In the Matter of the Petition

of

LEON HOLTZER : d/b/a EASTERN GENERAL VENDING COMPANY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales and Use :
Taxes under Article(s) 28 and 29 of the
Tax Law for the XXXXXX) Period August 1, 1965
through January 6, 1966.

State of New York County of Albany

wrapper addressed as follows: Leon Holtzer

d/b/a Eastern General Vending Company
86-70 Francis Lewis Boulevard
and by depositing same enclosed in a postpand properly addressed wrapper in a

(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of
the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Janet mack

Sworn to before me this

31st day of July

19 7

Jan Lotter

In the Matter of the Petition

of

LEON HOLTZER

d/b/a

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

EASTERN GENERAL VENDING COMPANY For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales & Use Taxes under Article(s) 28 and 29 of the

through January 6, 1966.

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Mack , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1974, she served the within age, and that on the 23rd day of July Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Leon Holtzer, d/b/a Eastern General Vending (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Leon Holtzer d/b/a Eastern General Vending Company

41 Lincoln Avenue

Roslyn Heights, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (REPERKENDEXINE >>>> petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of July

Vantalten Janet Mach

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

LEON HOLTZER
EASTERN GENERAL VENDING COMPANY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Sales & Use :
Taxes under Article(s) 28 and 29 of the
Tax Law for the XXXXXX) Period August 1:, 1965
through January 6, 1966.

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Mack being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of July , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Sol Kaplan, P.A.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Sol Kaplan, C.P.A.

l Great Neck Road
Great Neck, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of July /

, 1974.

Janet Mach



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

DATED: Albany, New York
July 23, 1974

Leon Holtzer d/b/a Hastern General Vending Company 41 Lincoln Avenue Roslyn Heights, New York

Dear Mr. Holtser:

Please take notice of the **DETERMINATION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 1138 and 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel G. Wright

Enc. HEARING OFFICER

Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

AD-1.12 (8/73)

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

.

LEON HOLTZER d/b/a

EASTERN GENERAL VENDING COMPANY

DETERMINATION

for a Redetermination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period August 1, 1965 through January 6, 1966.

Leon Holtzer, d/b/a Eastern General Vending Company, filed an application for a redetermination of a determination issued on January 20, 1967, of sales and use taxes in the amounts totaling \$5,587.18 plus \$819.08 in penalty and interest for a total of \$6,406.26.

A hearing was duly held on September 14, 1972, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The applicant was represented by Sol Kaplan, P.A. The Sales Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Solomon Sies, Esq.

ISSUES

The issues in this case are (a) whether applicant's sales through vending machines qualify for the exemption provided in section 1115 (a) (13) of the Tax Law, and (b) whether a transfer of applicant's entire business to another party was taxable as a retail sale or whether it was not taxable, as applicant argues, as a mere "repossession" by the previous owner.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This case arises out of a vending machine business operated primarily on Long Island. Prior to 1962, it was operated either directly or indirectly by Casper J. Van Bree of Huntington, Long Island. During 1962, this business was sold to Leon Holtzer, the applicant herein, who continued the business under the name of Eastern General Vending Company. In January 1966, this business was transferred back to Mr. Van Bree who was acting as a nominee for a new corporation, the New Vending Corporation.
- 2. The transfer of the business in January 1966, the transfer here in question was accomplished by a bill of sale between Leon Holtzer and Casper J. Van Bree. This purported to transfer "the vending machine business" including vending machines, inventory, goodwill, and the trade name. The transferee assumed the obligations of the business including the chattel mortgage of Casper J. Van Bree dated December 28, 1962, and unsecured loans by others in amounts exceeding \$8,000.00. The transferee also gave notes amounting to \$20,000.00 and cash amounting to \$12,000.00 The transferor is bound to a covenant not to compete.
- 3. The business operated 183 vending machines in January 1966, as shown by the bill of sale. Cigarette machines totaled 57, candy and cake machines totaled 74, soda machines totaled 29, and coffee machines totaled 23. In addition, it had 70 other machines stored at its place of business.
- 4. Applicant's income on his ledgers show that more than half of his receipts came from the sale of cigarettes which, of course, sell for more than ten cents a pack.

5. Of the total deficiency, the amount \$4,080.33 plus penalty and interest is assessed by reason of the bulk sale of the business in January 1966. The sum of \$1,506.85 plus penalty and interest is attributable to sales of property in the ordinary course of business. Credit has been given for amounts paid with filed returns. Penalty and interest is charged at the rate of 5% for the first month of delinquency plus 1% a month as thereafter described in section 1145(a) of the Tax Law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

All of the evidence adduced in this case indicates that the applicant was not "primarily engaged" in making sales of items at ten cents or less through his coin-operated vending machines.

Applicant is, therefore, subject to tax (Tax Law section 1115(a)(13)).

DETERMINATION

The determination of tax due under review is found to be correct and is due.

DATED: Albany, New York
July 23, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED

COMMISSIONED





Leon Holtzer d/b/a Eastern General Vending Company 41: Lincoln Avenue Roslyn Heights, New York

UR 3007

56-70 Namindania Bud. 11427 Whiteher The state of the s -A de la Charles April a bandir State State The state of the s



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N.Y. 12227**

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

DATED: Albany, New York

July 23, 1974

Remailed:

July 31, 1974

Leon Holtzer d/b/a Eastern General Vending Company 41 Lincoln Avenue Roslyn Heights, New York

Dear Mr. Holtzer:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s)1138 and 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

L. Holtzer 86-70 Fr. Lewis Block Queens Village, ny ny 1147

State of New York Department of Taxation & Frence Building 9 Room 214A State Campus albany, my 1227

La comment

mr. negel lought;

On agust 1, 1974 I received the enclosed Determination of the n.y. Itale Tax Communion! I have never heard of Eastern General Vending Company nor the individuals involved in the determination. My State Income Tax records well bear this out. I don't know how my name get involved, but but a further check of your records would bear me out. It seems to me if a more careful check of records these stupid mestakes would not happen. I supert a letter of apolipy in these matters.

Leon Holfen

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

4

LEON HOLTZER
d/b/a
EASTERN GENERAL VENDING COMPANY

DETERMINATION

for a Redetermination or for Refugd of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period August 1, 1965 through January 6, 1966.

Leon Holtzer, d/b/a Eastern General Vending Company, filed an application for a redetermination of a determination issued on January 20, 1967, of sales and use taxes in the amounts totaling \$5,587.18 plus \$819.08 in penalty and interest for a total of \$6,406.26.

A hearing was duly held on September 14, 1973, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer, The applicant was represented by Sol Kaplan, P.A. The Sales Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Solomon Sies, Esq.

ISSUES

The issues in this case are (a) whether applicant's sales through vending machines qualify for the exemption provided in section 1115 (a) (13) of the Tax Law, and (b) whether a transfer of applicant's entire business to another party was taxable as a retail sale or whether it was not taxable, as applicant argues, as a mere "repossession" by the previous owner.

state of net york State tax complessin

molded the Antiest of the Application

್ಟ್ .

eas iod: du v III:

TEST HOLFER

diff.

THAT CHARRAN TARRANT TARRANT

UP CTANTHASTIC

ion a Redotornination or for selept of Sales and Use Tores under Acticles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law Or the Pariod Number is 1965 through January 5, 1865

Deen Holtzer, d'o/a Engtorn denengl landing Company, filed an application for a redetermination of a intermination army on January 20, 1967, of soler and use takes in the incounts totaling and 187.18 him follows the persitor and contract for a total of 6.466.26.

A Learing was duly hold on Sophemier is 1972 at the distance or the state Tax Countries on the state of the original or the state Tax Countries on the state of t

a.velq.

The issues including open ere (a) whether applicant a raided in through reading machines against for the exampsion provided in sectionally (a) (i) of the Tax Tes, and (b) whether a transfer of armitis (a) (ii) of the Tax Tes, and (b) whether a transfer of armitis armitis and cartive was taxed in as a recess, as applicant arones, as a mare "repose saion" by the previous sener.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This case arises out of a yending machine business operated primarily on Long Island. Prior to 1962, it was operated either directly or indirectly by Casper J. Van Bree of Huntington, Long. Island. During 1962, this business was sold to Leon Holtser, the applicant herein, who continued the business under the name of Eastern General Vending Company. In January 1966, this business was transferred back to Mr. Van Bree who was acting as a nominee for a new corporation, the New Vending Corporation.
- 2. The transfer of the business in January 1966, the transfer here in question was accomplished by a bill of sale between Leon Holtzer and Casper J. Van Bree. This purported to transfer "the vending machine business" including vending machines, inventory, goodwill, and the trade name. The transferee assumed the obligations of the business including the chattel mortgage of Casper J. Van Bree dated December 28, 1962, and unsecured loans by others in amounts exceeding \$8,000.00. The transferee also gave notes amounting to \$20,000.00 and cash amounting to \$12,000.00 The transferor is bound to a covenant not to compete.
- 3. The business operated 183 vending machines in January 1966, as shown by the bill of sale. Cigarette machines totaled 57, candy and cake machines totaled 74, soda machines totaled 29, and coffee machines totaled 23. In addition, it had 70 other machines stored at its place of business.
- 4. Applicant's income on his ledgers show that more than half of his receipts came from the sale of cigarettes which, of course, sell for more than ten cents a pack.

TOWN TO HER COME

- 1. This case rises out at a less, leading the line before operated primarily on Long Irinati, if the less, leading contacts of there.

 directly or indirectly by Caspar J. Farres of less ington, long.

 Istand. Marking 1952, this last tess was soid to test of the form.

 Ith applicant belief, the contact the burnings that the early 1955, this burnings of Mastern case the form. The burnings transfer the form. The burnings transfer as a comined was transferred back to for Jon Sies who was a comined to a now corporation, the less years of Original States.
- 3. The business operated 1/3 renders machines in January 1966; as shown by the bidl of said. Converts machines with 57, cendy and cake machines watered 74, sods machines were as actions as the machines works of a sods water a three species.

 The its place of pustness.
- e. Appliedant's indeme on his ladgers show that then half of his mecaliple case field the sale of cogaretics while it some the sale of cogaretics while then tente o pack.

5. Of the total deficiency, the amount \$4,080.33 plus penalty and interest is assessed by reason of the bulk sale of the business in January 1966. The sum of \$1,506.85 plus penalty and interest is attributable to sales of property in the ordinary course of business. Credit has been given for amounts paid with filed returns. Penalty and interest is charged at the rate of 5% for the first month of delinquency plus 1% a month as thereafter described in section 1145(%) of the Tax Law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

All of the evidence adduced in this case indicates that the applicant was not "primarily engaged" in making sales of items at ten cents or less through his coin-operated vending machines.

Applicant is, therefore, subject to tax (Tax Law section 1115(x)(13)).

DETERMINATION

The determination of tax due under review is found to be correct and is due.

DATED: Albany, New York

July 23, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMPTESTONED

COMMISSIONER

S. Of the total less of the amount of 30.33 plas penalty and interpret in target an elegan of the amount interpret in tanuary 1965. The sum of \$1,506.83 plus penalty and interpret is attributed of the consect for the ordinary course of business. Statished to has been given for escurity paid with third ratures, Papelby and interpret is charged at the rate of 5% for the inret month of delinguagy plus if a court, as the rate of 5% for the inret month of delinguagy plus if a court, as thereas ter described in section.

CONCENSATIONS OF LAW

All of the cuidence adduced to this case undicates that the applicant was cut "privarily escapes" in maring sales of liene witten contacts contacts of liene witten contacts contacts of lassing machines.

**Continual Continual Continual Contacts of Low section (115 (a) (18))

DESCRIPTION

The leternination of tax meanager, rethra to lotted to be correct and is one.

or de comment , deservice : comment

5017 23 TUV

MOTERIMENTO PAC STATE

11-12-21-

COMPLECTORES.

a Drimin

9866 (000)