In the Matter of the Petition

of

ALBERT W. HAENNI and ANN H. HAENNI

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Tax Taxes under Articlex(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1970aand 1971: AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that MARYLOU SAMUELS she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 1976, she served the within age, and that on the 5th day of Mav Notice of Decision xxx Determination by (certified) mail upon Albert W. Haenni and Ann H. Haenni x@rexxesenxxxxvexxxx the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. and Mrs. Albert W. Haenni 21 Fordham Road Livingston, New Jersey

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the {representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of

, 1976. Maylou Camuels

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ALBERT W. HAENNI and ANN H. HAENNI

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1970 and 1971:

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

MARYLOU SAMUELS

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 5th day of

May , 1976, she served the within

Notice of Decision (problem in the contraction) by (certified) mail uponPeter McMenamin and

Howard Schlesinger

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Peter A. McMenamin, CPA Howard Schlesinger CPA c/o George Schiller & Co. 161 East 42nd Street

and by depositing same enclosed The postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5+h day of

Mav

1976

Marylon Samuels



_

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

DATED:

Albany, New York

457-3850 TELEPHONE: (518)

May 5, 1976

Mr. and Mrs. Albert W. Haenni 21 Fordham Road Livingston, New Jersey

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Haenni:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(x) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

ery truly yours,

Enc.

Paul B. Coburn SUPERVISING TAX HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

•

ALBERT W. HAENNI and ANN H. HAENNI

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1970 and 1971.

Petitioners, Albert W. Haenni and Ann H. Haenni, residing at 21

Fordham Road, Livingston, New Jersey, have filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax

Law for the years 1970 and 1971 (File No. 1-73194415). A formal hearing was held before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State

Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, on

December 8, 1975, at 3:00 P.M. Petitioners appeared by Peter A. McMenamin and Howard Schlesinger, C.P.A.'s. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Jr., Esq. (Michael Alexander, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Did petitioner, the late Albert W. Haenni, necessarily perform work for his employer, Charles R. Weber Company of 630 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, in petitioner's home in New Jersey during the years 1970 and 1971 and, if so, was any time so spent allocable as days worked without New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Albert W. Haenni and his wife, Ann Haenni, filed
 New York State income tax nonresident returns for the years 1970 and 1971.
 On Schedule A-1, "Allocation of wage and salary income to New York State,"
 they alleged that petitioner, the late Albert W. Haenni, worked 129 days outside
 of New York State in 1970 and 121 days outside of New York State in 1971. He
 allocated his salary income from all sources during said two years according
 to those figures. His total salary income for each year was \$90,000.00,
 earned as an employee of Charles R. Weber Company at their office in
 New York, New York.
- 2. On April 12, 1974, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Albert W. Haenni and Ann H. Haenni, revising their allocations of income for the years 1970 and 1971. It disallowed the allocation of time in both years spent by petitioner, the late Albert W. Haenni, at his home in New Jersey, as days worked outside of New York State for Charles R. Weber Company on the ground that it was not a proper base for allocations of income from salary and wages. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes it issued a Notice of Deficiency for the year 1970 of \$4,651.04 and for the year 1971 of \$5,407.40, totalling \$10,058.44, plus interest of \$1,482.06 coming to an overall total of \$11,540.50.

- 3. Petitioners, Albert W. Haenni and Ann H. Haenni, were residents of State of New Jersey during the years 1970 and 1971.
- 4. Petitioner, the late Albert W. Haenni, was employed in New York by Charles R. Weber Company as a senior broker during the years 1970 and 1971. The Company was engaged in the ship brokering business, more specifically the brokering of oil tankers.
- 5. The business of ship brokerage of oil tankers is international and world wide and because of time zone difference around the globe trading goes on during all the 24 hours of the day. As a consequence, brokers must, on occasion, conduct business at night or on weekends via long distance telephone.
- 6. Petitioner, the late Albert W. Haenni, while employed by the Weber Company at 630 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, performed work for his employer in his home in New Jersey during a number of nights and Saturdays and Sundays during the years 1970 and 1971.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That any time worked at home in New Jersey by petitioner, the late Albert W. Haenni, during the years 1970 and 1971 was worked there by reason of his necessity and convenience and not for the necessity of his employer and should not be considered days worked outside of New York State in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 632(c) of the Tax Law and of 20 NYCRR 131.16.

B. That the petition of the late Albert W. Haenni and Ann H. Haenni is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued April 12, 1974, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

May 3, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

we was core the