In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOHN S. and MARIAN W. THOMAS

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article (x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year (x) 1970.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the {representative x petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the \*representative\*x petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

17th day of December , 1975.

May Froff



# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

PAUL GREENBERG SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT
MR. COBURN
MR. LEISNER
(518) 45743650

BUILDING 9, ROOM 107 STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED:

Albany, New York December 17, 1975

Mr. and Mrs. John S. Thomas 28 Spindletree Road Levittown, Pennsylvania 19054

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Thomas:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (\*) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOHN S. and MARIAN W. THOMAS

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

:

Petitioners, John S. and Marian W. Thomas, 28 Spindletree Road, Levittown, Pennsylvania, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970.

A calendar call was scheduled before Honorable A. Bruce Manley, State Tax Commissioner, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Room 215, Building 9, State Campus, Albany, New York, on December 19, 1974, at 11:00 A.M.

Notice of said hearing was given to petitioners. No one appeared for the taxpayers. A default dated January 28, 1975, was duly recorded.

Petitioners notified the State Tax Commission on February 21, 1975, that they had previous to the formal hearing requested that said hearing be rescheduled since petitioner, John S. Thomas, had to be in California on business on the appointed date, and requested that the default in said matter be opened. Petitioners' request was granted on March 3, 1975, and they agreed to submit the case for decision on information contained in the file.

### ISSUES

- I. The first issue in this case is whether petitioners are taxable as nonresidents on all partnership distributable income received from the New York State partnership of Brett & Kerr.
- II. The second issue in this case is the determination of the correct amount of business expense deductions allocable to New York State.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, John S. and Marian W. Thomas, timely filed a New York State income tax nonresident return for the year 1970.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income taxes for the year 1970 was issued on March 25, 1974, against the taxpayers under File No. 0-53224569.
  - 3. The taxpayers petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. Two principals, Brett & Kerr, organized a management consulting business and invited petitioner, John S. Thomas, to join the firm under the following terms. Petitioner was to be paid a salary of \$30,000.00 per year. However, since the principals were unable to assure petitioner of this income in the event earnings were insufficient, they agreed to give him first preference to earnings from the business. In return for this uncertain salary, the principals agreed to give petitioner, 10% of any earnings that exceed \$102,000.00 annually. The \$102,000.00 sum was the total of \$30,000.00 to petitioner, John S. Thomas, and \$36,000.00 to each principal. Petitioner contends that he did not participate in the management of the business and was viewed more as an employee than a partner.
- 5. Petitioner is listed as a 10% partner under Schedule E on the Brett & Kerr partnerships' New York State partnership return for 1970.

- 6. Petitioner, John S. Thomas' income from the Brett & Kerr partnership for the taxable year in question was \$21,023.00.
- 7. In 1970, Brett & Kerr partnership did not allocate income within or without New York State.
- 8. Petitioner contends that the business adjustments of \$3,618.50 were all applicable to his business activities and not to his wife's income as a teacher in Pennsylvania and that such adjustments should not be prorated according to the Federal-New York ratio based upon a figure for gross income which includes his wife's Pennsylvania income.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. Under the Tax Law, section 637(a), the allocation of a member partner's distributive share of partnership income is based on the allocation formula of the partnership. Further, section 637(b) provides that no effect shall be given to a provision in the partnership agreement which allocates to a partner as income outside New York a greater portion of his distributive share of partnership income than the ratio of partnership income from sources outside New York to partnership income from all sources. Therefore, all of petitioner's partnership distributable income would be includable for New York State purposes.
- B. Petitioner's income from the Brett & Kerr partnership is not considered wages, since no effect shall be given to a provision in the partnership agreement which characterizes payments to the partner as being a salary for services. Tax Law section 637(b)(1).

- C. Petitioner, John S. Thomas' business deduction of \$3,618.50 was all applicable to his business activities and not to his wife's income as a teacher in Pennsylvania. Therefore, such adjustments must be prorated according to the Federal-New York ratio using a proration in which gross income excludes his wife's Pennsylvania income. The deficiency shall be recomputed accordingly.
- D. The petition is denied and the determination of the deficiency in income tax except as modified is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

December 17, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

vacated 4/7/75

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOHN S. & MARIAN W. THOMAS

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

ant mach

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) 1970 :

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Mack , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 28th day of January , 1975, she served the within
Notice of Decision (SEXDEXENDEMENT) by (certified) mail upon John S. and
Marian W. Thomas (CERTESTATEMENT) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. John S. Thomas
28 Spindletree Road
Levittown, Pennsylvania

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative xxxx petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative xxxxx) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

28th day of January

1975

Yackerine D. Manly



STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT

# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York
January 28, 1975

Mr. & Mrs. John S. Thomas 28 Spindletree Road Levittown, Pennsylvania

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Thomas:

Please take notice of the **DEFAULT CRDER** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(\*) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner HEARING OFFICER

c: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

Enc.

## STATE OF NEW YORK

## STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JOHN S. & MARIAN W. THOMAS

DEFAULT ORDER

:

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for: Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year: 1970.

Petitioners, John S. and Marian W. Thomas, filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970. File No. 0-53224569.

A calendar call on the petition was scheduled before

Honorable A. Bruce Manley, State Tax Commissioner, at the offices

of the State Tax Commission, Room 215, Building 9, State Campus,

Albany, New York, on December 19, 1974, at 11:00 A.M. Notice of

said calendar call was given to petitioners. Petitioners did not

appear at the calendar call. A default has been duly noted.

Now on motion of the attorney for the Department of Taxation and Finance, it is

ORDERED that the petition of John S. and Marian W. Thomas be and the same is hereby denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

January 28, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER