In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARVIN and BEVERLY SILBERMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income of the

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Marsina Donnini she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1977, she served the within age, and that on the 22nd day of July Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Marvin and Beverly Silberman (YED) YES TO WE WITH THE PETITIONER IN the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Marvin & Beverly Silberman 249-21 61st Avenue Little Neck, New York 11362

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the WYNY STATES THAT THE SAID last known address of the xxxpepxesentaxxivexxxxxxxx petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

22nd day of

. 1977.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARVIN and BERVERLY SILBERMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Marsina Donnini , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 22nd day of July , 1977, she served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Melvin Metzger, Esq.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Melvin Metzger, Esq.
176-60 Union Turnpike
Flushing, New York 11366

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

22nd day of July

, 19 77.

Marina Donnie

TA-3 (2/76)



STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

July 22, 1977

Marvin & Beverly Silberman 249-21 Glst Avenue Little Neck, New York 11362

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Silberman:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely

JOSEPH CHYRYGATE Hearing Examiner

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARVIN and BEVERLY SILBERMAN

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1970, 1971 and 1972.

Petitioners, Marvin and Beverly Silberman, residing at 249-21 61st Avenue, Little Neck, New York 11362, have filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. (File No. 13726)

A small claims hearing was held before William Valcarcel,
Small Claims Hearing Officer, on September 21, 1976 at 9:15 a.m.
at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade
Center, New York, New York. The petitioner appeared by Melvin
Metzger, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty,
Esq. (I. A. Levy, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether the petitioner's contributions to a self-employed retirement plan (Keogh Plan) were deductible during the years 1970, 1971 and 1972.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Petitioner, Marvin Silberman, contended that he was employed as a salesman during the years 1970, 1971 and 1972 and that, although he was a bona fide employee, he was a salesman eligible to deduct contributions made to a self-employed retirement plan.
- 2. That the petitioner has not sustained the burden of proof required under section 689(e) of the Tax Law to show that he qualified under section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code as an employer-employee or as a self-employed individual who was permitted to establish a self-employed retirement plan and deduct contributions made to said plan.
- 3. That the petitions of Marvin and Beverly Silberman are denied and the notices of deficiency issued November 26, 1973 for the year 1970 for \$211.28, and September 30, 1974 for the years 1971 and 1972 for \$177.44 and \$86.18, respectively, are sustained together with such additional interest as may be lawfully owing.

DATED: Albany, New York July 22, 1977

TATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONED