STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Philip J. Spincola

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law : for the Years 1980 - 1981.

ss.:

State of New York :

- - . . .

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Philip J. Spincola the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Philip J. Spincola 88 Luquer Rd. Plandome Manor, NY 11030

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

Functo 111.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of George J. Bunstead

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law : for the Year 1980 - 1981.

State of New York :

ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon George Bunstead, J. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

George Bunstead 152 West End Ave. Pompton Plains, NJ 07444

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

direct. Mr.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of George J. Bunstead Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc.

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law : for the Period 9/1/81 - 5/31/82.

ss.:

State of New York :

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon George J. Bunstead, Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

George J. Bunstead Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. 152 West End Ave. Pompton Plains, NJ 07444

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

wit. Il. Sike

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

ashad of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Philip J. Spincola Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law : for the Period 9/1/81 - 5/31/82.

ss.:

State of New York :

- - . . .

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he/she served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Philip J. Spincola, Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Philip J. Spincola Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. 88 Luquer Rd. Plandome Manor, NY 11030

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

and M. Dille

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Philip J. Spincola

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law : for the Years 1980 - 1981.

State of New York : ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Edwin Bernstein, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

٠

:

Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Avenue Rockville Centre, NY 11570

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

ani 6 III.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of George J. Bunstead

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law : for the Year 1980 - 1981.

State of New York : ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Edwin Bernstein, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day, of February, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

6111 6 1H

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of George J. Bunstead Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law : for the Period 9/1/81 - 5/31/82.

State of New York :

ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Edwin Bernstein, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

time to 111 1

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Philip J. Spincola Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc.

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Sales & Use Tax under Article(s) 28 & 29 of the Tax Law : for the Period 9/1/81 - 5/31/82.

State of New York : ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 3rd day of February, 1987, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Edwin Bernstein, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of February, 1987.

unt: M_{\odot}

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174 AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

. • • •

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

February 3, 1987

Philip J. Spincola 88 Luquer Rd. Plandome Manor, NY 11030

Dear Mr. Spincola:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Avenue Rockville Centre, NY 11570

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

February 3, 1987

George J. Bunstead 152 West End Ave. Pompton Plains, NJ 07444

Dear Mr. Bunstead:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570

. .

February 3, 1987

George J. Bunstead Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. 152 West End Ave. Pompton Plains, NJ 07444

Dear Mr. Bunstead:

.

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570 STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

February 3, 1987

Philip J. Spincola Officer of Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. 88 Luquer Rd. Plandome Manor, NY 11030

Dear Mr. Spincola:

.

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Edwin Bernstein 66 North Village Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition : of : PHILIP J. SPINCOLA : for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1980 and 1981. : In the Matter of the Petition : of : GEORGE J. BUNSTEAD : for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1980 and 1981. : In the Matter of the Petition : of : GEORGE J. BUNSTEAD : OFFICER OF ROCKVILLE TIRE & SERVICE, INC. : for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 : of the Tax Law for the Period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982. : In the Matter of the Petition : of : PHILIP J. SPINCOLA : OFFICER OF ROCKVILLE TIRE & SERVICE, INC. : for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and : 29 of the Tax Law for the Period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982. :

DECISION

. .

Petitioner Philip J. Spincola, 88 Luquer Road, Plandome Manor, New York 11030, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1980 and 1981 (File No. 56436). He also filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982 (File No. 49327).

Petitioner George J. Bunstead, 152 West End Avenue, Pompton Plains, New Jersey 07444, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1980 and 1981 (File No. 56437). He also filed a petition for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982 (File No. 49326).

A consolidated hearing was held before James Hoefer, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York on May 1, 1986 at 1:30 P.M., and continued to conclusion on May 28, 1986 at 9:45 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by June 28, 1986. Petitioners appeared by Edwin Bernstein, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

I. Whether petitioner Philip J. Spincola is subject to a penalty pursuant to section 685(g) of the Tax Law as a person who willfully failed to collect, truthfully account for and pay over the New York State withholding taxes due from Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. for the years 1980 and 1981.

