In the Matter of the Petition

of

HYMAN ARBESFELD

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

whe is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 7th day of March , 1977, whe served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Hyman Arbesfeld

***Expression tative of the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows:

Mr. Hyman Arbesfeld
83-55 116th Street

Kew Gardens, New York 11418

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative MEXIX) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative MEXIX) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

7th day of March , 1977.

Brack

Bruce Batcheles

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HYMAN ARBESFELD

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

The is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 7th day of March , 1977, whe served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail uponAranow, Brodsky, Bohlinger,

Benetar & Einhorn (Tepresentative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows:

Aranow, Brodsky, Bohlinger, Benetar & Einhorn
469 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10017

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

7th day of March , 1977.

Brue Batchelor



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

March 7, 1977

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. Hyman Arbesfeld 83-55 116th Street Kew Gardens, New York 11418

Dear Mr. Arbesfeld:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(**) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Enc.

Paul B. Coburn Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

Hearing Officer cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HYMAN ARBESFELD

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1970, 1971 and 1972.

Hyman Arbesfeld, residing at 83-55 116th Street, Kew Gardens, New York 11418, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. (File No. 00085).

A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on April 26, 1976, at 1:30 P.M., before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The petitioner appeared by Aranow, Brodsky, Bohlinger, Benetar and Einhorn, Esqs., (Samuel Brodsky, Esq. of counsel). The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (Solomon Sies, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUES

- I. Whether the assignment by petitioner to his wife as custodian for their infant children of his interest in the joint venture of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al., constituted recognition of an interest in a family partnership under section 704(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- II. Whether portions of the distribution of income from the joint venture of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al., credited to the minor children of the petitioner, were properly taxes to the petitioner.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The petitioner, Hyman Arbesfeld, filed resident New York State income tax returns for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. On March 25, 1975, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes in which it included as additional income additional partnership distribution of \$20,043.24, \$20,819.68 and \$21,598.92 for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972 respectively, upon the ground that the distribution to Benjamin Arbesfeld, Michelle Arbesfeld, Pnina Arbesfeld and David Arbesfeld, minor children of petitioner are considered distributions to petitioner, since said minor children are not recognized as bona fide partners in the partnership of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al. Accordingly, a Notice of Deficiency was issued on March 25, 1974 in the amount of \$9,733.98, plus interest of \$1,184.51, for a total of \$10,918.49.

- On July 27, 1967, Hyman Arbesfeld entered into an agreement with 127 West 49th Corporation, later known as Beverly 50 St. Corp., owner of the Beverly Hotel. By this agreement, Arbesfeld was appointed managing director of the Beverly Hotel located at the corner of Lexington and East 50 Street, New York, New York, and was to act as the operating, renting and managing agent of said hotel. The agreement was to terminate on August 31, 1977 unless terminated sooner by mutual consent or as otherwise provided in the agreement. The Managing Director's duties consisted of employing and supervising all persons and firms necessary for the operation and maintenance of the hotel and discharging same, although such employees were to be deemed employees of the owner; collecting rents and entering into leases for portions of the premises; causing the hotel to be maintained in good condition by making repairs and alterations; entering into contracts for utilities and maintenance, such as electricity, gas, steam, telephone, window cleaning, garbage removal, air conditioning, etc., and securing necessary insurance for the premises and its operation as a hotel.
- 4. As a condition of the agreement, the petitioner, Hyman Arbesfeld, was required to lend to the owner the amount of \$150,000.00 with interest at the rate of 4% per annum as evidenced

by a note to be executed by the owner guaranteed by Frederick Dreier, and to be repaid in installments of \$7,500.00 per year commencing September 1, 1968 and on the 1st of September of each succeeding year, except that the entire unpaid principal and interest shall become due and payable 20 days after the termination of the agreement.

- 5. The agreement provided that the Managing Director was to receive as compensation for his services each year one-half of the net profits in excess of \$259,000.00 as the term "net profits" is defined in the agreement, exclusive of depreciation of the building fixtures and furniture, interest payable on mortgages on the premises, Federal and State income taxes and salaries or other compensation paid to any officers of the owner.
 - 6. The agreement further provided, in part, as follows:

"In order to induce Owner to enter into this Agreement, Managing Director has agreed to pay to Owner one-half the cost of all capital improvements made to the Premises, as mutually agreed in writing by Owner and Managing Director from time to time, after the expenditure of an initial sum of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.) Dollars by Owner for capital improvements to the Premises, which the parties anticipate will be expended during the first two years of the term of this Agreement. Said capital improvements shall, immediately upon installation at the Premises, become the sole property of Owner, free and clear of any claims or rights of Managing Director or anyone claiming under or through Managing Director."

- 7. On August 10, 1967, the petitioner, Hyman Arbesfeld, entered into an agreement with Nathan Berger, Solomon Berger, Abraham Geliebter, Herbert Goldstein, Abe Miller, Ben Miller and Max Singer each of whom advanced to Arbesfeld the amount of \$10,000.00, and with Saul Greenberg, Robert Kaminsky and Morris Miller each of whom advanced to Arbesfeld the amount of \$15,000.00, whereby such advances plus \$35,000.00 advanced by Arbesfeld was to be used by said Arbesfeld for the purpose of making a loan by him (Arbesfeld) to the owner of the Beverly Hotel, as provided in the agreement between Arbesfeld and the owner of the hotel as previously set forth herein.
- 8. The so-called "contributors" or "partners" agreed to be bound by the agreement between Arbesfeld and the owner of the hotel. The income or profits received by Arbesfeld were to be distributed to the "contributors" in the proportion that the contribution of each bears to the amount of \$150,000.00, provided that for each year of the term of the agreement in addition to retaining his share of profits, income and repayments of the loan, Arbesfeld was to retain for himself for his services as Managing Director without participation by the other "contributors" the first \$7500.00 received by him pursuant to the agreement with the owner in payment of the loan or otherwise.

9. The agreement between the "contributors" further provided, in part, as follows:

"Each of the parties and their assignees or legatees, as herein permitted, agrees that he will not sell or assign his participating interest without the written consent of the Managing Director, provided, however, that each may transfer his interest to a member of his family or bequeath the same to a member of his family without such written consent. Such permitted assignee or leagatee shall hold the participating interest subject to all the terms, convenants and conditions of this agreement. Each participating interest shall be subject to all the terms, convenants and agreements contained in the agreement, a copy of which is attached.

"For each year or years during the term of this agreement for which a Contributor has received as a division of profits hereunder an amount equal to twenty (20%) per cent of his original contribution, or as said contribution may be increased or decreased, as herein provided, the next five (5%) per cent of distributions of profits hereunder for such year or years shall be retained by the Managing Director free from any participation. The excess above twenty-five (25%) per cent profit distributions for each year shall be distributed and paid as herein provided for the distribution of the twenty (20%) per cent of yearly profits. Where profits are paid for a partial year the percentage figures of twenty (20), five (5) and twenty-five (25) shall be reduced to the figures arrived at by multiplying such percentage figures by a fraction with the number of months (partial months to be included) which have elapsed in such year as a numerator and twelve (12) as a denominator."

10. One of the "contributors", Herbert Goldstein, was employed as sales director of Beverly Hotel and another "contributor",

Robert Kaminsky was employed as assistant manager of said hotel.

In addition to their salaries from the Beverly Hotel and to the distribution of profits from the joint venture, Goldstein and Kaminsky also received bonuses at the end of each year. Mr. Arbesfeld also received a bonus, in addition to the \$7500.00 for his services.

- 11. Prior to 1967, the petitioner, Hyman Arbesfeld, owned a 15% interest in the Bedford Hotel located at 118 East 40 Street, New York, New York, and was employed as the managing director of said hotel at a salary of \$150.00 per week. During the years in issue, Mr. Arbesfeld continued to be employed as manager of the Bedford at the same time that he was operating and managing the Beverly Hotel.
- 12. Mr. Goldstein and Mr. Kaminsky also owned interests in the Bedford Hotel and were employees of said hotel while employed by the Beverly Hotel.
- 13. Most of the "contributors" under the agreement with Hyman Arbesfeld were either "partners" in the Bedford Hotel or relations or business associates of Arbesfeld.
- 14. Hyman Arbesfeld, Herbert Goldstein and Robert Kaminsky rendered services to the joint venture or partnership in the management activities of the Hotel Beverly which produced the management income reported on the partnership returns for the years in issue.

- 15. The joint venture or partnership was engaged in the operation and management of the Hotel Beverly during the years in issue, and such operation was conducted with continuity and regularity.
- 16. The petitioner filed a U.S. Gift Tax Return for the year 1968 indicating that on September 1, 1968, he had transferred as a gift his interest in the joint venture of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al. to Ann Arbesfeld, his wife, as custodian under the Uniform Gifts for Minors Act for David Arbesfeld, Pnina Arbesfeld, Michelle Arbesfeld and Benjamin Arbesfeld and that the value of each interest at the date of gift was \$8,750.00 or a total of \$35,000.00, the original amount of petitioner's contribution to the aforementioned venture.
- 17. At the time of the transfer of the interest of petitioner, the ages of the minor children were as follows: David, 8; Pnina, 9; Michelle, 11; and Benjamin, 12.
- 18. Neither the minor children nor the custodian, their mother, performed any services or participated in the activities of the partnership of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al.
- 19. No trustee or fiduciary subject to judicial supervision was ever appointed to supervise the interests of the minor children.

20. The minor children never had nor did they ever exercise dominion and control of any interest in the partnership of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That capital was not a material income producing factor in the partnership of Arbesfeld, Goldstein, et al.
- B. That the minor children are not recognized as bona fide partners for income tax purposes (Pflugradt v. U.S., 310 F 2d 412).
- C. That the distribution from the aforementioned joint venture was properly taxed to the petitioner.
- D. That the petition of Hyman Arbesfeld is denied; that pursuant to the Tax Law interest shall be added to the total amount until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York March 7, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER