In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARVIN and CAROL KITMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) or Period(s) 1970:

Leonia, New Jersey

State of New York County of Albany

Barbara Quirino , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 28th day of September , 1976, she served the within

Decision by (certified) mail upon Marvin and

Carol Kitman (representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Marvin and Carol Kitman
147 Crescent Avenue

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28thday of September, 1976.

anet mack

Balan Ourins

In the Matter of the Petition

of

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

MARVIN and CAROL KITMAN

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 22 1970:

State of New York County of Albany

Decision

Barbara Quirino , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 28th day of September , 19 76, she served the within

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows:

Edwin Marcum Esq

Edwin Marcum, Esq. 4250 Hempstead Turnpike Bethpage, NY 11714

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of September , 1976.

Boulous Owns

by (certified) mail upon Edwin Marcum



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

457-3850

TELEPHONE: (518)

September 28, 1976

Marvin and Carol Kitman 147 Crescent Avenue Leonia, New Jersey

> Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Supervisor of Small

Claims Hearings

cc:

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative:

Edwin Marcum, Esq.

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MARVIN and CAROL KITMAN

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioners, Marvin and Carol Kitman, residing at 147 Crescent Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, have filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970. (File No. 0-53154607). A small claims hearing was held before Joseph Chyrywaty, Small Claims Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on June 9, 1976, at 1:15 P.M. Petitioners appeared by Edwin Marcum, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (William Fox, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Was the time worked by petitioner, Marvin Kitman, at home in New Jersey, for Newsday, Inc. during the year 1970, allocable as days worked without New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Marvin and Carol Kitman, filed a New York State income tax nonresident return for the year 1970. On Schedule A-1, (Allocation of Wage and Salary Income to New York State), they alleged that petitioner, Marvin Kitman, worked 118 days outside of New York State and 128 days in New York State during 1970.
- 2. On April 3, 1972, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Marvin and Carol Kitman.
 The Income Tax Bureau disallowed the allocation of working time
 spent at their New Jersey home upon the grounds that it was for
 the convenience of the employer or employee. In accordance with
 the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, a Notice of Deficiency
 was issued in the sum of \$1,103.49.
- 3. Petitioners, Marvin and Carol Kitman, were residents of New Jersey during 1970.
- 4. Petitioner, Marvin Kitman, was employed by Newsday, Inc., a Long Island newspaper, during 1970. Newsday, Inc. maintains an office at 550 Stewart Avenue, Garden City, Long Island, New York. He is a television critic and writes an average of five columns a week for Newsday, Inc.

- 5. Petitioner, Marvin Kitman, worked in his home rather than in the Newsday office. This was done for the purpose of his being close to a television set at all hours of the day and night. He maintained a bank of television sets in his home from which he viewed the programs he would critique. He would then research and prepare his column at home prior to delivering the completed column to the Long Island office.
- 6. Petitioner, Marvin Kitman, appeared at the Long Island office only to deliver his column or for conferences with his superiors.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the petitioner was not required by necessity to perform services for his employer outside New York on the days that he worked at his home in New Jersey, but rather such services were performed there for his greater convenience and that the income derived therefrom constitutes income attributable to New York sources in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 632(c) of the Tax Law. The nature of his work was such that his employer could have furnished facilities for his use in New York State similar to his facilities in New Jersey.

B. That the petition of Marvin Kitman is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued December 28, 1973, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

September 28, 1976

ASTATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER