## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Anna Manzella

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax : under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Periods 1974 - 1977. :

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of April, 1981 he served the within notice of Decision by mail upon Anna Manzella, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Anna Manzella 1690 Bushwick Ave. Merrick, NY 11566

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 10th day of April, 1981.

Junio a bayaland

## STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Anna Manzella

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Sales & Use Tax : under Article 28 & 29 of the Tax Law for the Periods 1974 - 1977 :

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of April, 1981, he served the within notice of Decision by mail upon Morris B. Cohn the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Morris B. Cohn 80-42 168th St. Jamaica, NY 11432

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 10th Day of April, 1981

Cinuie a Hageland

# STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

April 10, 1981

Anna Manzella 1690 Bushwick Ave. Merrick, NY 11566

Dear Ms. Manzella:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 1138 & 1243 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Deputy Commissioner and Counsel Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-6240

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Morris B. Cohn
80-42 168th St.
Jamaica, NY 11432
Taxing Bureau's Representative

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANNA MANZELLA (Purchaser)

DECISION

for Revision of a Determination or for Refund of Sales and Use Taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the Period March 1, 1974 through February 28, 1977.

Petitioner, Anna Manzella (Purchaser), 1690 Bushwick Avenue, Merrick, New York 11566, filed an application for revision of a determination or for refund of sales and use taxes under Articles 28 and 29 of the Tax Law for the period March 1, 1974 through February 28, 1977 (File No. 21870).

A small claims hearing was held before Judy M. Clark, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on August 14, 1979 at 10:45 A.M. Applicant appeared by Morris Cohn, Esq. The Audit Division appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Abraham Schwartz, Esq., of counsel).

#### **ISSUES**

- I. Whether payments by petitioner of the debts and taxes owed by the seller constituted part of the purchase price of business assets.
  - II. Whether the results of the audit performed on the seller were correct.
- III. Whether the penalties and interest applicable to the seller were properly asserted against the purchaser.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On March 16, 1977, petitioner notified the Audit Division of its purchase on March 9, 1977 of the business known as Jimmy's Stationery sold by Marion E. Braun. The purchase price of the business was stated as \$5,500.00.

- 2. On August 29, 1977, the Audit Division issued a Notice and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due for the period March 1, 1974 through February 28, 1977 against petitioner, Anna Manzella (Purchaser), for \$4,869.61 tax, plus penalties and interest. The Notice was issued as a result of a field audit of the seller's business and represented petitioner's liability as purchaser under section 1141(c) of the the Tax Law.
- 3. The Notice was reduced by the Audit Division on February 27, 1978 to tax due of \$2,527.11, plus penalties and interest of \$1,611.95. Petitioner protested the initial Notice on November 25, 1977.
- 4. The seller's records were not sufficient to verify the exact amount of tax; therefore, the Audit Division based its assessment on a markup of the seller's purchases for the test period January 1 through September 15, 1975. The taxable purchases were later adjusted by the Audit Division. The taxable sales reported by the seller were deducted from the audited taxable sales and the difference held subject to tax.
- 5. Pursuant to an agreement executed by petitioner and the seller, the purchase price of the business (\$5,500.00) was distributed to creditors and the State of New York in payment of debts and taxes owed. Business assets at the time of sale included \$300.00 in inventory and \$600.00 in furniture and fixtures.
- 6. Petitioner contended that the amount of her liability should be limited to \$900.00 since that was the amount of business assets purchased. She contended that the distribution of purchase money to the seller's creditors was not for business assets.
- 7. At the hearing, the seller's representative testified that the business had declined and that the test period used on audit was not indicative

of later periods. A purchase invoice and a statement were submitted from two suppliers; however, they were not conclusive as to the seller's overall activity for the period involved.

- 8. Petitioner contended that errors existed in the crediting of tax paid through satisfaction of warrants issued against the seller. Petitioner did not submit any documentary evidence to show that the contended errors had any effect on the audit results.
- 9. Petitioner contended that the penalties and interest were applicable only to the seller.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 1141(c) of the Tax Law provides that a purchaser may be held liable for the payment of taxes determined due from the seller, limited to an amount not in excess of the purchase price or fair market value of the business assets sold, whichever is higher.
- B. That the purchase price of the business known as Jimmy's Stationery was \$5,500.00, regardless of the distribution of the consideration; and that the limitation of the purchaser's liability encompasses intangible, as well as tangible, business assets sold. That, accordingly, payments by petitioner of the debts and taxes owed by the seller constituted part of the purchase price of business assets.
- C. That the records of the seller were not adequate for determining an exact amount of tax; and that the audit performed on the seller's books and records by the Audit Division was in accordance with section 1138(a) of the Tax Law.
- D. That section 1145(a)(3) of the Tax Law states in part that, "Unpaid penalties and interest may be determined, assessed, collected and enforced in

the same manner as the tax imposed by this article." That the petitioner was properly assessed for penalties and interest applicable to the seller in accordance with sections 1141(c) and 1145(a)(3) of the Tax Law.

E. That the petition of Anna Manzella (Purchaser) is denied; and the Notice and Demand for Payment of Sales and Use Taxes Due issued August 29, 1977 and revised on February 27, 1978 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

APR 1 0 1981

TATE TAX COMMISSION

mo

COMMISSIONER