In the Matter of the Petition

of

ALFRED R. MC CAULEY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 22nd day of March , 1978, the served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Alfred R. McCauley

(MPXESSIMERIMENT) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Alfred R. McCauley

Mr. Alfred R. McCauley 1516 Grace Church Road

Silver Springs, Maryland 20910

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (**THETERRITERINAL EXECUTION PRODUCTION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO

ofen Huhn

Sworn to before me this

22nd day of March

, 1978

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ALFRED R. MC CAULEY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Notice of Decision

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 22nd day of March , 1978, the served the within

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by (certified) mail upon Allen Greenberg

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed Allen Greenberg, Esq.

as follows:

Graubard Moskovitz McGoldrick Dannett & Horowitz 345 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

met Mack

22nd day of March

. 1978.



JAMES H. TULLY JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER THOMAS H. LYNCH STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

March 22, 1978

Mr. Alfred R. McCauley 1516 Grace Church Road Silver Springs, Maryland 20910

Dear Mr. McCauley:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(x) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Aloysius J. Nendza Assistant Director

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

of

ALFRED R. MC CAULEY : DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1963 through 1970.

Petitioner, Alfred R. McCauley, residing at 1516 Grace Church Road, Silver Springs, Maryland 20910, filed petitions for redetermination of deficiencies or for refunds of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1963 through 1970 (File No. 13297 and 13298).

A formal hearing was held before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York on April 19, 1977 at 1:15 P.M. The petitioner appeared by Graubard, Moskovitz, McGoldrick, Dannett & Horowitz, Esqs. (Allen Greenberg, Esq., of counsel). The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Frank Levitt, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUES

I. Whether the petitioner, Alfred R. McCauley, was a non-resident partner of the New York law partnership of Graubard & Moskovitz and its successor partnership during the years in issue.

- II. Whether the petitioner operated a Washington, D.C., office during the years in issue on behalf of the New York law partnership of Graubard & Moskovitz and its successor partnership.
- III. Whether this income received by the petitioner, a nonresident during the years in issue, constituted a distributive share
 of gain or profit from a New York partnership attributable to New
 York sources.
- IV. Whether the petitioner is entitled to credit for exemptions and itemized deductions as set forth in his Federal returns for the years in issue.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. During the years 1963 through 1970 and prior thereto, the petitioner, Alfred R. McCauley, was and still is a nonresident residing in Silver Springs, Maryland.
- 2. The petitioner was admitted to the District of Columbia
 Bar in 1958. He is also a member of the Virginia Bar. He is not
 and never was a member of the New York Bar. Upon graduation from
 law school, he went to work for the U.S. Tariff Commission in
 Washington, D.C. Thereafter he went to work for a law firm in Washington, D.C. He then worked for the House of Representatives, Ways
 and Means Committee, until 1962.
- 3. In December of 1962, the petitioner entered into an oral arrangement with the New York law firm of Graubard & Moskovitz for the operation of a Washington, D.C. law office under the name of

Graubard, Moskovitz and McCauley. All of the expenses, including furniture and equipment used in connection with the Washington, D.C., office, was to be paid by Graubard and Moskovitz. Mr. McCauley was to receive a minimum of \$25,000.00 a year, plus an additional amount to be determined at the end of each year. The Washington, D.C. office specialized in international trade matters. In accordance with the oral arrangement, Mr. McCauley leased offices in Washington, D.C., in the name of Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley. A bank account was opened in the name of Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley with the National Bank of Washington, located in Washington, D.C. Mr. McCauley applied for an employer identification number as issued by the I.R.S., in the name of Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley. He employed a clerical staff and filed social security and District of Columbia unemployment compensation reports in the name of Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley. Mr. McCauley filed District of Columbia personal property tax returns in the name of Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley.

4. During the years 1963 through 1969, Graubard and Moskovitz was a New York law partnership with offices located at 40 Wall Street, New York, New York. The partnership filed U.S. partnership returns and New York State partnership returns on a fiscal year basis ending June 30th of each year. On said returns, the petitioner was listed as a resident partner in charge of the Washington, D.C., office. The partnership returns listed the distributive share paid to the various partners including the petitioner, Alfred R. McCauley.

At the end of 1969, a merger took place between Graubard & Moskovitz and the firms of McGoldrick, Dannett & Horowitz and Everett Eisenberg. The new firm became Graubard, Moskovitz, McGoldrick, Dannett & Horowitz with offices at 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York. The announcement of the merger indicated at the bottom "Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley, 1629 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006."

