In the Matter of the Petition

of

GUSTAVE H. RATHE, JR. & IRENE A. RATHE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund

of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(x) 22

of the

1971

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that John Huhn The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1977, The served the within age, and that on the 29th day of September

by (certified) mail upon Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. & Notice of Decision (xepresentative xxxx the petitioner in the within proceeding, Irene A. Rathe by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. Suite 716 - 7701 Stemmons Freeway Dallas, Texas 75247

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the XXEDXENERALINEX last known address of the freezewentativex very petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

29th day of September

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

GUSTAVE H. RATHE, JR. & IRENE A. RATHE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income

1971

State of New York County of Albany

John Huhn , being duly sworn, deposes and says that the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29th day of September , 1977, Whe served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Robert Berlingo, CPA

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Robert Berlingo, CPA c/o Richard F. Walter & Co. Box 3425 80 Prospect St. Stamford, Conn. 06905

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

29th day of September

, <sup>19</sup>77

John Huhn



THOMAS H. LYNCH

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION TAX APPEALS BUREAU ALBANY, NEW YORK 12227

September 29, 1977

Mr. & Mrs. Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. Suite 716 - 7701 Stemmons Freeway Dallas, Texas, 75247

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Rathe:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

You have now exhausted your right of review at the administrative level. Pursuant to section(2) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision by the State Tax Commission can only be instituted under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Laws and Rules, and must be commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Albany County, within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner and Counsel to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, Albany, New York 12227. Said inquiries will be referred to the proper authority for reply.

Sincerely,

Joseph Chyryvaty Hearing Examiner

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Taxing Bureau's Representative

### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

GUSTAVE H. RATHE, JR. and IRENE A. RATHE

**DECISION** 

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1971.

Petitioners, Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. and Irene A. Rathe, 7701 Stemmons Freeway, Suite 716, Dallas, Texas 75247, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1971 (File No. 16513).

A small claims hearing was held before Joseph A. Milack, Small Claims

Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade

Center, New York, New York on April 27, 1977 at 2:45 p.m. The petitioners appeared

by Robert Berlingo, CPA. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq.

(James J. Morris, Esq., of counsel).

## **ISSUE**

Whether the days on which petitioner Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. worked at his Connecticut home constituted days worked outside New York State for New York State income tax allocation purposes.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. and Irene A. Rathe, filed a New York State income tax nonresident return for the year 1971.
- 2. Petitioner Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. allocated 106 days as days worked without New York State, of which 52 were days on which he worked at his Connecticut home.
- 3. On August 30, 1976, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency for the year 1971 against petitioners, Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. and Irene A. Rathe, in the amount of \$1,249.91, upon the grounds that the 52 days worked at home were improperly allocated as days worked without New York State.
- 4. Petitioners were residents of the State of Connecticut during the year 1971.
- 5. During the year 1971, petitioner Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. was employed by International Business Machines Corporation, which maintained offices in New York State. In connection with his employment with said corporation, he conducted research at his Connecticut home, where he maintained a personal research library.
- 6. Although International Business Machines Corporation had ample facilities at its New York office to establish a research library for the use of petitioner Gustave H. Rathe, Jr., it did not maintain such library at that location.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the 52 days on which petitioner Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. worked at his Connecticut home during the year 1971, were worked there by reason of his convenience and not by reason of the necessity of his employer. Therefore, said 52 days constituted days worked within New York State for purposes of income allocation within the meaning and intent of section 632 (c) of the Tax Law and 20 NYCRR 131.16.

B. That the petition of Gustave H. Rathe, Jr. and Irene A. Rathe is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued on August 30, 1976 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

September 29, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER (