STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Joseph & Theresa Carobene

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of New York State and New York City Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the : Tax Law and the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the Years 1978 and 1979. :

ss.:

State of New York :

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 20th day of March, 1987, he/she served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Joseph & Theresa Carobene the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Joseph & Theresa Carobene 216 Manor Road Douglaston, NY 11363

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 20th day of March, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

anet

### STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Joseph & Theresa Carobene

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of New York State and New York City Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the : Tax Law and the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the Years 1978 and 1979. :

State of New York : ss.: County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 20th day of March, 1987, he served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Louis F. Brush, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

:

Louis F. Brush 101 Front Street Mineola, NY 11501

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 20th day of March, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

Lenet

# STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

March 20, 1987

Joseph & Theresa Carobene 216 Manor Road Douglaston, NY 11363

٠.

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Carobene:

Please take notice of the decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 & 1312 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Louis F. Brush 101 Front Street Mineola, NY 11501

## STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

### JOSEPH AND THERESA CAROBENE

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of New York State and New York City Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the Years 1978 and 1979. DECISION

Petitioners, Joseph and Theresa Carobene, 216 Manor Road, Douglaston, New York 11363, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of New York State and New York City personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the years 1978 and 1979 (File Nos. 37748 and 42883).

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

On October 23, 1985, petitioners waived a hearing before the State Tax Commission and agreed to submit the matter for decision based on the Audit Division file, as well as a brief and additional documents to be submitted by October 8, 1986. After due consideration of the record, the State Tax Commission hereby renders the following decision.

### ISSUES

I. Whether the notices of deficiency were issued without any basis and for the sole purpose of extending the period of limitation on assessment.

II. Whether petitioner Joseph Carobene has substantiated that he was engaged in a trade or business during the years at issue.

III. Whether petitioner Joseph Carobene has substantiated the character and amount of business expenses claimed as deductions from gross income for the years at issue.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Joseph and Theresa Carobene, filed New York State income tax resident returns with City of New York personal income tax for the years 1978 and 1979. In each case, the filing status was "Married filing separately on one return".

(a) 1978

On his 1978 return, petitioner Joseph Carobene stated his occupation to be "Marketing". He reported \$30,579.00 in business income. Attached to the return was a copy of Schedule C, Federal Form 1040, under the firm name JGC Associates, reporting income and expenses as follows:

"Income - Institutions - Calthone Lithographers - Teaching			43,602 600
•			44,202
Expenses			
Office Asst. Theresa Carobene		7,800**	
Automobile: 7,225 mi. @ 17¢		1,228	
Parking		376	
Tolls		101	
Telephone		240	
Office Maint.		360	
Meeting & Promotion Exp.		1,374	
Publications		93	
Prof. Developmt./Continuing Education			
Newspapers, Magazines, etc.		294	
Travelling Exp.			
Dry Cleaning	220		
Personal Hygiene			
Brief Case & Supplies	105	325	
Xmas Mailings		125	
Postage		65	
Dues & Subscriptions		12	
Faculty Expenses & Thesis Consultant		684	
Licenses		55	
Office Hospitality		491	
		Net Income	13,623 30,579

\*\* Reported as 'Misc. Income' on Form 1040, Page 1, Line 20"

Attached to the return was a Wage and Tax Statement, showing "Wages, tips, other compensation" of \$43,602.20 from Robert A. Becker, Inc. The Wage and Tax Statement was stamped with the legend "Included in Schedule C", with an arrow pointed to the aforesaid figure.

Petitioner Theresa Carobene stated her occupation to be "Office Asst." and reported \$7,800.00 in "Other income".

Petitioners itemized their deductions. Said itemized deductions included \$719.00 in contributions and \$463.00 in miscellaneous deductions.

(b) 1979

On his 1979 return, petitioner Joseph Carobene again stated his occupation to be "Marketing". He reported \$32,274.00 in business income. Attached to the return was a Schedule C, Form 1040, under the business name JGC Associates, reporting income and expenses as follows:

"Income - R. A. Becker Druid Assoc. Sails & Consult. Mísc. Teaching		\$34,210 12,500 565 290	47,565
Pro en en en			
Expenses:	<b>a</b> 1	7 00044	
Research Asst Theresa		7,800**	
Automobile: (7,220 mi. @	18½¢)	1,336	
Parking		403	
Tolls		102	
Telephone		240	
Office Maint.		600	
Meeting & Promotion Exp.		1,584	
Publications		192	
Prof. Developmt Cont.	Ed.	75	
Newspapers, Magazines		328	
Travel Exp.:			
Dry Cleaning		220	
Personal Expenses		342	
Xmas Mailings		136	
5		111	
Postage Duce 6 Subconductions		98	
Dues & Subscriptions	a 1		
Faculty Expenses & Thesis	Consultant	843	
Office Hospitality		593	
Samples		288	
			15,291
		Net Income	\$32,274

\*\* Reported as 'Other Income' Form 1040, Page 1, Line 21"

Two wage and tax statements were attached to the return:

Employer	Wages, Tips, Other Compensation
Robert A. Becker, Inc.	\$34,209.87
J. R. Druid Associates, Inc.	12,499.98

The wage and tax statements were stamped with the legend "Included in Schedule C", with an arrow pointed to the amounts of "Wages, tips, other compensation".

Mr. Carobene also filed a New York State unincorporated business tax return for 1979. It showed net profit of \$32,274.00 with \$46,710.00 in subtractions. The return was stamped with the legend "FICA Wages Included in Schedule C" with an arrow pointed to the \$46,710.00 in subtractions. The return showed a net loss from business of \$14,436.00.

Petitioner Theresa Carobene again stated her occupation as "Office Asst." and reported \$7,800.00 in "Other income".

Petitioners' again itemized their deductions. Said deductions included \$895.00 in contributions and \$392.00 in miscellaneous deductions.

2. Petitioners' tax returns were selected for examination along with those of approximately 100 other individuals on the basis that said returns had been prepared by a particular accountant. An investigation had disclosed that said accountant had consistently prepared returns on which an individual with wage or salary income shown on wage and tax statements had reported said income as business receipts on Federal Schedule C. Department of Taxation and Finance auditors were directed to review the returns and to disallow claimed business expense deductions if the taxpayer appeared to be an employee receiving wage or salary income reported on wage and tax statements. Petitioners' claimed Schedule C deductions were disallowed on that basis. 3.(a) On March 24, 1982, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Changes to petitioners for the year 1978. The statement explained as follows:

"The expenses claimed are not ordinary and necessary for the production of income for an employee.

Since the income in Column B is less than the allowable exemption, your return is recomputed on a joint basis."

The statement asserted that petitioners owed \$1,307.81 in additional New York State tax and \$430.83 in additional New York City tax.

(b) On April 14, 1982, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Deficiency to petitioners for the year 1978 in the amount of \$1,738.64 in additional New York State and New York City taxes, plus interest.

4.(a) On February 1, 1983, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Changes to petitioners for 1979. The statement explained:

"As a salaried employee, you are not a business entity and therefore are not entitled to claim Schedule C Deductions as these expenses are not ordinary and necessary for the production of income as an employee.

Since other income of \$7,800.00 to Theresa Carobene is disallowed, it is no longer advantageous to file separately for New York State. Therefore, your tax has been recomputed as married filing jointly since it is to your benefit."

Additional New York State and New York City taxes were computed at \$2,000.15.

(b) On April 8, 1983, the Audit Division issued a Notice of Deficiency to petitioners for 1979 in the amount of \$2,000.15 in additional New York State and New York City tax due, plus interest.

5. Petitioners submitted a large quantity of documents to the State Tax Commission in support of their claim. This material indicates that petitioner Joseph Carobene was very active as president of the Douglaston Civic Association; that Mr. Carobene had been offered the position of Assistant Professor of Pharmacy Administration at Long Island University, which position, however, apparently

•

never materialized; and that Mr. Carobene was involved in selling sails for sailboats. Neither the documentation related to those activities nor the cancelled checks, guest checks, or other material show that said petitioner was engaged in a trade or business other than as an employee.

6. Petitioners contend:

(a) That the notices of deficiency were issued on an arbitrary and capricious basis just prior to the expiration of the period of limitations on assessment, thus depriving petitioners of the opportunity to present substantiation for the claimed deductions;

(b) that petitioners are part of a large group of taxpayers who were selected for special scrutiny because their returns had been prepared by the same tax preparer; and

(c) that where petitioners do not have cancelled checks or other receipts for certain expenses, the Department of Taxation and Finance should allow petitioners a reasonable estimate of such expenses.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the notices of deficiency were properly issued and were not arbitrary or capricious. The returns were patently erroneous and the Audit Division was justified in disallowing the Schedule C business income and expenses. Each Notice of Deficiency was preceded by a Statement of Audit Changes and petitioners had an opportunity to file amended returns claiming employee business expenses as adjustments on Federal Form 2106, or as itemized miscellaneous deductions, but did not do so.

B. That the fact that petitioners' returns were selected for examination because of certain practices of their accountant is irrelevant. Petitioners' liability depends solely on the facts adduced herein.

-6-

C. That petitioner Joseph Carobene has not sustained his burden of proof under section 689(e) of the Tax Law to show that he was engaged in a trade or business other than as an employee. Thus, expenses claimed on Schedule C may not be deducted under section 62(1) of the Internal Revenue Code.

D. That while it would appear that petitioner Joseph Carobene may have been entitled to deduct certain employee business expenses under sections 62(2)or 63(f) of the Internal Revenue Code if he had filed Form 2106, or if he had claimed such expenses as miscellaneous itemized deductions, said petitioner nevertheless failed to sustain his burden of proof under section 689(e) of the Tax Law to show the character or, in many cases, the amount of the claimed business expenses. (It is noted that some of the claimed expenses may have been included in itemized deductions taken by petitioners.)

That the petition of Joseph and Theresa Carobene is denied and the Ε. notices of deficiency issued April 14, 1982 and April 8, 1983 are sustained. DATED: Albany, New York STATE TAX COMMISSION

MAR 201987

PRESIDENT --- R.Kotmig