-2-

II. Whether petitioner Philip J. Spincola is personally liable for sales taxes due from Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. for the period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982.

III. Whether petitioner George J. Bunstead is subject to a penalty pursuant to section 685(g) of the Tax Law as a person who willfully failed to collect, truthfully account for and pay over the New York State withholding taxes due from Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. for the years 1980 and 1981.

IV. Whether petitioner George J. Bunstead is personally liable for sales taxes due from Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. for the period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Rockville Tire & Service, Inc. (hereinafter "Rockville"), 76 South Long Beach Road, Rockville, New York 11570, failed to pay over the New York State personal income taxes withheld from the wages of its employees for the following periods:

Withholding Tax Period							Amount
•			•	December December			\$4,080.90 \$3,158.50 \$7,239.40

2. On July 30, 1984, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Deficiency¹ against each petitioner wherein a penalty was asserted pursuant to section 685(g) of the Tax Law for an amount equal to the New York State withholding

-3-

¹ Although the Notice of Deficiency with respect to petitioner Philip J. Spincola was not placed in evidence, a Statement of Deficiency issued under such date was placed in evidence. Since lack of such document was neither argued nor raised as an issue by said petitioner, it is assumed that such document was timely issued.

taxes due from Rockville for the aforestated periods. Such penalties were asserted on the grounds that each petitioner was a person required to collect, truthfully account for and pay over said taxes and that they willfully failed to do so.

3. On October 20, 1983, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due against each of said petitioners, as officers of Rockville, for sales taxes due of \$16,532.79 for the period September 1, 1981 through May 31, 1982. Penalty of \$1,212.39 and interest of \$507.29 were also asserted, for a total due of \$18,252.47. Said notices were issued based on an audit conducted for the aforestated period. Since insufficient records were presented at the audit, the sales tax assessment was determined from information available.

4. For several years prior to the years at issue herein petitioners were employed full time as management consultants for Control Associates Incorporated ("Control"), a New Jersey based management consulting firm which specialized in providing services to brokerage firms and banks.

5. In the mid 1970's Control experienced a lull in its business affairs. Accordingly, petitioners sought to involve themselves in a separate business activity while still performing limited services for Control.

6. In 1977 petitioners, together with one Philip T. Burke, whom they met through Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., incorporated Rockville to engage in business as a vendor of Goodyear tires and accessories. Rockville was also to provide automobile repair services.

7. Petitioner George J. Bunstead was president of Rockville. Petitioner Philip J. Spincola was vice president and secretary and Mr. Burke was vice president and treasurer.

-4-

8. Both Mr. Bunstead and Mr. Spincola made capital contributions of \$15,000.00 to Rockville. Mr. Burke contributed \$5,000.00.

9. Since neither Mr. Bunstead nor Mr. Spincola had prior experience in the tire business, it was agreed that Mr. Burke would run the day-to-day operations of Rockville.

10. Mr. Bunstead's activities for Rockville consisted primarily of performing physical labor. Mr. Spincola's activities consisted primarily of making deliveries of tires and accessories and picking up orders from suppliers.

11. During the periods at issue neither Mr. Bunstead nor Mr. Spincola drew a salary or any other type of compensation from Rockville. Mr. Burke, however, drew a salary of \$22,949.00 for fiscal year ended July 31, 1980 and \$27,031.00 for fiscal year ended July 31, 1981.

12. Petitioners claimed that they were not stockholders in Rockville.

13. Rockville's accountant, one Stanley Meyer, prepared all of Rockville's tax returns. He visited the premises of Rockville approximately twice per month and always received the books and records from Mr. Burke. On completion of the tax returns, he always delivered them to Mr. Burke.

14. Any two of the aforesaid three officers were authorized to sign Rockville checks.

15. It appears that no sales tax returns were filed by Rockville for the sales tax period at issue. Four returns submitted for periods prior to those at issue contain the forged signature of Mr. Bunstead. A payment agreement executed prior to the sales tax period at issue also contains a forged signature of Mr. Bunstead. Such signatures were deemed to be forged by one Russell D. Osborn, a handwriting expert who appeared on behalf of Mr. Bunstead.

-5-

16. Neither petitioner herein hired or fired employees.

17. Petitioner Philip J. Spincola returned to full time employment with Control in December 1978. At this time he discontinued performing services for Rockville.

18. Petitioner George J. Bunstead returned to full time employment with Control in December 1979. At this time he discontinued performing services for Rockville.

19. During the periods at issue it was Mr. Burke's responsibility, as manager of Rockville, to decide which bills to pay and to write the checks in payment of such bills.

20. Petitioners submitted copies of six (6) Rockville checks issued during October, November and December 1981. Although the corporate resolution required two (2) signatures, those checks submitted bore the single signature of Mr. Burke.

21. In late 1981 Rockville ceased doing business. Its assets were sold at that time and although petitioners received no money from such sale, they were parties to the sale.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That section 685(g) of the Tax Law provides that:

"Any person required to collect, truthfully account for, and pay over the tax imposed by this article who willfully fails to collect such tax or truthfully account for and pay over such tax or willfully attempts in any manner to evade or defeat the tax or the payment thereof, shall, in addition to other penalties provided by law, be liable to a penalty equal to the total amount of the tax evaded, or not collected, or not accounted for and paid over."

B. That section 685(n) of the Tax Law provides that, for purposes of subdivision (g), the term "person":

"[I]ncludes an individual, corporation or partnership or an officer or employee of any corporation (including a dissolved corporation), or a member or employee of any partnership, who as such officer, employee, or member is under a duty to perform the act in respect of which the violation occurs."

C. That the question of whether petitioners were persons under a duty to collect and pay over withholding taxes must be determined on the basis of the facts presented. Some of the factors to be considered include whether petitioners signed the corporation's tax returns, possessed the right to hire and discharge employees or derived a substantial portion of their income from the corporation. Other relevant factors include the amount of stock petitioners held, the actual sphere of their duties and their authority to pay corporate obligations and/or exercise authority over the assets of the corporation. (<u>Matter of Amengual v.</u> State Tax Commn., 95 AD2d 949; McHugh v. State Tax Commn., 70 AD2d 987.)

D. That petitioners, George J. Bunstead and Philip J. Spincola, were not involved with the day-to-day operations of Rockville during the years at issue. This, coupled with the other relevant facts herein, leads to the conclusion that neither petitioner was a "person" as defined under section 685(n) of the Tax Law. Accordingly, the penalties asserted against them pursuant to section 685(g) of the Tax Law are hereby cancelled.

E. That section 1133(a) of the Tax Law places personal liability for taxes imposed, collected or required to be collected under Article 28 upon "every person required to collect any tax" imposed by said article. Section 1131 (1) of the Tax Law furnishes the following definition for the term "persons required to collect tax":

"'Persons required to collect tax' or 'person required to collect any tax imposed by this article' shall include: every vendor of tangible personal property or services; every recipient of amusement charges; and every operator of a hotel. Said terms shall also include any officer or employee of a corporation or of a dissolved corporation who as such officer or employee is under a duty to act

-7-

. .

4

· · .

for such corporation in complying with any requirement of this article and any member of a partnership."

F. That for the reasons provided in Conclusion of Law "D", <u>supra</u>, neither petitioner herein was a person required to collect the sales taxes imposed under Article 28 of the Tax Law.

G. That the two petitions of Philip J. Spincola and the two petitions of George J. Bunstead are granted.

H. That the Notice of Deficiency issued against each petitioner herein on July 30, 1984, with respect to withholding taxes, is cancelled.

I. That the Notice of Determination and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due, issued against each petitioner herein on October 20, 1983, is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

FEB 0 3 1987

٠,

en olma COMMISS COMMISSIONER