In the Matter of the Petition

of

Donald L. Holley

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of November, 1979, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Donald L. Holley, the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Donald L. Holley Avenue de la Renaissance, 16 1040 Brussels, Belgium

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 9th day of November, 1979.

Soanne Knapp

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Donald L. Holley

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision : of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Tax : under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Jay Vredenburg, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of November, 1979, he served the within notice of Decision by certified mail upon Elliott Manning the representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Elliott Manning One State St. Plaza New York, NY 10004

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the representative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this 9th day of November, 1979.

Joanne Knapp

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

November 9, 1979

Donald L. Holley Avenue de la Renaissance, 16 1040 Brussels, Belgium

Dear Mr. Holley:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to:

NYS Dept. Taxation and Finance Deputy Commissioner and Counsel Albany, New York 12227 Phone # (518) 457-6240

Very truly yours,

STATE TAX COMMISSION

cc: Petitioner's Representative Elliott Manning One State St. Plaza New York, NY 10004 Taxing Bureau's Representative STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DONALD L. HOLLEY

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioner, Donald L. Holley, Avenue de la Renaissance, 16, 1040 Brussels, Belgium, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970 (File No. 01303).

A small claims hearing was held before Harry Huebsch, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on September 28, 1977 at 1:15 P.M. Petitioner appeared by Elliot Manning, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

I. Whether an agreement entered into between nonresident petitioner Donald L. Holley and a New York partnership (of which he was a member), which provided that all of his income was to be considered foreign source income, was binding on New York State for personal income tax purposes.

II. If said agreement was not binding on New York, whether the Income Tax Bureau made a proper allocation of petitioner's distributive partnership income to New York, with particular reference to the section 911 exclusion of the Internal Revenue Code.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner timely filed a New York State personal income nonresident return for 1970, in which he allocated a portion of his total distributive share of partnership income as New York source income.
- 2. The Income Tax Bureau contended that petitioner used an incorrect method of allocation to New York sources of distributive partnership income; therefore, it issued a Statement of Audit Changes in which it adjusted said allocation. Accordingly, it issued a Notice of Deficiency on March 25, 1974 for 1970 for \$506.52 in personal income tax, plus \$89.47 in interest, for a total of \$595.99.
- 3. Petitioner was a nonresident of New York State during 1970. He was a member of the New York partnership of Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen and Hamilton (hereinafter "the partnership"), which had other offices in Washington, D.C., Paris, France, and Brussels, Belgium. Petitioner performed services in the Brussels office as a resident partner during the year at issue.
- 4. In 1970 the percent of total partnership income armed in New York State was 57.90%; 18.46% was earned in Washington, D.C., and 23.64% was from foreign sources.

- 5. In the year at issue, petitioner's distributive share of partnership income was \$46,791.00. Petitioner had an agreement with the partnership which provided that his entire distributive partnership share was payable out of income from sources outside the United States (and was so treated in the books of the partnership) and that it was considered foreign source income. Said agreement was recognized by the Internal Revenue Service for Federal income tax purposes. Petitioner also excluded \$25,000.00 from his distributive share of partnership income in reporting Federal adjusted gross income, in accordance with section 911 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 6. The Income Tax Bureau recomputed petitioner's allocation by taking 57.90% of the total distribution of \$46,791.00, to arrive at New York source income of \$27,091.99. Since this amount was greater than petitioner's Federal income, the section 911 exclusion of \$25,000.00 was applied to total distribution to arrive at \$21,791.00, which was allocable to New York State Before application of additional first year depreciation.
- 7. There was no disagreement with either factual matters or with the mathematical accuracy of the deficiency.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the agreement entered into between petitioner, Donald L. Holley, and the partnership was not binding on New York State for purposes of New York State personal income tax, in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 637(b) of the Tax Law.

- B. That the method used by the Income Tax Bureau in the allocation of petitioner's distributive share of partnership income to New York sources was proper, since it was based on the partnership's percentage of income derived from New York State, This allocation method also made provision for the section 911 exclusion, in that petitioner did not lose the benefit of said section of the Internal Revenue Code and that New York source income was not greater than Federal income, in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 637 of the Tax Law.
- C. That the petition of Donald L. Holley is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued March 25, 1974 is sustained, together with such additional interest as may be lawfully owing.

DATED: Albany, New York

NOV 9 1979

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER