In the Matter of the Petition

of

MICHAEL T. MURPHY

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(%) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(%) 1971.

State of New York County of Albany

MARY GROFF , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 30th day of December , 1975, she served the within Notice of Decision **Constant Patrician** by (certified) mail upon MICHAEL T. MURPHY

(xepresexpective of) the petitioner in the within

Mary Loff

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Michael T. Murphy

7255 South Pittsburgh Avenue

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative SE) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative xxxx x ke)x petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

30th day of December , 1975.

AD-1.30 (1/74)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PAUL GREENBERG

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mr. Wright Mr. Leisner

Mr. Coburn

(518) 457-3850

STATE TAX COMMISSION

JAMES H. TULLY, JR., PRESIDENT MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 107 STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albanv. New York

December 30, 1975

Mr. Michael'T. Murphy 7255 South Pittsburgh Avenue Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very trully frour

Enc.

Paul B. Coburn HEARING OFFICER

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MICHAEL T. MURPHY

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1971.

, :

Petitioner, Michael T. Murphy, filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1971. (File No. 1-84077150).

A hearing was scheduled before the Honorable Edward Rook, at the offices of the State Tax Commission on July 8, 1974. On June 27, 1974, petitioner executed a written waiver of formal hearing and submitted the case to the State Tax Commission upon the entire record contained in the file. The State Tax Commission renders the following decision after due consideration of said record.

ISSUES

I. Whether taxpayer may deduct as moving expenses \$2,500.00 attributed to brokerage fee in acquiring a new residence in Oklahoma, taxpayer having moved from New York to Oklahoma.

II. Whether taxpayer may deduct Oklahoma taxes paid from New York adjusted gross income.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Taxpayer filed a joint resident New York personal income tax return for the calendar year 1971, on which they claimed a \$2,500.00 moving expense deduction consisting of the brokerage fee paid on the purchase of a residence in Oklahoma, the state in which they took up residence; they also deducted certain Oklahoma taxes.
- 2. On September 24, 1973, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency and a Statement of Audit Changes disallowing the moving expense deduction as being incurred after the change of residence and disallowing Oklahoma taxes paid. The taxpayer duly filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency.
- 3. Petitioner, Michael T. Murphy, resided at 1 Beau Lane, Huntington Station, New York, from January 1, 1970 to November 30, 1970. Petitioner moved to Tulsa, Oklahoma, on December 1, 1970.
- 4. On petitioner's 1970 New York State resident income tax return he claimed itemized deductions of \$154.00 for Oklahoma state and local income tax and \$77.00 for auto registration tax for his New York adjusted gross income.

5. Petitioner, Michael T. Murphy, also deducted from his New York State income \$2,500.00 for moving expenses incurred in the move from New York State to Oklahoma. The deduction was based on a \$4,620.00 brokerage fee that he claimed was paid by him in the acquisition of the residence in Oklahoma. Petitioner did not submit any proof as to the date of payment of the brokerage fee.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That no substantial documentary evidence has been furnished to verify petitioner's payment of the brokerage fee incurred in the change of residence from New York State to Oklahoma.
- B. That since petitioner has failed to substantiate that payment of moving expenses occurred prior to the date of change of residence from New York State to Oklahoma, that the deduction must be disallowed.
- C. That the taxes paid by petitioner to the State of Oklahoma for state and local income taxes and auto registration taxes were not deductible from New York State income. Section 615(c)(1) of the Tax Law.

D. That the petition is denied and the Notice of Determination issued September 24, 1973, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
December 30, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER