STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Frank & Ann Lennon

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of New York State Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York : City Nonresident Earnings Tax under Chapter 46, Title T of the Administrative Code of the City : of New York for the years 1978 and 1979.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany :

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of May, 1987, he/she served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Frank & Ann Lennon the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Frank & Ann Lennon 35 Ontario Avenue Massapequa, NY 11758

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 29th day of May, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Frank & Ann Lennon

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of New York State Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York : City Nonresident Earnings Tax under Chapter 46, Title T of the Administrative Code of the City : of New York for the years 1978 and 1979.

State of New York:

ss.:

County of Albany

David Parchuck/Janet M. Snay, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he/she is over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of May, 1987, he served the within notice of decision by certified mail upon Louis F. Brush, the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Louis F. Brush 101 Front Street Mineola, NY 11501

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 29th day of May, 1987.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

May 29, 1987

Frank & Ann Lennon 35 Ontario Avenue Massapequa, NY 11758

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Lennon:

Please take notice of the decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 & 1312 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Audit Evaluation Bureau Assessment Review Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2086

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

Petitioner's Representative: Louis F. Brush 101 Front Street Mineola, NY 11501

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

FRANK LENNON AND ANN LENNON

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of New York State Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York : City Nonresident Earnings Tax under Chapter 46, Title T of the Administrative Code of the City : of New York for the Years 1978 and 1979.

Petitioners, Frank Lennon and Ann Lennon, 35 Ontario Avenue, Massapequa, New York 11758, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of New York State personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law and New York City nonresident earnings tax under Chapter 46, Title U of the Administrative Code of the City of New York for the years 1978 and 1979 (File Nos. 37729 and 44749).

On October 23, 1985, petitioners waived their right to a hearing and requested the State Tax Commission to render a decision based on the entire record contained in their file, with all briefs to be submitted by October 8, 1986. After due consideration, the State Tax Commission hereby renders the following decision.

ISSUES

- I. Whether the notices of deficiency were issued without any basis and for the sole purpose of extending the period of limitation on assessment.
- II. Whether petitioners have substantiated that Mr. Lennon was engaged in a trade or business during the years at issue.

III. Whether petitioners have substantiated the character and amount of business expenses claimed as deductions from gross income for the years at issue.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. For the years 1978 and 1979, petitioners, Frank Lennon and Ann Lennon, filed New York State income tax returns under filing status "married filing separately on one return". Mr. Lennon filed New York City nonresident earnings tax returns and State unincorporated business tax returns for 1978 and 1979.
- 2. Petitioners' tax returns were selected for examination along with those of approximately 100 other individuals because their returns had been prepared by a particular accountant. An investigation had disclosed that this accountant had consistently prepared returns on which an individual with wage or salary income shown on wage and tax statements had reported this income as business receipts on Federal Schedule C. Department of Taxation and Finance auditors were directed to review the returns and to disallow claimed business expense deductions if the taxpayer appeared to be an employee receiving wage or salary income reported on wage and tax statements.
- 3. The 1978 State income tax return listed Mr. Lennon's occupation as "Matrls Hol Spec" and Mrs. Lennon's occupation as "Bank Teller". Mr. Lennon reported total income of \$19,650.00, consisting of business income of \$19,616.00 and interest income of \$34.00. Mrs. Lennon reported total income of \$1,371.00, consisting of income from wages of \$494.00 and interest income of \$877.00.
- (a) On a Federal Schedule C, Mr. Lennon allocated his total income as follows:

¹ Apparently, this is an abbreviation for "materials handling specialist".

Contractual	\$17,800.00
Emergency Service	3,864.00
Other	250.00
Total	\$21,914.00

(b) The Schedule C shows the following expenses:

Telephone (\$30 x 12 mos.)	\$	360.00
Magazines, Newspapers, Etc.		192.00
Tools, Parts		487.00
Trucking Expense		746.00
Gloves, Arctic Wear		92.00
Soldering & Compressor - Utilities		120.00
Tax Preparation Fee		100.00
Dues		201.00
Total	\$2	,298.00

The \$2,298.00 in total expenses deducted from total income of \$21,914.00 resulted in the business income of \$19,616.00 reported.

- (c) A wage and tax statement attached to the return showed "wages, tips, other compensation" to Mr. Lennon of \$21,914.00 from The Flying Tiger Line, Inc. of Los Angeles, California. The wages so reported are circled and a handwritten arrow points to the compensation figure and a legend states, "Included in Schedule C". A second wage and tax statement showed wages to Mrs. Lennon in the amount of \$493.82 from Waldbaum, Inc.
- (d) Mr. Lennon claimed total New York itemized deductions of \$5,971.00, and Mrs. Lennon claimed no New York deductions.
- (e) The unincorporated business tax return shows total business income of \$19,616.00 less subtractions of \$21,614.00, resulting in a net loss of \$1,998.00. Accordingly, no unincorporated business tax was shown as due.
- (f) Mr. Lennon's New York City Nonresident Earnings Tax Return for 1978 shows net earnings from self-employment of \$15,693.00.
- 4. On April 2, 1982, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Changes to Mr. Lennon for 1978, containing the following explanation: "Amount deducted as Schedule C expenses is not considered ordinary and necessary

expense in production of income earned as an employee." Additional State and City tax of \$183.24 was asserted on a New York taxable income of \$12,727.00, calculated as follows:

Wages & other compensation	\$21,914.00
Interest income	34.00
Total New York income	21,948.00
New York itemized deductions	5,971.00
Balance	\$15,977.00
Exemptions: 5 @ 650.00	3,250.00
Corrected New York taxable income	\$12,727.00

- 5. On April 14, 1982, the Audit Division issued to petitioners a Notice of Deficiency for the year 1978, asserting State and City tax due of \$183.24 plus interest. No penalties were imposed.
- 6. The 1979 income tax return lists Mr. Lennon's occupation as "MATRLS HOL SPEC", and Mrs. Lennon's occupation as "CHILD CARE CTR". Mr. Lennon reported income of \$19,813.00, consisting of business income of \$19,284.00 and interest income of \$529.00. An adjustment to income was taken of \$438.00, resulting in total income of \$19,375.00. Mrs. Lennon reported income of \$3,325.00, consisting of business income of \$2,796.00 and interest income of \$529.00. An adjustment to income was made of \$439.00, resulting in total income of \$2,886.00. The adjustments to income were not explained, and their source is unknown.
- (a) A wage and tax statement attached to the return shows income to Mr. Lennon from "Wages, tips, other compensation" in the amount of \$27,057.38 from The Flying Tiger Line, Inc. The statement is stamped with an arrow pointing to the compensation figure and bearing the legend, "Included in Schedule C".

- (b) On an attached Schedule C, Mr. Lennon reported total income from business or profession of \$27,157.00, allocated to: "contractual" \$20,696.00; "emergency service" \$6,361.00; and "Exhibits" \$100.00.
 - (c) The Schedule C for Mr. Lennon shows the following expenses:

Telephone (30 x 12 mos.)	\$	360.00
Magazines, newspapers, etc.		398.00
Tools, parts		437.00
Trucking expense		561.00
Gloves, arctic wear		493.00
Soldering & compressor - utilities		193.00
Tax preparation fee		100.00
Dues		250.00
Hospitality		798.00
Maintenance & supplies		383.00
Payment to Ann Lennon - Secretary	3	,900.00*
TOTAL	\$7	,873.00

^{*} Included in wife's Schedule C.

The \$7,873.00 in total expenses were subtracted from total income of \$27,157.00, and the result was shown as the \$19,284.00 net business income reported.

(d) The Schedule C for Mrs. Lennon shows income from business or profession of \$4,725.00, allocated to: "child care center" - \$825.00; "Frank Lennon Service - \$3,900.00. The following expenses are shown:

Magazines, books	\$	192.00
Milk, cookies, luncheon		312.00
Travel (2,720 mi @ $18\frac{1}{2}$)		503.00
Toys		218.00
Diapers		197.00
Cleaning		382.00
Bathroom maintenance		125.00
TOTAL	\$1	,929,00

The \$1,929.00 in total expenses were subtracted from total income of \$4,725.00, and the result was shown as the \$2,796.00 net business income reported.

(e) Mr. Lennon claimed New York itemized deductions of \$6,218.00, including medical and dental expenses of \$1,245.00.

- (f) Mr. Lennon's New York City Nonresident Earnings Tax Return shows net earnings from self-employment of \$19,284.00.
- (g) Mr. Lennon's Unincorporated Business Tax Return shows total business income of \$19,284.00, less subtractions of \$27,057.00 (also noted as "wages subject to FICA tax included in Schedule C"), resulting in a net loss of \$7,773.00. Accordingly, no unincorporated business tax was shown as due.
- (h) Mrs. Lennon's Unincorporated Business Tax Return shows total business income of \$2,796.00. An exemption of \$5,000.00 was subtracted from income. Accordingly, no taxable business income was shown, and no tax was shown as due.
- 7. On February 7, 1983, the Audit Division issued a Statement of Audit Changes to petitioners for 1979, asserting total New York State and New York City tax due of \$720.16 on total taxable income of \$17,922.45. The statement contained this explanation:

"As a salaried employee, you are not a business entity and therefore are not entitled to claim Schedule C deductions as these expenses are not ordinary and necessary for the production of income as an employee."

Petitioners' tax liability was recomputed under filing status "married filing jointly". New York itemized deductions were reduced to \$6,040.93 as the result of an adjustment to petitioners' medical and dental expenses made by the Audit Division. The household credit was disallowed.

- 8. On April 8, 1983, the Audit Division issued to petitioners a Notice of Deficiency for 1979 asserting additional State and City tax due of \$720.16 plus interest. No penalty was imposed.
- 9. To substantiate the claimed expenses for 1978 and 1979, petitioners submitted affidavits and the following documentation:

- (a) Mr. Lennon submitted a substantial number of invoices representing parts purchases made in connection with a welding business which he purportedly operated in his spare time. These invoices totalled \$1,278.09. Mr. Lennon stated that he was reimbursed for all parts, and the parts purchases shown on the invoices were not claimed as business expenses on the Schedule C. No other proof was offered of a business activity separate from his employment.
- (b) Other documentation submitted for 1978 included cancelled checks, invoices, property tax bills and statements of interest paid. There was no evidence offered to show that these expenses were other than personal in nature, and many of them appear to have been included in petitioners' itemized deductions.
- (c) Mrs. Lennon submitted a letter which stated that the writer had paid Mrs. Lennon approximately \$800.00 in 1979 for childcare services rendered by Mrs. Lennon. No receipts or proof of expenditures incurred in relation to such services were submitted.
- (d) Mr. Lennon produced invoices for the purchase of automobile parts in 1979. Again, these purchases were not claimed as deductions on his Schedule C.
- (e) Petitioners submitted proof of medical expenses of \$1,851.04 in 1979. Petitioner claimed medical expenses in 1979 of \$1,245.00. This was reduced by the Audit Division to \$1,067.00.
- (f) Petitioners paid their accountant \$150.00 in 1978 and 1979 for preparation of their State and Federal income tax forms.
- 10. Petitioners base their protest of tax assessments under consideration on the following grounds:

- (a) That the notices of deficiency were issued on an arbitrary and capricious basis just prior to the expiration of the period of limitations on assessment, thus depriving petitioners of the opportunity to present substantiation for the claimed deductions;
- (b) that petitioners are one of a large group of taxpayers who were selected for special scrutiny because their returns had been prepared by the same tax preparer; and
- (c) that where petitioners do not have cancelled checks or other receipts for certain expenses, the Department of Taxation and Finance should allow petitioners a reasonable estimate of such expenses.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the notices of deficiency were properly issued and were not arbitrary or capricious. Mr. Lennon submitted wage and tax statements showing income as an employee, yet he reported no income from wages, salaries, tips and other compensation. In addition, he submitted Federal Schedules C reporting his employee income as business income. These returns were patently erroneous, and the Audit Division was justified in making a determination of tax due based on adjustments to correct inconsistencies apparent on the face of the returns. Each Notice of Deficiency was preceded by a Statement of Audit Changes fully informing petitioners of the basis for the assessment and affording petitioners the opportunity to file amended returns.
- B. That the fact that petitioners' returns were selected for examination because of certain practices of their accountant is irrelevant. Petitioners' liability depends solely on the facts adduced herein.
- C. That Mr. Lennon may have carried on a welding business during the years at issue. However, other than receipts for the purchase of automobile

parts, purchases which were not claimed as expenses on his Schedule C, he provided no proof of such business activity. Furthermore, he submitted no proof of actual expenditures for those business expenses which were claimed on his Schedule C. Accordingly, Mr. Lennon has not sustained his burden of proof (Tax Law § 689[e]; Administrative Code § U46-39.0[e]) to show that he was engaged in a trade or business other than as an employee and that he was entitled to the expenses claimed on his 1978 and 1979 Schedules C.

- D. That Mrs. Lennon has established that she rendered childcare services in her home in 1979. Generally speaking, an ordinary and necessary business expense incurred in carrying on a trade or business is deductible under I.R.C. § 162(a). However, Mrs. Lennon has provided no proof of actual expenditures incurred in carrying on a childcare service; accordingly she is not entitled to the deductions claimed on her 1979 Schedule C. Finally, there is no evidence in the record that Mrs. Lennon actually provided secretarial services to Mr. Lennon and that she was compensated for doing so.
- E. That during the tax years at issue, section 213(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code provided as follows:

"There shall be allowed as a deduction the following amounts, not compensated for by insurance or otherwise --

(1) the amount by which the amount of the expenses paid during the taxable year ... for medical care of the taxpayer, his spouse, and dependents ... exceeds 3 percent of the adjusted gross income".

Petitioners have shown proof of medical expenses of \$1,851.04 in 1979, an amount exceeding 3 percent of their adjusted gross income for that year by \$1,006.14. Thus, the Audit Division properly adjusted petitioners' itemized deductions by reducing the medical and dental expenses allowed.

- F. That petitioners have established that they were entitled to deductions of \$150.00 in 1978 and \$150.00 in 1979 for fees paid to their accountant for preparation of tax forms. Their itemized deductions will be increased accordingly.
- G. That the petitions of Frank Lennon and Ann Lennon are granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "F"; that the notices of deficiency issued on April 14, 1982 and April 8, 1983 shall be modified accordingly; and that in all other respects, the petitions are denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MAY 2 9 1987

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER