In the Matter of the Petition

of

ELMER E. JONES & DOROTHY F. JONES

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) 1968.

State of New York County of Albany

She is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 29 day of March , 1976, she served the within Notice of Decision (SEXDEMENTALMENTALMENT) by (certified) mail upon Elmer E. Jones & Dorothy F. Jones (MEDITMENTALMENT) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Elmer E. Jones 615 Ocean Drive Key Biscayne, Florida 33149

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

29 day of March

. 1976.



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

PAUL GREENBERG SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT MR. COBURN MR. LEISNER

(518) 457~3850

BUILDING 9, ROOM 107 STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED:

Albany, New York

Mr. & Mrs. Elmer E. Jones 615 Ocean Drive Key Biscayne, Florida 33149

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Jones:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (*) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 ments from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very Krylly y hrs

SUPERVISING TAX

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ELMER E. JONES & DOROTHY F. JONES : DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1968.

:

Elmer E. Jones and Dorothy F. Jones, 615 Ocean Drive, Key Biscayne, Florida 33149, filed a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of a deficiency issued July 27, 1970, in the amount of \$266.78 plus interest of \$20.53 for a total of \$287.31, for personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1968. In lieu of a hearing, petitioners submit their case to the Commission on the file of the Income Tax Bureau. Said file has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether and in what amount income received by a nonresident who had been a resident is taxable in New York when it was received for back pay and for incentive awards.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners had been residents of Scarsdale, New York, prior to January 1, 1968. Mr. Jones had been employed by Trans World Airlines, Inc. of Kansas City, Missouri, until December 31, 1967, and had worked in New York until then.
- 2. As of January 1, 1968, Mr. Jones became employed with National Airlines in Miami, Florida, and petitioners moved to Coral Gables, Florida.
- 3. During 1968, Mr. Jones received \$11,360.42 from his former employer, T.W.A. Of this amount the sum of \$4,027.42 represented back salary. The remainder of \$7,333.00 represented payments under T.W.A.'s Incentive Compensation Plan which is managed by a committee of T.W.A. In 1967, the committee had authorized the payment of \$4,333.00 in installments on awards granted for 1965 and 1966. This sum was paid in January, 1968. In February, 1968, the committee authorized the payment of \$3,000.00 as representing a 1967 award which it paid in March, 1968.
- 4. The T.W.A. incentive plan provided: "A person to whom an award in cash or stock has been made shall not have any interest in the cash... awarded to him until the cash has been paid to him..."

An employee"... shall forfeit any installments not yet due... if

(1) he is dismissed from, or leaves, the service of the company
for any reason other than his death, or retirement... provided,
however, that he may continue to hold his rights in respect of
such installments to such extent and under such conditions as the
committee may determine."

5. Although petitioners filed a nonresident return, no income was assigned to New York. The deficiency is based on assigning to New York, the entire \$11,360.42 received from T.W.A.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

All sums received from T.W.A. are clearly related to work performed in New York. Such sums are considered to be from New York sources (Regulation 20 NYCRR 131.4(e)) and accordingly are taxable to non-residents of New York.

The deficiency is correct and is due together with such further interest as shall be due under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York March 29, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER