STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions of William E. & Geraldine E. Matthes

for Redetermination of Deficiencies or for : Refunds of New York State Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York : City Personal Income Tax under Chapter 46, Title T of the Administrative Code of the City : of New York for the Years 1978 & 1979.

ss.:

State of New York :

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of April, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon William E. & Geraldine E. Matthes the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

William E. & Geraldine E. Matthes 266 Earle Ave. Lynbrook, NY 11563

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 23rd day of April, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions of William E. & Geraldine E. Matthes

for Redetermination of Deficiencies or for : Refunds of New York State Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and Chapter 46, : Title T of the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the Years 1978 & 1979. :

State of New York : ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rd day of April, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Louis F. Brush, the representative of the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Louis F. Brush 101 Front St. Mineola, NY 11501

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 23rd day of April, 1987.

David barchuck

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

April 23, 1987

William E. & Geraldine E. Matthes 266 Earle Ave. Lynbrook, NY 11563

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Matthes:

1 0

٠.

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 & 1312 of the Tax Law and Chapter 46, Title T of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Louis F. Brush 101 Front St. Mineola, NY 11501

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

WILLIAM E. MATTHES AND GERALDINE E. MATTHES for Redetermination of Deficiencies or for Refunds of New York State Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York City Personal Income Tax under Chapter 46,

Title T of the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the Years 1978 and 1979.

DECISION

4.

Petitioners, William E. Matthes and Geraldine E. Matthes, 266 Earle Avenue, Lynbrook, New York 11563, filed petitions for redetermination of deficiencies or for refunds of New York State personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York City personal income tax under Chapter 46, Title T of the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the years 1978 and 1979 (File Nos. 37757 and 45356).

•

:

:

:

:

On October 23, 1985, petitioners waived their rights to a hearing and requested that the State Tax Commission render a decision based on the entire record contained in their file, with all briefs to be submitted by October 8, 1986. After due consideration, the State Tax Commission hereby renders the following decision.

ISSUES

I. Whether the notices of deficiency were issued without any basis and for the sole purpose of extending the period of limitation on assessment.

II. Whether petitioner William E. Matthes has substantiated that he was engaged in a trade or business during the years at issue. III. Whether petitioners have substantiated the character and amount of business expenses claimed as deductions from gross income for the years at issue.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, William E. and Geraldine E. Matthes, filed a New York State and City income tax resident return for 1978 wherein they elected a filing status of "Married filing joint Return". On their return, petitioners reported business income of \$21,442.00. The following table details the manner in which petitioners computed their reported business income:

Income		
Contractual	\$18,408.00	
Emergency Service	5,487.00	
Snow Removal	725.00	
Total Income		\$24,620.00
Expenses		
Dues	\$ 183.00	
Accounting	100.00	
Telephone (\$20 x 12 mos.)	240.00	
Magazines, Newspapers	194.00	
Truck Repairs and Maintenance	2,265.00	
Gloves, Arctic Wear	196.00	
Total Expenses		\$ 3,178.00

Net Income

\$21,442.00

2. Attached to petitioners' 1978 return was a wage and tax statement issued to Mr. Matthes by The Flying Tiger Line, Inc., reporting wages, tips, other compensation of \$23,894.54. The statement was stamped with an arrow pointing to the \$23,894.54 figure with the legend "Included in Schedule C".

3. On March 24, 1982, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Changes to petitioners for the year 1978 which contained the following explanation and computation:

"The expenses claimed in Schedule C are not ordinary and necessary in the production of income as an employee. Therefore, they have been disallowed. Your 1978 tax liability is recomputed as follows:

Wages			\$23,894.54
Earnings from Snow R	emoval		725.00
Interest			25.00
Total New York Incom	е		\$24,644.54
Less: Itemized Dedu	ctions		7,374.00
Balance			\$17,270.00
Less: Exemptions			3,250.00
New York Taxable Inc.	ome		\$14,020.54
Tax on Above			\$ 771.85
Less: Household Cre	dit		35.00
Tax Due			\$ 736.85
			• • • • • • • •
New York City Nonres	ident Earni	ngs Tax	
Gross Wages			\$23,894.54
Exclusion			1,000.00
Balance			\$22,894.54
Tax on Above @ .0045			\$ 103.03
	NYS	NYC	
Tax Due	\$736.85	\$103.03	
Tax Per Return	474.01	99.00	
Additional Tax Due	\$262.84	\$ 4.03	\$ 266.87"

4. Based on the aforementioned Statement of Audit Changes, the Audit Division, on April 14, 1982, issued a Notice of Deficiency to petitioners for 1978 asserting additional New York State and City tax due of \$262.84 plus interest of \$75.82 for a total amount due of \$338.66.

5. Petitioners timely filed a New York State and City income tax resident return for 1979 wherein they elected a filing status of "Married filing separately on <u>one</u> return." On his portion of said return, Mr. Matthes reported business income of \$25,997.00, while on her portion of the return Mrs. Matthes reported business income totalling \$2,003.00. The following table details the manner in which petitioners computed their respective business income:

Will:	iam	Ε.	Mat	thes

<u>Income</u> Contractual Emergency Service Snow Removal Total Income	\$19,405.00 12,381.00 650.00	\$32,436.00		
Expenses Dues and Memberships Accounting Telephone (\$25 x 12 mos.) Magazines, Newspapers Truck Repairs and Maintenance Gloves, Arctic Wear Payments to Central Operator: Geraldine Matthes Mailings and Postage Total Expenses	\$ 228.00 100.00 300.00 231.00 2,265.00 213.00 3,000.00 102.00	\$ 6,439.00		
Net Income		\$25,997.00		
Geraldine E. Matthes				
Income Message Center Income Total Income	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00		
Expenses Supplies Calculator Newspapers, Magazines Hospitality Total Expenses	\$ 294.00 83.00 321.00 299.00	\$ 997.00		
Net Income		\$ 2,003.00		

6. Attached to petitioners' 1979 return was a wage and tax statement issued to Mr. Matthes by The Flying Tiger Line, Inc., reporting wages of \$31,787.00. Said statement was also stamped with an arrow pointing to the \$31,787.00 figure with the legend "Included in Schedule C".

7. On February 4, 1983, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Changes to petitioner William E. Matthes for 1979 which contained the following explanation and computation:

-4-

.

"As a salaried employee, you are not a business entity and therefore are not entitled to claim Schedule C deductions as these expenses are not ordinary and necessary for the production of income as an employee."

Wages	\$31,787.00
Interest Income	46.00
Total Income	31,833.00
Less: Itemized Deductions	6,808.00
Adjusted Taxable Income	25,025.00
Less: Exemptions	2,800.00
Taxable Income	\$22,225.00

8. Based on the aforementioned Statement of Audit Changes, the Audit Division, on April 8, 1983, issued a Notice of Deficiency to petitioners for 1979 asserting additional New York State and City tax due of \$646.78, plus interest of \$214.99, for a total due of \$861.77.

9. Petitioners' tax returns were selected for examination along with those of approximately 100 other individuals on the basis that the returns had been prepared by a particular accountant. An investigation had disclosed that said accountant had consistently prepared returns on which an individual with wage or salary income shown on wage and tax statements had reported said income as business receipts on Federal Schedule C. Department of Taxation and Finance auditors were directed to review the returns and to disallow claimed business expense deductions if the taxpayer appeared to be an employee receiving wage or salary income reported on wage and tax statements. Petitioner William E. Matthes' claimed Schedule C deductions were disallowed on that basis.

10. Petitioners contend:

(a) that the notices of deficiency were issued on an arbitrary and capricious basis just prior to the expiration of the period of limitations on assessment, thus depriving petitioners of the opportunity to present substantiation for the claimed deductions; (b) that petitioners are part of a large group of taxpayers who were selected for special scrutiny because their returns had been prepared by the same tax preparer; and

(c) that where petitioners do not have cancelled checks or other receipts for certain expenses, the Department of Taxation and Finance should allow petitioners a reasonable estimate of such expenses.

11. William E. Matthes submitted documentary evidence in the form of sales invoices, cancelled checks and worksheets in substantiation of a portion of the business expenses he claimed on Federal Schedule C for the years at issue. However, the evidence submitted did not relate to a characterization of the expenses as business rather than personal. In addition, except for union dues of \$183.00 for 1978, the documents did not substantiate whether any portion of the claimed expenses were unreimbursed employee business expenses or miscellaneous itemized deductions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the notices of deficiency were properly issued and were not arbitrary and capricious. The returns were patently erroneous and the Audit Division was justified in disallowing the business expenses claimed by petitioner William E. Matthes on Federal Schedules C filed for 1978 and 1979. The notices of deficiency were preceded by statements of audit changes and petitioners had an opportunity to file amended returns claiming employee business expenses as adjustments to income on Federal Form 2106, or as itemized miscellaneous deductions, but did not do so.

B. That the fact that petitioners' returns were selected for examination because of certain practices of their accountant is irrelevant. Petitioners' liability depends solely on the facts adduced herein.

-6-

C. That petitioner William E. Matthes has failed to sustain his burden of proof (Tax Law § 689[e]; Administrative Code § T46-189.0[e]) to show (i) that he was engaged in a trade or business other than as an employee (Internal Revenue Code § 62[1]); (ii) that the expenses in question were trade or business deductions of an employee deductible pursuant to Internal Revenue Code § 62(2); and (iii) that the expenses in question were ordinary and necessary business expenses deductible under Internal Revenue Code § 162(a). Petitioners, however, are entitled to the miscellaneous deduction of union dues for 1978 of \$183.00

D. That the petition of William E. Matthes and Geraldine Matthes is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "C", <u>supra</u>; that the Audit Division is directed to recompute the Notice of Deficiency dated April 14, 1982 consistent with the conclusions reached herein; and that, except as so granted, the notices of deficiency dated April 14, 1982 and April 8, 1983 are in all other respects sustained, together with such additional interest as may be lawfully due and owing.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

APR 23 1987

dece PRESTDEN

COMMISSIONER

-7-