- 5. No U.S. partnership return for the years in issue was filed by Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley.
- 6. On occasion, clients of the New York partnership were referred to the Washington, D.C., office of Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley.
- 7. The income and expenses from the Washington, D.C., office were included in the partnership returns of the New York partnership.
- 8. Messrs. Graubard & Moskovitz were admitted to the District of Columbia Bar in 1963 and were members thereof during the years in issue.
- 9. During the years in issue, Mr. McCauley received substantially more than the guaranteed \$25,000.00 per year from the New York partnership. The partnership returns of the New York partnership of Graubard & Moskovitz for the years ending June 30, 1967, June 30, 1968 and June 30, 1969 show distributive partnership shares paid to petitioner, Alfred R. McCauley, in the amounts of \$47,500.00, \$47,500,00 and \$50,000.00, respectively.

- income tax returns for the years in issue. He contends that he was a member of a Washington, D.C., partnership known as Graubard, Moskovitz & McCauley and that the income received by him during the years in issue was earned outside the State of New York.
- On November 24, 1974, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against the petitioner for the years 1963 through 1969, imposing New York personal income tax on the grounds that the partnership income of a nonresident partner derived from New York sources is subject to New York State income tax. income tax was imposed in the amount of \$22,352.00, plus penalties under section 685(a) of the Tax Law totalling \$4,256.00, penalties under section 685(a)(1) and (2) totalling \$1,544.54, plus interest of \$7,526.53 for a grand total of \$36,664.38. Accordingly, it issued a Notice of Deficiency therefor. On April 11, 1975, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Charges against the petitioner for the year 1970, imposing personal income tax on the grounds that partnership income of a nonresident partner derived from New York sources is subject to New York State income tax. Personal income tax for said year was imposed in the amount of \$6,022.50, plus a penalty under section 685(a)(1) and (2) and interest of \$1,441.97, for a total of \$10,264.93. Accordingly, it issued a Notice of Deficiency therefor. The petitioner timely filed petitions with respect to the aforesaid deficiencies.

- 12. On his Federal income tax returns for the years 1963 and 1964, the petitioner was entitled to an exemption of \$3,600.00; for the years 1965 through 1969, he was entitled to an exemption of \$4,200.00 and to an exemption of \$4,375.00 for 1970. The petitioner itemized deductions for the years 1967 through 1970 as indicated on his Federal income tax returns for said years, which he substantiated by copies thereof submitted to the Hearing Officer. The Income Tax Bureau only allowed the petitioner the standard deduction for the years in issue.
- 13. The New York partnership and its successor partnership on its New York State partnership returns did not allocate income and expenses, attributable to sources outside the State of New York.
- 14. The arrangement between petitioner and Graubard & Moskovitz continued after the merger of the successor partnership.
- 15. Amounts in the bank account of the Washington, D.C., office, in excess of the amount necessary for the operation and expenses of said office, were (from time to time) forwarded by the petitioner to the New York partnership.
- 16. The petitioner and Graubard and Moskovitz never executed a written partnership agreement, nor was a written memorandum confirming said arrangement ever executed by Mr. McCauley and Messrs. Graubard and Moskovitz.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the operation by the petitioner of the Washington, D.C., office in the names of Graubard, Moskovitz and McCauley did not constitute a separate and independent partnership, separate and apart from the New York partnership of Graubard and Moskovitz and its successor partnership.
- B. That the petitioner was and still is a nonresident partner of the New York partnership of Graubard and Moskovitz and its successor partnership, operating a branch office of said partnership in Washington, D.C.
- C. That the income received by the petitioner, Alfred R. McCauley, from Graubard & Moskovitz and its successor partnership during the years in issue, constituted a distributive share of income or gain from said partnership attributable to New York sources within the intent and meaning of section 637 of the Tax Law.
- D. That the petitioner is entitled to the exemption and itemized deduction reported on his Federal returns for the years in issue; that the Income Tax Bureau is directed to recompute the notices of deficiency allowing petitioner credit for exemptions and deductions in accordance with Finding of Fact "12", supra.

E. That the petition of Alfred R. McCauley is granted to the extent indicated in Conclusion of Law "D", supra, and that except as so granted, is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York
March 22, 1978

STATE TAX, COMMISSION

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER