In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOSEPH F. KERN. III

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Violet Walker , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 24th day of June , 1977, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Joseph F. Kern, III

(REPLEMENTATIVE CONT.) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Mr. Joseph F. Kern, III

96 East Bayberry Road

96 East Bayberry Road Islip, New York 11751

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative affixs) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative affixs) petitioner.

Interfluit

Sworn to before me this

Inet mack

24th day of June

, 1977.

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOSEPH F. KERN, III

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Violet Walker , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 24th day of June , 1977, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon John Peter McElroy

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

John Peter McElroy, Esq.

Shea & McElroy

1727 Veterans Memorial Highway South Hauppauge, New York 11722

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

24th day of June

, 1977.

TA-3 (2/76)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

June 24, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. Joseph F. Kern, III 96 East Bayberry Road Islip, New York 11751

Dear Mr. Kern:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(******) **690** of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 months** from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very cruly bufs

Enc.

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOSEPH F. KERN, III

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1967.

Petitioner, Joseph F. Kern, III, residing at 96 East Bayberry Road, Islip, New York 11751, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1967. (File No. 01305).

A formal hearing was held before Michael Alexander,
Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission,
Two World Trade Center, New York, New York on October 26,
1976 at 1:15 P.M. Petitioner appeared by Shea & McElroy,
(John Peter McElroy, Esq., of counsel). The Income Tax Bureau
appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Arnold Dorman, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether petitioner, who increased his distribution from a corporation by his proportionate share of the corporate taxes paid, and then received a credit for that amount pursuant to section 337(d)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, was entitled to a modification reducing Federal adjusted gross income in arriving at New York adjusted gross income for one-half of his proportionate share of the corporation taxes paid.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Joseph F. Kern, III, filed a New York
 State resident personal income tax return for 1967. Thereon,
 he reported income from the sale or exchange of property of
 \$169,779.46, and, in computing New York adjusted gross income,
 subtracted \$45,779.46. Petitioner attached the following
 explanation of the subtraction: "Federal taxable income reflects
 an adjustment made under section 337(d) which is not recognized
 for New York tax purposes." The return indicated tax due of
 \$12,674.45, which amount was remitted. An amended return,
 containing minor changes, was subsequently filed.
- 2. On February 24, 1970, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes to petitioner, Joseph F. Kern, III, stating additional personal income tax due for the year 1967 of \$4,653.00, with interest to that date of \$518.67. The statement advised petitioner that the New York Tax Law contains no provision for modification entitling the deletion of section 337(d) income, which was properly includable in Federal adjusted

gross income. Accordingly, a Notice of Deficiency dated February 24, 1970 was issued to petitioner asserting additional personal income tax for the year 1967, as computed in the above-mentioned Statement of Audit Changes.

- 3. Petitioner, Joseph F. Kern, III, was a minority stockholder of the Erie New York Corporation. As part of the liquidation of that corporation, pursuant to a plan of complete liquidation entered into on October 7, 1967, petitioner received approximately \$250,000.00 on December 29, 1967.
- On his Federal income tax return for the year 1967, petitioner, Joseph F. Kern, III, pursuant to the provisions of section 337(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, added the figure \$91,558.92, which represented his proportionate share of corporation tax on the corporate gain from the sale of assets, to the \$250,000.00 payment he received December 29, 1967. From the resultant sum, \$341,558.92, he subtracted the basis, \$2,000.00, and reported a net long-term gain of \$339,558.92. Accordingly, 50 percent of this last figure was treated as income from the sale or exchange of property. Finally, pursuant to section 337(d)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, petitioner added to taxes withheld and estimated tax payments the \$91,558.92 figure, since said proportionate share of the corporate tax is deemed to be paid by petitioner. credit resulted in petitioner being entitled to a refund of \$5,275.31.

5. Petitioner, Joseph F. Kern, III, asserts that section 612(c)(7) of the Tax Law affords basis for the modification claimed on his New York income tax return.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That section 612 of the Tax Law provides that the New York adjusted gross income of a resident individual means his Federal adjusted gross income, as defined in the laws of the United States for the taxable year, subject to modifications specified therein.
- B. That subdivision (c) of section 612 contains no provision for a modification reducing Federal adjusted gross income for the addition of a proportionate share of corporation taxes deemed to have been paid by a shareholder pursuant to U.S. Code, Tit. 26, § 337(d).
- C. That section 612(c)(7) of the Tax Law permits a subtraction for the amount of any refund or credit for over-payment of income taxes imposed by another taxing jurisdiction, to the extent properly included in gross income for Federal income tax purposes.
- D. That petitioner's Federal adjusted gross income contained no refund or credit for overpayment of income taxes, and that the credit taken by petitioner pursuant to U.S. Code, Tit.26,§ 337(d)(2) was utilized in the computation of tax, and was not included in Federal adjusted gross income.

E. That the petition of Joseph F. Kern, III is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued February 24, 1970 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

June 24, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER