STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Peter A. & Dolores Spoleti

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or Revision : of a Determination or Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1977. :

State of New York }

ss.:

County of Albany }

David Parchuck, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the State Tax Commission, that he is over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of November, 1984, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Peter A. & Dolores Spoletí, the petitioners in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Peter A. & Dolores Spoleti 5 Ridge Rd. Coram, NY 11727

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a post office under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Daried barnhund

Sworn to before me this 9th day of November, 1984.

Authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Tax Law section 174

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

November 9, 1984

Peter A. & Dolores Spoleti 5 Ridge Rd. Coram, NY 11727

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Spoleti:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

01

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1977.

PETER A. SPOLETI and DOLORES SPOLETI

Petitioners, Peter A. Spoleti and Dolores Spoleti, 5 Ridge Road, Coram, New York 11727, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1977 (File No. 35372).

A small claims hearing was held before Allen Caplowaith, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 16, 1984 at 9:15 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by April 30, 1984. Petitioner Peter A. Spoleti appeared <u>pro se</u>. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Angelo Scopellito, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether a change made by the Internal Revenue Service increasing petitioners' 1977 Federal adjusted gross income, and consequently their total New York income, was subsequently rescinded based on petitioners' filing of an amended Federal return.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Peter A. Spoleti and Dolores Spoleti, timely filed a joint New York State Income Tax Resident Return for the year 1977 whereon they claimed a capital loss of \$8,786.00. Said capital loss was also claimed on their 1977 U.S. Individual Income Tax Return.

- 2. On April 17, 1978, the Internal Revenue Service issued a statement to petitioners advising them that their claimed 1977 Federal refund of \$2,680.00 had been reduced to \$35.28 on the basis that "an error was made in figuring your capital gains and losses on Schedule D." The revised refund was computed based on the disallowance of \$6,786.00, which represented the portion of the capital loss deduction claimed in excess of the maximum capital loss allowable for said year of \$2,000.00.
- 3. As the result of the aforestated federal change, a Statement of Audit Changes was issued to petitioners on December 16, 1980 wherein their total New York income reported of \$45,382.00 was increased by \$6,786.00 to conform with said federal change. Accordingly, a Notice of Deficiency was issued against petitioners on April 1, 1981 asserting additional personal income tax of \$1,018.00, plus interest of \$254.36, for a total due of \$1,272.36.
- 4. Petitioners subsequently filed an amended 1977 Federal return whereon they revised the character of said loss from a capital loss to a small business corporation loss. On their amended return said loss was reported on Federal Schedule E. They contended that such loss was erroneously reported on their original return as a capital loss.
- 5. Petitioner Peter A. Spoleti contended that the Internal Revenue Service accepted their amended return and subsequently refunded the balance of the \$2,680.00 refund claimed on their original return; however, no documentation was submitted to support such contention. Although sufficient time was granted subsequent to the hearing held herein for petitioners to submit a copy of the 1977 federal refund check for the balance of the refund as claimed on their Federal return or a statement from the Internal Revenue Service indicating acceptance of the amended return, they failed to do so.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That section 612(a) of the Tax Law provides that:

"The New York adjusted gross income of a resident individual means his federal adjusted gross income as defined in the laws of the United States for the taxable year...".

- B. That petitioners have failed to sustain their burden of proof, imposed pursuant to section 689(e) of the Tax Law, to show that the Internal Revenue Service accepted their 1977 amended return characterizing the loss at issue as a small business corporation loss rather than as a capital loss, as reported on their original return.
- C. That the petition of Peter A. Spoleti and Dolores Spoleti is denied and the Notice of Deficiency dated April 1, 1981 is sustained together with such additional interest as may be lawfully owing.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NOV 0 9 1984

ÇOMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

Peter A. & Dolores Spoletí 5 Ridge Rd. Coram, NY 11727 P 693 168 688 TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE OF NEW YORK State Tax Commission STATE CAMPUS TA 26 (9-79)

TAX APPENIS BURNEY

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

November 9, 1984

Peter A. & Dolores Spoleti 5 Ridge Rd. Coram, NY 11727

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Spoleti:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, a proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission may be instituted only under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Law Bureau - Litigation Unit Building #9, State Campus Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-2070

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

PETER A. SPOLETI and DOLORES SPOLETI

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1977.

Petitioners, Peter A. Spoleti and Dolores Spoleti, 5 Ridge Road, Coram, New York 11727, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1977 (File No. 35372).

A small claims hearing was held before Allen Caplowaith, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on March 16, 1984 at 9:15 A.M., with all briefs to be submitted by April 30, 1984. Petitioner Peter A. Spoleti appeared pro se. The Audit Division appeared by John P. Dugan, Esq. (Angelo Scopellito, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether a change made by the Internal Revenue Service increasing petitioners' 1977 Federal adjusted gross income, and consequently their total New York income, was subsequently rescinded based on petitioners' filing of an amended Federal return.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, Peter A. Spoleti and Dolores Spoleti, timely filed a joint New York State Income Tax Resident Return for the year 1977 whereon they claimed a capital loss of \$8,786.00. Said capital loss was also claimed on their 1977 U.S. Individual Income Tax Return.

- 2. On April 17, 1978, the Internal Revenue Service issued a statement to petitioners advising them that their claimed 1977 Federal refund of \$2,680.00 had been reduced to \$35.28 on the basis that "an error was made in figuring your capital gains and losses on Schedule D." The revised refund was computed based on the disallowance of \$6,786.00, which represented the portion of the capital loss deduction claimed in excess of the maximum capital loss allowable for said year of \$2,000.00.
- 3. As the result of the aforestated federal change, a Statement of Audit Changes was issued to petitioners on December 16, 1980 wherein their total New York income reported of \$45,382.00 was increased by \$6,786.00 to conform with said federal change. Accordingly, a Notice of Deficiency was issued against petitioners on April 1, 1981 asserting additional personal income tax of \$1,018.00, plus interest of \$254.36, for a total due of \$1,272.36.
- 4. Petitioners subsequently filed an amended 1977 Federal return whereon they revised the character of said loss from a capital loss to a small business corporation loss. On their amended return said loss was reported on Federal Schedule E. They contended that such loss was erroneously reported on their original return as a capital loss.
- 5. Petitioner Peter A. Spoleti contended that the Internal Revenue Service accepted their amended return and subsequently refunded the balance of the \$2,680.00 refund claimed on their original return; however, no documentation was submitted to support such contention. Although sufficient time was granted subsequent to the hearing held herein for petitioners to submit a copy of the 1977 federal refund check for the balance of the refund as claimed on their Federal return or a statement from the Internal Revenue Service indicating acceptance of the amended return, they failed to do so.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That section 612(a) of the Tax Law provides that:

"The New York adjusted gross income of a resident individual means his federal adjusted gross income as defined in the laws of the United States for the taxable year...".

- B. That petitioners have failed to sustain their burden of proof, imposed pursuant to section 689(e) of the Tax Law, to show that the Internal Revenue Service accepted their 1977 amended return characterizing the loss at issue as a small business corporation loss rather than as a capital loss, as reported on their original return.
- C. That the petition of Peter A. Spoleti and Dolores Spoleti is denied and the Notice of Deficiency dated April 1, 1981 is sustained together with such additional interest as may be lawfully owing.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NOV 0 9 1984

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER