In the Matter of the Petition

of

IGNATZ and FRIEDA BRAND

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year (5) XMXXXXXXIII 1970.:

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 12th day of May , 1977, whe served the within

Notice of Decision

by (certified) mail upon Ignatz & Frieda Brand

the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. Ignatz Brand

71-35 171st Street

Flushing, New York 11365

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

12th day of May

, 1977.

and mad

Bruce Botchelor

In the Matter of the Petition

of

IGNATZ and FRIEDA BRAND

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

Men is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 12th day of May , 1977, The served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Morton Leben

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows:

Morton Leben, CPA

450 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10001

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

12th day of May

, 1977.

Brune Botchelor



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

May 12, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. Ignatz Brand 71-35 171st Street Flushing, New York 11365

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Brand:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Nery truly yours,

Frank J. Puccia

Supervisor of Small

Claims Hearings

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

IGNATZ and FRIEDA BRAND

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioners, Ignatz and Frieda Brand, residing at 71-35

171st Street, Flushing, New York 11365, have filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970 (File No. 13535).

A small claims hearing was held before Philip Mercurio, Small Claims Hearing Officer, on September 22, 1976 at 2:45 p.m. at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York. The petitioner appeared by Morton Leben, C.P.A. and for his wife, petitioner, Frieda Brand. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq. (Abraham Schwartz, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

What were the amounts properly deductible by petitioners on their 1970 New York State personal income tax return for medical

and dental expenses, contributions, miscellaneous expenses and the modification for State and local taxes?

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Income Tax Bureau made the following adjustments to petitioners' tax return:

<u>Item</u>	Claimed	Allowed	Adjustment
Medical & Dental	\$1,076.72	\$ 419.80	\$ 656.92
Contributions	6,082.00	5,737.00	345.00
Miscellaneous	1,850.00	100.00	1,750.00
Income Tax Modification	7,193.34	7,464.33	270.99
Total Adjustment			\$3,022.91

- 2. Petitioners did not contest the adjustments made to contributions and the income tax modification.
- 3. That petitioners incurred deductible medical and dental expenses in the amount of \$881.92 and miscellaneous expenses in the amount of \$600.00. They failed to submit documentary or other substantial evidence to support deductions in excess of these amounts.
- 4. That the adjustments to petitioners' New York State personal income tax return for the year 1970 are as follows:

<u> Item</u>	Claimed	<u>Allowed</u>	Adjustment
Medical & Dental	\$1,076.72	\$ 881.92	\$ 194.80
Contributions	6,082.00	5,737.00	345.00
Miscellaneous	1,850.00	600.00	1,250.00
Income Tax Modification	7,193.34	7,464.33	270.99
Total Adjustment			\$2,060.79

- 5. That the Income Tax Bureau is hereby directed to modify the Notice of Deficiency issued December 28, 1973 by reducing the total adjustment from \$3,022.91 to \$2,060.79.
- 6. That the petition of Ignatz and Frieda Brand is granted only to the extent as shown in paragraph 4 above and the Notice of Deficiency as modified, is in all other respects sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
May 12, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER