In the Matter of the Petition

of

## BRUCE HAUSMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year (six xxx xxx xxx xxx 1972:

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Bruce Batchelor the is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1977, whe served the within age, and that on the 12th day of May Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Bruce Hausman

(xeprexerxive xxf) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Bruce Hausman 860 United Nations Plaza, Apt. 9A New York, New York 10017

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative) of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative printing) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

and Track

, 1977. Bruce Batcheler

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BRUCE HAUSMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 12thday of May , 1977, whe served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Nathaniel Miller

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Nathaniel Miller
19 West 44th Street
New York, NY 10036

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

12th day of

Mav

, 1977.

Bruce Batchelor



## STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

May 12, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

г

Mr. Bruce Hausman 860 United Nations Plaza, Apt. 9A New York, NY 10017

Dear Mr. Heusman:

Please take notice of the DRCISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(3) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

very truly yours,

Frank J. Puccia Supervisor of Small Claims Hearings

.

Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

cc:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BRUCE HAUSMAN

**DECISION** 

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1972.

Petitioner, Bruce Hausman, residing at 860 United Nations Plaza,
New York, New York 10017, has filed a petition for redetermination of a
deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax
Law for the year 1972. (File No. 12640).

A small claims hearing was held before William Valcarcel, Small Claims Hearing Officer, on August 23, 1976 at 9:15 A.M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York. The petitioner appeared by Nathaniel Miller. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (William Fox, Esq., of counsel).

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the items of tax preference should be reduced by a loss deducted under section 1244 of the Internal Revenue Code.

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. During 1972, petitioner, Bruce Hausman, had items of tax preference of \$19,680.00. In addition, the petitioner, Bruce Hausman, and his wife, Jeanne Hausman, elected to deduct a \$50,000.00 loss under section 1244 of the Internal Revenue Code on their income tax return. Petitioner and his representative, Nathaniel Miller, asserted that the \$50,000.00 loss should be deducted from the New York State items of tax preference.
- 2. That sections 56, 57 and 58 of the Internal Revenue Code and section 601-A of the Tax Law do not provide for a deduction from items of tax preference of losses deducted under section 1244 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 3. That the petition of Bruce Hausman is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued September 30, 1974 in the amount of \$2,375.48 is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York May 12, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

\_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSIONER