STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

PAUL B. COBURN SECRETARY Telephone: (518) 457-6162

August 12, 1986

Marie Ansley Lamb Lane Box 17 Tennent, NJ

Re: File No. 62344

Dear Ms. Ansley:

Please take notice of the Default Order of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 1312 & 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review this decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquires concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

PAUL B. COBURN
SECRETARY TO THE
STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Marie Ansley : DEFAULT ORDER

: 86-P-19

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of :

a Determination or Refund of NYS & NYC Personal Income Tax :

under Article(s) 22 & 30 of the Tax Law :

for the Years 1980 - 1982.

Petitioner(s) Marie Ansley filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or revision of a determination or refund of NYS & NYC Personal Income Tax under Article(s) 22 & 30 of the Tax Law for the Years 1980 - 1982. File No. 62344.

Under Section 601.5 of the State Tax Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, a notice was served on the petitioner(s) to file a perfected petition. Notice to file the perfected petition was sent to the last known address of the petitioner(s). Petitioner(s) failed to file a perfected petition. A default has been duly noted.

Now on motion of the Secretary to the State Tax Commission, it is ORDERED that the petition of Marie Ansley be and the same is hereby denied.

DEFAULT ORDER
ADOPTED BY THE STATE TAX COMMISSION
ALBANY, NEW YORK
AUGUST 12, 1986

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

PAUL B. COBURN
SECRETARY
Telephone: (518) 457-6162

August 12, 1986

Marie Ansley Lamb Lane Box 17 Tennent, NJ

Re: File No. 62344

Dear Ms. Ansley:

Please take notice of the Default Order of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 1312 & 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review this decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquires concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

PAUL B. COBURN
SECRETARY TO THE
STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative



In the Matter of the Petition

of

Marie Ansley

DEFAULT ORDER

86-P-19

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision of

a Determination or Refund of NYS & NYC Personal Income Tax

under Article(s) 22 & 30 of the Tax Law

for the Years 1980 - 1982.

Petitioner(s) Marie Ansley filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or revision of a determination or refund of NYS & NYC Personal Income Tax under Article(s) 22 & 30 of the Tax Law for the Years 1980 - 1982. File No. 62344.

Under Section 601.5 of the State Tax Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, a notice was served on the petitioner(s) to file a perfected petition. Notice to file the perfected petition was sent to the last known address of the petitioner(s). Petitioner(s) failed to file a perfected petition. A default has been duly noted.

Now on motion of the Secretary to the State Tax Commission, it is ORDERED that the petition of Marie Ansley be and the same is hereby denied.

DEFAULT ORDER
ADOPTED BY THE STATE TAX COMMISSION
ALBANY, NEW YORK
AUGUST 12, 1986

NATIONAL CITIZENS LAW & RESEARCH ASSOCIATION

LOS ANGELES, CA; MIAMI, FL; DENVER, CO; WESTBURY, NY; PHILADELPHIA, PA;
BOZEMAN, MT; SFATTIF, MA; BOISE, TO

BOZEMAN,MT) SERTTLE,MAJ BUISE,IU.	1
RECORDS & DOCUMENTS DIV. DATE 04/6 03/3	1986
RECORDS & DOCUMENTS DIV. TO: altention Mr. P. Coburn LANFUL AFFIDAVIT RE: File # 6	2314
Please ottach this Constructive Notice of Objection as my permanent record. Matice of Objection.	partof
normanent record.	
The following facts exist with regards to the above to the following facts exist with regards to the above to the following facts exist with regards to the above to the following facts exist with regards to the above to the following facts exist were certified inquiries	. P. wasd
The Pallowing facts exist with regards to the above 1	reterenceu
The following facts exist The facts exist The following facts exist The facts exist T	or responses
matter. I llour agency has refused to answer certified inquiries	
1. your agency numbered: P644-606-691, P744-408.326, P744.407.130 and P436-122	.935
P1.44-606-691, P744-408.326, P744.407.130 and	
- Hat your agency prove i	† <i>S</i>
2. I have continually requested that your agency prove i	gded
jurisdiction over the person mitted to which you he	ave
complaint or crime I have conder prove.	thout
also-refused to response "DEFAUH ORDER de	the court.
Complaint or crime I have committed also-refused to respond or prove. also-refused to respond or prove. BEFAULT ORDER as With a before of Judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of the scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of unit scope of the scope of authority of a duly elected officer / judge of unit scope or authority of a duly elected officer / judge of uni	a Affidavit"
the scope or authority of entitled "Legal Northe	+ +.
4. The attached page document, entitled 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 15 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 16 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 17 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to be considered as my good faith answer to your attent 18 to	mpls 10
is to be considered as my good without "Due Process of Law	" and "
4. The attached as my good faith answer of staw is to be considered as my good faith answer of law extort my private property without "Due Process of Law extort my private property my private property without "Due Process of Law extort my private property my private private private property my private pri	mitted.
Respect + uni	
Marie andles	
$\mathcal{P} \sim 12$	
ALLODIAL CITIZEN Make Ansley ADDRESS BOX/7 CITY Tennent STATE NT ZIP O	5763
DID APPEAR BEFORE HE ON THIS LE	th.
DAY OF 16th Oct 18 8 AND BEING GLEY SHORN DID SIGN THE FOREGOING AFFIDAVED INSTRUMENT OF THE FOREGOING AFFIDAVED	NT.
may no that	7

** /) () PAGE #____

C.C. ATTORNEY FILE

LAH AFF FORH # A P (F.S)

NOTARY PUBLIC

NATIONAL CITIZENS LAW & RESEARCH ASSOCIATION

LOS ANGELES, CA, MIAMI, FL; DENVER, CO; WESTBURY, NY; PHILADELPHIA, PA; BOZEMAN, HT; SEATTLE, WA; BOISE, ID.

RECORDS & DOCUMENTS DIV.		DATE
TO:	LAWFUL AFFIDAVIT	CERT. #
		RE:

NEW YORKER PROVES TAX UNLAWFULLY COLLECTED

ED. NOTE: THE FOLLOWING IS A REPRODUCTION OF A CERTIFIED LETTER (RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED) TO THE I.R.S. FROM A N. Y. PATRIOT:

MS. VOGHT: THIS IS A FOLLOW UP TO MY PREVIOUS LETTER, WHICH WAS IN RESPONSE TO YOUR LETTER WITH ACCOMPANYING REPORTS EXPLAINING WHY YOU "BELIEVE" ADJUSTMENTS SHOULD BE MADE IN THE AMOUNT OF "TAX" ALLEGEDLY DUE FOR THE YEARS 1982, 1983 and 1984. THESE LETTERS REPRESENT A FORMAL CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE.

THE ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE WILL SUPPORT THE FACT THAT I, AN UNFRANCHISED, SOVEREIGN INDIVIDUAL WORKING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR, EXCHANGING SERVICES (LABOR/PROPERTY) FOR A RETURN OF EQUAL VALUE (PROPERTY) IN THE FREE MARKET OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR AT AN AGREED-TO FAIR MARKET VALUE OF EXCHANGE, AM NOT A "PERSON LIABLE" FOR ANY TAX IM POSED BY TITLE 26 USCA, PER SECTION 3401, 3402, 3403, 6001, 6011, or 6012.

As THE NEW YORK STATE TAX LAW CLEARLY SEPARATES THE "GAINS, PROFITS, AND INCOME DERIVED FROM SALARIES, WAGES, OR COMPENSATION FOR PERSONAL SERVICES..." (AS FOUND IN ARTICLE 16, SEC. 359, SUBSECTION 1) AND THE "...SALARIES AND COMPENSATION OF ALL PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND JUDGES..." AND "...COMPENSATION RECEIVED BY ANY INDIVIDUAL ON OR AFTER JANUARY FIRST, NINETEEN HUNDRED THIRTY-NINE, FOR PERSONAL SERVICE AS AN OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES OR ANY AGENCY OR INSTRUMENTALITY THEREOF," (AS FOUND IN SUBSECTIONS 4 AND 6 RESPECTIVELY), AS EXPLAINED IN MY PREVIOUS LETTER TO YOU, I WILL NOW EXPLAIN WHY THESE CLEAR, PRECISE DEFINITIONS ARE REQUIRED BY LAW.

FIRST, LET ME INFORM YOU THAT THE COURTS HAVE CONSISTENTLY HELD THAT ARTICLE 16 OF THE NEW YORK STATE TAX LAW IS MODELED ON THE FEDERAL TAX LAW, 26 USCA (INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 1954) 1 ET SEQ. AND THE DEFINITION OF GROSS INCOME PARALLELS THAT IN THE FEDERAL ACT. BEARING THIS IN MIND I WILL NOW EXPLAIN WHY THE DEFINITION OF GROSS INCOME MUST SEPARATE "GAINS, PROFITS, AND INCOME DERIVED FROM..." AND THE "...SALARIES AND COMPENSATION..." ITSELF AS IT APPLIES TO THOSE INDIVIDUALS WORKING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND CIVIL SERVANTS, RESPECTIVELY. THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE 1, SECTION 17, READS (IN PART):

"LABOR OF HUMAN BEINGS IS NOT A COMMODITY NOR AN ARTICLE OF COMMERCE AND SHALL NEVER BE SO CONSIDERED OR CONSTRUED."

THE ONLY CLASS OF CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK WHICH ARE PRECLUDED FROM SUCH PROTECTION ARE CIVIL SERVANTS (THOSE SPECIFICALLY DEFINED IN SUBSECTIONS 4 AND 6 OF ARTICLE 16, SECTION 359, OF THE NEW YORK STATE TAX LAW) VIA ARTICLE 16, SECTION 5. OF THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION, WHICH READS:

NATIONAL CITIZENS LAW & RESEARCH ASSOCIATION

LOS ANGELES.CA; MIAMI.FL; DENVER.CO; WESTBURY.NY; PHILADELPHIA.PA; BOZEMAN,MT; SEATTLE,MA; BOISE.ID.

RECORDS & DOCUMENTS DIV.	LAWFUL AFFIDAVIT	CERT. #	
		PAGE 2	

"ALL SALARIES, WAGES, AND OTHER COMPENSATION, EXCEPT PENSIONS, PAID TO OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE AND ITS SUBDIVISIONS AND AGENCIES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO TAXATION."

THIS TAX CONFORMS TO THAT FOUND IN SUBTITLE "C" OF TITLE 26 USCA AT CHAPTER 24 AND IS THE ONLY TAX COLLECTED AT THE SOURCE BY THE PROCESS OF "WITHHOLDING." IT IS NOT PART OF SUBTITLE "A", UNDER THE GUISE OF THE SIXTEETH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION PRESUMED RATIFIED IN 1913.

THUS, I AM NOT A "PERSON LIABLE" FOR ANY TAX IMPOSED BY TITLE 26 USCA, PER SECTION 3401, 3402, 3403, 6004, 6011, or 6012. BEAR IN MIND THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CANNOT OVERRULE A RIGHT RECOGNIZED AND DULY SECURED BY THE SOVEREIGN STATE OF NEW YORK, IN WHICH I RESIDE.

NOTARY PUBLIC

LAN AFF FORH # A P (F.S)

MODERN AMERICAN LAW; TAXATION From Blackstone Institute Page 427

Uniformity and Equality
"...each excise must apply to all persons perform ing the same act or enjoying the same privilege, and a distinction founded upon an immaterial difference is consequently unconstitution -al; that the legislature cannot impose an unreasonable excise upon the doing of an act which it has not the power to prohibit; and, it has sometimes been held the permissible subjects of excise are confined to such undertakings as one may not lawfully follow in the exercise of a natural right without aid from the govern ment and without affecting the rights or interests of others in such a way as properly to call for gov-ernmental regulation; and and that consequently the legislature cannot constitutionally lay an excise upon such natural rights as the performance of simple labor or the making of simple contracts." Atchison R.R. Co. v. Clark, 60 Kan 826, 58 Pac. 477; Muhlenbrinck v. Commissioners, 42 N.J. L. 364; Opinion of the Justices, 196 Mass 602, 85 NE 545

IRS COLLECTION SUMMONS

"You are hereby summoned and required to appear be-fore an officer of the Internal Revenue Service to give testimony and to bring with you and produce for examination the following books, records, papers, and data relating to the other tax liability for the purpose of inquiring into any offense connected with the administration or enforcement of the internal revelaws concerning the person identified above for the period shown.

"All documents and receipts you possess or con-trol that reflect income received for the

These documents and records include, but are not limit-ad to Forms W-2 Wage and Tax Statement, Forms 1099 in interest or dividend income, employee earnings statements, and records of deposits with banks or oth-

er financial institution;
"Also include any and all other books, records, documents and receipts for in-come from, but not limited to the following * sources:
wages, salaries, tips,
fees, commissions, interest, rents, royalties, alimony, state or local tax refunds, annuities, life insurance policies, endowment contracts, pensions, estates, trusts, discharge of indebtedness, distribushares of partnership income, business income, gains from dealings in property; and any other com-pensation for services including receipt of property

other than money. This includes any and all documents and records pertain-ing to any income you have assigned to any other person or entity."

* NOTE: IRS admits that these items are sources, not "income." They become "income" for an individual if he enters them as "in-come" on an income tax return.

IRS CODE

The underlined words in the following reprint of \$3452(f) of the IRS Code show that Congress acknowledges that IRS forms can be revoked and that an individual's status can be changed by giving notice of change.

"(f) Exemption Certificates--

"(1) In general--"(A) Delivery--An exindividual or exempt recipient may deliver exemption certificate to a payor at any time. Such payor at any time. certificate shall be in such form and contain such information as the Secre-

tary shall prescribe.
"(B) Change of Status--Any person who ceases to be an exempt individual or exempt recipient shall, not later than the close of the 10th day after the date of such cessation, notify each payor with whom such person has an exemption certifi-cate of such change in status. No notice shall be required under the preceding sentence with respect any payor if it reasonably appears that the person will not thereafter receive a payment of interest, dividends, or patron-age dividends from such payor.

"(2) Effectiveness of Certificates --

"(A) General Rule-Except as otherwise provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary, an exemption certificate shall be effective until-

"(i) revoked, or "(ii) notice of change in status is provided pursuant to paragraph (1)(B)."

IRS EMPLOYEE LETTER Dear Employee: 1

This letter is directed to you from the Internal Revenue Service to clarify the legal requirements re-garding W-4 Regulations. Also, to alert you to the penalties which may be assessed for supplying false or fraudulent information. even for a short period of

An employee can claim exemption from withholding only if:

1. They did not owe any Federal income tax last year and had a right to receive ALL income tax with-held, AND²
2. They do not expect to

owe any Federal income tax this year and expect to have a refund of ALL income tax withheld.2

You cannot claim exempt additional allowances simply to adjust for a large amount of tax with-held at the beginning of the year. You can claim only what you are legally entitled to.

To determine your correct withholding, complete the worksheet on the back of the W-4.3 The Internal Revenue Service has the authority to request you to justify information on your W-4. If an individual does not justify any withholding allowances or claiming exempt, the employer will receive a determination let-ter with instructions to withhold at the rate of single with one allowance.

The following penalties may be assessed for supplying false or fraudulent information on your W-4.

1. A civil penalty of \$500 may be assessed to any individual who decreases the amount of tax withheld by filing a W-4 for additional allowances or exemptions from withholding. without a reasonable basis.

2. A criminal penalty of

\$1000 and imprisonment for one year may be assessed to any individual who willfully supplies false or fraudu -lent information on their

The Tax Reform Act of 1984 has broadened the penalties for employees supply -ing false or fraudulent information on their W-4's. It is now possible to prosecute for willful evasion prosecution for where false W-4 is also available.

If you need further information, contact the Internal Revenue Service, Taxpayer Service at (312). 435-1040.

The W-4 Regulations apply to all employees. The Internal Revenue Service will enforce these Regulations and penalties.

Sincerely, J. R. Starkey, District Director 1. Quitted is the critical distinction between public and private employment, leaving a very leading impression that all workers owe a tax on compensation, which such con-cept has no support of law. 2. Private sector.

The IRS deceptively omits a difference between public and private employment, thus creates a misleading impression that is opposite of intent and limit of law. Cautiously acknowledged is the fact that if an individual owes no tax collected by W-4 withholding, then they can properly claim exemption from such, but attempts to cloud the issue with reference to penalties that are only applicable to those who are subject to same, that being government workers, not private citi-

3. ONLY government workers are subject to tax collected by the W-4 withholding process; private individuals not having benefits of government employment do not owe such tax as they retain rights secured by both state and U.S. Constitution. A private employer is then subject to civil and criminal penalties if amounts are withheld.

4. Human labor is NOT a commodity. Labor is pro-perty. Tax collected at the "source" for the tax on compensation-wof bervice is an "excise" (indirect, progressive/graduated) on privilege of government employment. Any "direct" tax on the "source" must be equal (apportioned). vate citizens' right to sell their property (labor) is not taxable.

m. Ansley Bagl7

(ennent, N.J.

Fold at line over top of envelope to the right of the of the return address.

F.A. Bartholdi, Statue of Libert mmassen