In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANTHONY E. McFARLANE

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1971 and 1972:

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 30th day of May, 1975, she served the within

Notice of Decision (EKARCHEMINICALE) by (certified) mail upon ANTHONY E. McFARLANE

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Anthony E. McFarlane ABH-2 V-3 Division USS Midway (CVA-41)

FPO San Francisco, California 96601

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

30th day of

May

1975.

.



STATE OF NEW YORK. DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N.Y. 12227**

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

DATED:

Albany, New York May 30, 1975

Mr. Anthony E. McFarlane ABH-2 V-3 Division USS Midway (CVA-41) FPO San Francisco, California 96601

Dear Mr. McFarlane:

DECISION Please take notice of the of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (%) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: xagarargararxardarrararxxxxxx

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANTHONY E. MCFARLANE

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1971 and 1972.

Petitioner, Anthony E. McFarlane, ABH-2, V-3 Division USS Midway (CVA-41), FPO San Francisco, California 96601, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1971 and 1972.

The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file and referred to L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer.

The taxpayer acted on his own behalf and the case was submitted on the record of the Income Tax Bureau.

ISSUE

Did the taxpayer, a serviceman, by virtue of living in bachelor enlisted quarters during the years in question maintain a permanent place of abode outside the State of New York?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner, Anthony E. McFarlane, timely filed New York

State income tax returns for the years 1971 and 1972.

- 2. A Notice of Denial of refund claims for personal income taxes for the years 1971 and 1972 was issued June 24, 1974, to the taxpayer under File No. 2-13178783.
 - 3. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the claim denial.
- 4. During 1971 and 1972, taxpayer was assigned to government quarters at the Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi. He lived in Room 38, B.E.Q. 204, for which quarters he did not pay any rent. Taxpayer contends that because he lived in government quarters, he waived reimbursement of basic allowance for quarters, ranging from \$105.00 to \$138.60, which he contends is the equivalent of having to rent or maintain an apartment on his own.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. The taxpayer living in Room 38, B.E.Q. 204, at the Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi, did not maintain a permanent place of abode outside the State of New York during the years in question. Under these circumstances, the taxpayer cannot claim that he was a nonresident of New York State. His income is subject to New York State income tax for the years in question and refund claim is denied, under section 605 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York
May 30, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

XXX

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER