In the Matter of the Petition

JONATHAN HAYT AND EMILIE S. : HAYT

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or : a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article (\$) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s)xxxxxxxxxxxxxx 1970: 1971 and 1972.

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Carmen Mottolese she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13thday of September , 19 76 she served the within by (certified) mail upon Jonathan Hayt and Notice of Decision Emilie S. Hayt * representative of the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Jonathan Hayt P.O. Box 316 Rancho Sante Fe, CA 92067

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

www.xxhex petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

Janet mack

13th day of September, 1976. Larmen Mottolese

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

JONATHAN HAYT AND EMILIE S.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Carmen Mottolese , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of September , 1976, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Edward M.

Lee, Jr., Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Edward M. Lee, Jr., Esq. 5979 West Third Street Los Angeles, CA 90036

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of September, 1976.

ant mack

Carmen Mottalese

TA-3 (2/76)



Г

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

September 13, 1976

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. & Mrs. Jonathan Hayt P.O. Box 316 Rancho Sante Fe, CA 92067

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Hayt:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(X) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper parts for reply.

Very troop yours

Enc.

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc:

Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JONATHAN HAYT and EMILIE S. HAYT

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article: 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1970, 1971 and 1972.

Petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, P.O. Box 316, Rancho Sante Fe, California 92067, filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. (File No. 2-29605104). Petitioners waived in writing a formal hearing. The case was submitted to the State Tax Commission for a decision based on the information contained in the file.

ISSUES

I. Whether petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, are liable for penalties imposed for the years 1970 and 1971 under sections 685(a)(1) and (2) of the Tax Law?

- II. Whether payments to petitioner, Jonathan Hayt, resulting from sale of interest in a partnership were capital gain?
- III. Whether certain itemized deductions taken by petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, should be allowed?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, timely filed a New York State income tax non-resident return for the year 1972. The petitioners filed their 1970 and 1971 New York State income tax non-resident returns late.
- 2. On February 25, 1974, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt. Accordingly, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the amount of \$8,480.92 including penalties and interest. The only issues raised by the Statement of Audit Changes that are being contested by the petitioners are (1) the imposition of penalties pursuant to sections 685(a)(1) and 685(a)(2) of the Tax Law for the years 1970 and 1971; (2) the amount of their itemized deductions for the year 1972 and (3) the amount of capital gain, if any, for the year 1972 attributable to the alleged sale by petitioner, Jonathan Hayt, of an interest in New York partnerships.

- 3. Petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, were residents of New York State until 1969. In 1969 petitioners moved to California.
- 4. In 1972, petitioner, Jonathan Hayt, realized net income of \$91,510.00 from the sale of his interest in the law partnership of Hayt, Hayt, Tolmach and Landau and Hayt and Bernard.

 These were New York partnerships that derived their income from New York sources.
- 5. Petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, had itemized deductions of \$17,745.00 for interest, \$4,947.00 for property taxes and \$295.00 for miscellaneous items paid for the year 1972.
- 6. Petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, were advised by their tax counsel that they were not required to file New York State income tax returns for the years 1970 and 1971.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That petitioners', Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, had reasonable cause for failure to timely file New York State income tax returns for the years 1970, and 1971 and therefore the penalties imposed pursuant to sections 685(a)(1) and (2) of the Tax Law are waived.

- B. That the net income of \$91,510.00 received by petitioner, Jonathan Hayt, in 1972 from the partnerships from which he retired constituted a capital gain derived from New York sources and was subject to New York State personal income tax.
- C. That petitioners, Jonathan Hayt and Emilie S. Hayt, had itemized deductions totaling \$22,987.00 for the year 1972.
- D. The the Notice of Deficiency issued February 25, 1974, is modified in accordance with this decision and the petition is, in all other respects, denied.

DATED: Albany, New York September 13, 1976 STATE TAX COMMISSION

1

COMMISSIONED