In the Matter of the Petition

of

DAVID & DOROTHY DIBNER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund Personal Income 22 Taxes under Article(s) of the Tax Law for the Year (xxxxxx Rexxixod (xx))

State of New York County of Albany

being duly sworn, deposes and says that Donna Scranton she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 16th day of August , 1976, she served the within by (certified) mail upon David & Dorothy Notice of Decision (THE THE WITH THE PETITIONER IN the within proceeding, Dibner by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. and Mrs. David Dibner 80 Winding Way West Orange, New Jersey

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (xepresextative petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (xepresexexexexexexex) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

16th day of August

anet Brack

, 1976. <u>Vennal</u> cui

TA-3 (2/76)

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DAVID & DOROTHY DIBNER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Donna Scranton , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 16th day of August , 1976 , she served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Frederick C.

Lynch (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Frederick C. Lynch

D'Arcangelo, Clark and Company

210 Harrison Avenue

Harrison, New York 10528

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

16th day of August

, 1976.

Jones Sunton



Г

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

August 16, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. and Mrs. David Dibner 80 Winding Way West Orange, New Jersey

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Dibner:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(%) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

FRANK J. PUCCIA SUPERVISOR OF SMALL CLAIMS HEARINGS

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DAVID & DOROTHY DIBNER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1965.

Petitioners, David and Dorothy Dibner, 80 Winding Way,
West Orange, New Jersey, filed a petition for redetermination
of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under
Article 22 of the Tax Law for 1965. (File No. 5-48015758.)
On March 19, 1976, petitioners advised the State Tax Commission
in writing that they desired to waive a small claims hearing
and to submit the case to the State Tax Commission upon the
entire record contained in the file.

ISSUE

Was the education expense **claimed**, incurred to maintain and improve skills required in petitioner's trade or business, or was it personal in nature and to fulfill general educational ambitions.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner timely filed a 1965 return including a deduction for educational expense. The Income Tax Bureau held that the education expense was personal in nature in that it was incurred to fulfill general educational ambitions.

2. Petitioner was an art teacher since 1961 and had met all minimum requirements for her position. The study courses taken, were all related to teaching art. The studies did not effect her salary status or position. Petitioner's only trade or business was teaching art.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The education expense is deductible since it was undertaken to maintain and improve a skill required by the individual in her employment under section 162 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The petition of David and Dorothy Dibner is granted and the Notice of Deficiency is cancelled.

DATED: Albany, New York August 16, 1976 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER