO. (IF REGISTERED VENDOR)
TRIEST COMPARY		13-51603320
		PERIOD COVERED BY CLAIM
Stroot		January 1, 1966 - December 31, 1966
STATE	ZIP CODE	REFUND CLAYMED
M.Y.	10015	\$ 200.640.
·		CREDIT CLAIMED
•	•	\$
		IF CREDIT SHOWN ABOVE HAS ALREADY BEEN CLAIMED ON A RETURN, INDICATE
STATE	ZIP CODE	
		PERIOD
	N.Y.	Street STATE ZIP CODE NAY. 10015

Give a full explanation below, including all facts on which your claim is based. Use additional sheets if necessary and submit all documents necessary to properly substantiate your claim.

Claim is hereby made for a refund of Sales and Compensating Use Taxes imposed under Articles 23 and 29 of the Tax Law, Chapter 60, Consolidated Laws, as amended.

Since Section 5219 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court in First Accienting Hational Fank V.

State Tax Commission and by the New York State Court of Appeals in Liberty

The Sales and Compensating Use Taxes on national tanks, we contend that the imposition of such tax on Irving Trust Company, a state-chartered bank, constitutes discrimination in a method of taxation between state-chartered banks and national basis which is illegal and unconstitutional under Article XVI, Section 4 of the New York State Constitution.

Detailed data and substantiation of this claim as required by the instructions on the reverse side harpef, are not being furnished herewith inasmuch as letter dated March 25, 1969 from Fred W. Tierney, Director Sales Tax Bureau, addressed to Sullivan & Chemuell, Esqs., New York, N.Y. (copy attached) temporarily suspends this requirement pending the conclusion of the litigation involved in this matter. Said detailed data will, however, be available at claiment's office at 1 Wall Street, New York, N.Y.

						•		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		•
							•	
representative of such a York State Tax Law, and paid; that no partian of items for which refund or	certify that all Nev	make application v York State sal efunded or credi	n for refund a es and use to	ind/or credi exes, if any	it of sales , for which	or use tax, pu this claim is	irsuant to the s filed, have t	New boen
SIGNATURE CALL	/Ores		TITLE	on Proof	?ont	DOC	omber 3, 1	(565)
t the state of the	SE.	Cinuctions o	THE BACK O	ic this foat	1			18.55

## DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

FALES TAX BUREAU

· FREDERICK W. YIERHIY

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

TE TAX COMMISSION

LOSEPH H. HURPHY, PASSIDENT

A. BRUCE HANLEY

STATE CAMPUS
ALBANY, N. Y. 12226
TELEPHONE 474 - 2121

March 25, 1969

Sullivan & Cromwell, Esqs. 48 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

Attention Kendyl K. Monroe, Esq.

Gentlemen:

Re: New York Clearing House Association

This is in reply to your letter dated March 18, 1969 written on behalf of the New York Clearing House Association regarding procedures for filing applications for refund by banks pending the conclusion of litigation concerning the sales tax status of national banks and State banks.

We have had correspondence with Mr. John F. Lee, Executive Vice-President of New York Clearing House, logarding this matter. Our letter dated October 15, 1968 contained much of the information relating to the procedures for filing applications for these refunds.

As suggested in your letter of March 18, 1969, in order to avoid the necessity of furnishing the detailed data and substantiation required by the instructions on Form ST-137, banks will be permitted to file applications on this form without submitting detailed schedules and other substantiating information pending the conclusion of the litigation referred to above. The claim on Form ST-137 should be filed before the expiration of the statute of limitations and will serve to protect the bank's right to refund until the matter is finally determined.

Although the time for assessing any additional sales tax and for claiming refund may be extended under the provisions of Section 1147(c) when a proper consent form is signed by the taxpayer, this procedure is not applicable under the circumstances involved. These provisions are intended to extend the time for assessment of tax when the three year limitation for audit does not allow sufficient time for the Tax Department to make a proper determination of tax. On this basis, the taxpayer is also granted an extension of time for filling an application for refund.

The bank's rights will be adequately protected when the type of application for refund mentioned above is filed within the time prescribed.

Vory truly yours,

Fred W. Tierney

#### STATE OF NEL JRK - DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANC SALES TAX BUREAU STATE CAMPUS, ALBANY, N.Y. 12225

A 3

## APPLICATION FOR CREDIT OR REFUND OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES OR USE TAX

TYPE OR PRINT		.*	
NAME OF CLAIMANT			IDENTIFICATION NO. (IF REGISTERED VENDOR)
TRYTTE, ME	UST COMMITY		PERIOD COVERED BY CLAIM
STREET ADDRESS		•	PERIOD COVERED BY CLAIM
า พราก รูเ	most	·	James 1, 1967 - December 31, 196
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	REFUND CLAIMED
You York	N.Y.	10015	\$ 108 700
NAME OF REPRESENTATIVE (IF ANY)			CREDIT CLAIMED
	•	• •	\$
STREET ADDRESS			IF CREDIT SHOWN ABOVE HAS ALREADY BEEN CLAIMED ON A RETURN, INDICATE
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	
			PERIOD

Give a full explanation below, including all facts on which your claim is based. Use additional sheets if necessary and submit all documents necessary to properly substantiate your claim.

Claim is hereby made for a refund of Sales and Compensating Use Taxes imposed under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law, Chapter 60, Consolidated Laws, as amended.

Since Section 5219 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, as interpreted by the United States Suprese Court in First Agricultural National Fink V.

State Tex Continuity and by the New York State Court of Appeals in Identity

National First & Trust Company of Enffalo v. Euscaplia, prohibits imposition of the Sales and Companyating the Taxes on national banks, we contend that the imposition of such tax on Trying Trust Company, a state-chartered bank, constitutes discriminables in a method of taxation between state-chartered tanks and national banks which is illegal and unconstitutional under Article XVI,

Section 4 of the New York State Constitution.

Detailed data and substantiation of this claim as required by the instructions on the reverse side horsof, are not being furnished herewith inasmuch as letter dated March 25, 1969 from Fred W. Tierney, Director Sales Tax Fureau, addressed to Sullivan & Gromwell, Esqs., New York, N.Y. (copy attached) temporarily suspends this requirement pending the conclusion of the litigation involved in this natter. Said detailed data will, however, be available at claimant's office at 1 Wall Street, New York, N.Y.

				•
representative of such claimant, do he York State Tax Law, and certify that a paid; that no portion of the tax has bitems for which refend or credit was presented.	reby make application for re II New York State sales and wen refunded or credited to	fund and/or credit of use taxes, if any, fo	sales or use tax, purser which this claim is fi	uant to the New led, have been
SIGNATURE	THLE		DATE	
Jul. / De	SEL TISTRUCTIONS ON THE E	Mico Probident		.bo <u>r_3,_1269</u> _
	<ul> <li>SELETISTRUCTIONS ON THE B</li> </ul>	SACR OF THIS FORM		

FALCS DAN BUREAU

## DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

PREASERICK W. MERREY

TE TAX COMMISSION

LOSEPH H. HURRHY, PAGINGHY

A. BRUCE MARRIEY

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

· TELEPHONE 474 - 2121

March 25, 1969

Sullivan & Cromwell, Esqs. 48 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

Attention Kendyl K. Monroe, Esq.

Gentlemen:

Re: New York Clearing House Association

This is in reply to your letter dated March 18, 1969 written on behalf of the New York Clearing House Association regarding procedures for filing applications for refund by banks pending the conclusion of litigation concerning the sales tax status of national banks and State banks.

We have had correspondence with Mr. John F. Lee, Executive Vice-President of New York Clearing House, regarding this matter. Our letter dated October 25, 1965 contained much of the information relating to the procedures for filing applications for these refunds.

As suggested in your letter of March 15, 1969, in order to avoid the necessity of furnishing the detailed data and substantiation required by the instructions on Form ST-137, banks will be permitted to file applications on this form without submitting detailed schedules and other substantiating information pending the conclusion of the litigation referred to above. The claim on Form ST-137 should be filed before the expiration of the statute of limitations and will serve to protect the bank's right to refund until the matter is finally determined.

Although the time for assessing any additional sales tax and for claiming refund may be extended under the provisions of Section 1147(c) when a proper consent form is signed by the taxpayer, this procedure is not applicable under the circumstances involved. These provisions are intended to extend the time for assessment of tax when the three year limitation for audit does not allow sufficient time for the Tax Department to make a proper determination of tax. On this basis, the taxpayer is also granted an extension of time for filling an application for refund.

The bank's rights will be adequately protected when the type of application for refund mentioned above is filed within the time prescribed.

Very truly yours,

Fred W. Tiorney
Director, Sales Tax Bureau

## APPLICATION FOR CREDIT OR REFUND OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES OR USE TAX

		•
		IDENTIFICATION NO. (IF REGISTERED VENDOR)
TRUST CCIPANY	*	13-51603820
	**************************************	PERIOD COVERED BY CLAIM
1 Street		January 1, 1968 - December 31, 1968
STATE	ZIP CODE	REFUND CLAIMED
N.Y.	10015	\$ 258.810.
		CREDIT CLAIMED
		\$
• •		IF CREDIT SHOWN ABOVE HAS ALREADY BEEN CLAIMED ON A RETURN, INDICATE
STATE	ZIP CODE	
		PERIOD
	N.Y.	Street  STATE  ZIP CODE  N.Y.  1C015

submit all documents necessary to properly substantiate your claim.

Give a full explanation below, including all facts on which your claim is based. Use additional sheets if necessary and

Claim is hereby made for a refund of Sales and Compensating Use Taxes imposed under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law, Chapter. 60, Consolidated Laws, as amended.

Since Section 5219 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court in First Assignitural National Bank V.

State Tex Companies and by the New York State Court of Appeals in Library

Mational Bank & Trust Company of Ruffelo v. Eugenglia, prohibits imposition of the Sales and Compensating Use Taxes on national banks, we contend that the imposition of such tax on Irving Trust Company, a state-chartered bank, constitutes discrimination in a method of taxation between state-chartered banks and national banks which is illegal and unconstitutional under Article XVI, Section A of the New York State Constitution.

Detailed data and substantiation of this claim as required by the instructions on the reverse side hereof, are not being furnished herewith inactuch as letter dated March 25, 1969 from Fred W. Tiermey, Director Sales Tax Eureau, addressed to Sulliven & Gromwell, Esqs., New York, N.Y. (copy attached) temporarily suspends this requirement pending the conclusion of the litigation involved in this matter. Said detailed data will, however, be available at claimant's office at 1 Wall Street, New York, N.Y.

				· ·	•	
York State To paid; that no	A.M. Prantz  e of such claimant, do hereby mak ex Law, and certify that all New Yo pertion of the tax has been refur ch refund or credit was previously	e application for rook State sales and aded or credited to	efund and 'or c I use taxes, if	any, for which t	use tax, pursuo his claim is file	ant to the New ad, have been
SIGNATURE	Que Bund	TITLE	Vice Pr	esident	Date Dace :	50n 3, 1960
	SEE IN	- ARUCTIONS ON THE				

FALES TAX DUREAU

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

. FREDERICK W. TICKHEY

TE TAX COMMISSION JOSEPH H. MURPHY, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MARLEY

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 TELEPHONS 474 - 2121 March 25, 1969

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Sullivan & Cromwell, Esqs. 48 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

Attention Kendyl K. Monroe, Esq.

Gontlemen:

Re: New York Clearing House Association

This is in reply to your letter dated March 18, 1969 written on behalf of the New York Clearing House Association regarding procedures for filing applications for refund by banks pending the conclusion of litigation concerning the sales tax status of national banks and State

We have had correspondence with Mr. John F. Lee, Executive Vice-President of New York Clearing House, regarding this matter. Our letter dated October 19, 1900 contained much of the information relating to the procedures for filing applications for these refunds.

As suggested in your letter of March 18, 1969, in order to avoid the necessity of furnishing the detailed data and substantiation required by the instructions on Form ST-137, banks will be permitted to file applications on this form without submitting detailed schedules and other substantiating information pending the conclusion of the litigation referred to above. The claim on Form ST-137 should be filed before the expiration of the statute of limitations and will serve to protect the bank's right to refund until the matter is finally determined.

Although the time for assessing any additional sales tax and for claiming refund may be extended under the provisions of Section 1147(c) when a proper consent form is signed by the taxpayer, this procedure is not applicable under the circumstances involved. These provisions are intended to extend the time for assessment of tex when the three year limitation for audit does not allow sufficient time for the Tax Department to make a proper determination of tax. On this basis, the taxpayer is also granted an extension of time for filing an application for refund.

The bank's rights will be adequately protected when the type of application for refund mentioned above is filed within the time prescribed.

Very truly yours,

SiW. Prince . Fred W. Tierney

Director, Sales Tax Purceu

STATE CAMPUS. ALBANY, N.Y. -12226

## APPLICATION FOR CREDIT OR REFUND OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES OR USE TAX

TYPE OR PRINT	•		
HAME OF CLAIMANT			IDENTIFICATION NO. (IF REGISTERED VENDOR)
	IRVING TRUST COMPANY		13-51603820
STREET ADDRESS			PERIOD COVERED BY CLAIM
	1 Vall Street		Jamiana 3 7060 - Dagamban 22 1066
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	Jamery 1, 1969 - December 23, 1966
Nov York	N.Y.	10015	\$ 145,948.24
NAME OF REPRESENTATIVE (IF ANY)			CREDIT CLAIMED
	•		2
STREET ADDRESS			
			IF CREDIT SHOWN ABOVE HAS ALREADY BEEN
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	CLAIMED ON A RETURN, INDICATE
			PERIOD
<u> </u>			

Give a full explanation below, including all facts on which your claim is based. Use additional sheets if necessary and submit all documents necessary to properly substantiate your claim.

Claim is hereby made for a refund of Sales and Compensating Use Taxes imposed under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law, Chapter 60, Consolidated Laws, as amended.

Since Section 5219 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court in First Asricultural Pational Bark v. State Tax Cornission and by the New York State Court of Appeals in Liberty National Bank & Invat Company of Fuffalo v. Puncadlia, prohibits imposition of the Sales and Uchbenhating Use Taxes on national banks, we contend that the imposition of such tax on Irving Trust Company, a state-chartered bank, constitutes discrimination in a mothed of texation between state-chartered banks and national banks which is illegal and unconstitutional under Article XVI, Section 4 of the New York State Constitution.

Detailed data and substantiation of this claim as required by the instructions on the reverse side hereof, are not being furnished herewith inasmuch as letter dated March 25, 1969 from Fred W. Tierney, Director Sales Tax Bureau, addressed to Sullivan & Cronwell, Esqs., New York, N.Y. (copy attached) temporarily suspends this requirement pending the conclusion of the litigation involved in this matter. Said detailed data will, however, be available at claimant's office at 1 Wall Street, New York, N.Y.

	·	
1,, the claiman	t named above, or partner, officer, or other authorized	
representative of such claimant, do hereby make application for refund	and/or gradit of color or use tour pursuant to the Mi	
Fork State Tax Law, and certify that all New York State sales and use	taxes if any for which this claim is filed have been	
paid; that no portion of the tax has been refunded or credited to me b	y any vandor; and that this plain does not include a	
items for which refund or credit was previously received.	y day vendor, and mar mis claim does not include any	

# - DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

FALCH TAX BURY

FREDERICK W. TICHA DIRECTOR

ADDRESS YOUR REPLA

TE TAX COMMISSION JOSEPH H. MURPHY, PACSIDENT A. BRUCE HANLEY

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 4 TELEPHONE 474 - 2121

March 25, 1969

Sullivan & Cromwell, Esqs. 48 Wall Street New York, N. Y. 10005

Attention Kendyl K. Monroe, Esq.

Gentlemen:

Re: New York Clearing House Association

This is in reply to your letter dated March 18, 1969 written on behalf of the New York Clearing House Association regarding procedures for filing applications for refund by banks pending the conclusion of litigation concerning the sales tax status of national banks and State

We have had correspondence with Mr. John F. Lee, Executive Vice-President of New York Clearing House, regarding this matter. Our letter dated October 15, 1968 contained much of the information relating to the procedures for filing applications for these retunds.

As suggested in your letter of March 18, 1969, in order to avoid the necessity of furnishing the detailed data and substantiation required by the instructions on Form ST-137, banks will be permitted to file applications on this form without submitting detailed schedules and other substantiating information pending the conclusion of the litigation referred to above. The claim on Form ST-137 should be filed before the expiration of the statute of limitations and will serve to protect the bank's right to refund until the matter is finally determined.

Although the time for assessing any additional sales tax and for claiming refund may be extended under the provisions of Section 1147(c) When a proper consent form is signed by the taxpayer, this procedure is not applicable under the circumstances involved. These provisions are intended to extend the time for assessment of tax when the three year limitation for audit does not allow sufficient time for the Tax Department to make a proper determination of tax. On this basis, the taxpayer is also granted an extension of time for filing an application for refund.

The bank's rights will be adequately protected when the type of application for refund mentioned above is filed within the time prescribed.

Very truly yours,

Few Diney . Fred W. Tierney

Director, Sales Tax Bureau

## STATE OF NEW YORK

SALES TAX BUREAU

## DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

\*FREDERICK W. TIERNEY

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12225 TELEPHONE 474 - 2121

December 18, 1969

Irving Trust Company One Wall Street New York, New York 10015

Attention Albert B. Braatz, Vice President

Claim for Refund: 1965 - 1968 Total Amount \$739,170.00

Gentlemen:

Please be advised that your claim for a refund of sales tax, in the amount of \$739,170.00, is hereby being denied in full.

In the opinion of our legal counsel, even though national banks may be exempt from the New York State and local sales and use taxes under the provisions of R.S. 5219, 12 U.S.C. 545 as interpreted in First Agricultural National Bank of Berkshire County vs. State Tax Commission, 392 U.S. 339, 20 L ed. 1138 and Liberty Matienal Bank and Trust Co. vs. Euscaglia, 23 N.Y. 2d 933. State banks are still lights for these taxes. This conclusion is based on the interpretation by the Court of Appeals of Article XVI, Section 4 of the New York State Constitution in Matter of Bank of Manhattan Company, 293 N.Y. 515.

If you agree to the denial, please sign the statement at the bottom of either copy of this letter and mail it to the New York State Sales Tax Bureau, Audit and Review Unit, Building SA, State Campus, Albany, New York 12226.

This determination, denying your claim in full, shall be final and irrevocable unless you apply to the State Tax Commission for a hearing before a Department hearing officer within nimety (90) days from the date of this letter in accordance with the provisions of Section 1139(b) of the Tax Law.

Very truly yours,

Fred W. Tierney

Director, Sales Tax Bureau

The denial indicated above is acceptable to me. I hereby withdraw my application for refund filed on December 3, 1969 in the amount of \$739,170.00.

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE OF NEW YORK

SALES TAX BUREAU

FREDERICK W. TIERNEY

DIRECTOR

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 SEP 1

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Approval & Review Unit

p

Irving Trust Company One Wall Street New York, New York 10015

### Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your claim for refund in the amount of \$445,948.24 dated August 3, 1970 and our subsequent correspondence.

Please be advised that your claim is being denied in full.

In the opinion of our legal counsel, even though national banks may be exempt from the New York State and local sales and use taxes under the provisions of R.S. 5219, 12 U.S.C. 548 and interpreted in First Agricultural National Bank of Berkshire County vs. State Tax Commission, 392 US 339, 20 L ed. 1138 and Liberty National Bank and Trust Co. vs. Buscaglia, 23 N.Y. 2d 933, State banks are still liable for these taxes. The conclusion is based on the interpretations by the Court of Appeals of Article XVI, Section 4 of the New York State Constitution in Matter of Bank of Manhattan Company, 293 N.Y. 515.

If you agree to the denial, please sign the statement at the bottom of either copy of this letter and mail it to the New York State Sales Tax Bureau, Approval and Review Unit, Building 8, State Campus, Albany, New York 12226.

This determination denying your claim in full, as indicated above, shall be final and irrevocable unless you apply to the State Tax Commission, Hearing Unit, Post Office Box 5028, Albany, New York 12205 for a hearing within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in accordance with the provisions of Section 1139(b) of the Tax Law.

If you apply for a hearing, you may at the same time request an informal conference with a representative of the Sales Tax Bureau to be held prior to placing your application on the Hearing Unit hearing calendar.

Very truly yours,

Chief, Approval and Review Unit

Withen MI Paskin

JLB: sem

The denial indicated above is acceptable to me. I hereby withdraw my application for refund filed on August 3, 1970 in the amount of \$445,948.24. State Tax Commission Albany, New York: 12226

> Olains for Refund: 1965-1968 Total Mount 9739,170.00

### Gentlemen:

Receipt is hereby admiraledged of a latter dated Docember 18, 1969 from Fred W. Siermay, Director, Sales Tax Bureau (copy attached) denying our Glaims for Refund of New York State and local sales and use taxes filed on December 3, 1969 in the amount of \$739,170.00.

As provided in the afterwentioned letter of Depender 18, 1969 we herely request a hearing before a Department Hearing Officer with respect to the afterwentioned claims in order that we may present admitted arguments to support our Claims.

Mindly admostible receipt of this letter on the attached copy.

Very truly yours

A. M. SPAATZ

Vice President

Enc. . AMB:13

Registered Mail

September 10, 1970

State Tax Commission Hearing Unit Post Office Eox 5028 Albany, New York 12205

> Claim for Refund: January 1, 1969 to December 23, 1969 Total Amount: \$445,948.24

#### Gentlemen:

Receipt is hereby acknowledged of a letter dated September 1, 1970 from Arthur M. Raskin, Chief, Approval and Meview Unit, Sales Tax Bureau (copy attached) denying our Claim for Refund of New York State and local sales and use takes liber of hugani 3, 2000 in the amount of \$445,948.24.

As provided in the aforementioned lefter of Sextember 1, 1970 we hereby request a hearing boffer the hearing Unit with respect to said claim in order that be met present additional arguments to support our claim We also recrest an informal conference with a representative of the Sales fax Bureau prior to placing our application on the hearing Unit calcudar.

Kindly atknowledge receipt of this letter on the attached copy.

Very truly yours

à. M. BRAATZ

Vice President

Enc. AMB: WG

Riciff acharatelyle Granish

RECEIVED NEW YORK STATE INCOME TAX CUREAU.

SEP 1 1 1970

REVIEW UNIT ALBANY CEICE