In the Matter of the Petition

of

H. KING HEDINGER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTEBOED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income:
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year(s) 1966 and 1967:

State of New York County of Albany

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 11th day of March , 19 75, she served the within Notice of Decision (CHARRESTERMENTALEMENT): by (MARCHISER) mail upon H. King

Hedinger (MARCHISERMENTALEMENT) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. H. King Hedinger

31 McKinley Road

Makati, Rizal

Philippines

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

day of

. 19

Latherne D. Manly



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York

March 11, 1975

Mr. H. King Hedinger 31 McKinley Road Makati, Risal Philippines

Dear Mr. Hedinger:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(\$\varphi\$) 690 of the Tax Law, any • proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Robert

HEARING OFFICER

cc:

Enc.

Law Bureau

In the Matter of the Petition

of

H. KING HEDINGER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1966 and 1967.

H. King Hedinger petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1966 and 1967. At the request of the taxpayer, the case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file.

ISSUE

Was the taxpayer, who lived in the Philippines since 1953 to the present except for a portion of the years 1966 and 1967, a domiciliary of New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, H. King Hedinger, timely filed New York State income tax returns for the years 1966 and 1967.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income taxes for the years 1966 and 1967 was issued on March 16, 1970, against the taxpayer under File No. 89267952.
 - 3. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. The Income Tax Bureau asserted that the taxpayer was a domiciliary of New York and that he spent more than thirty days of each year in New York and that all of the taxpayer's income was taxable for each year.
- 5. The taxpayer left the United States for employment overseas in the Far East in 1949.



The taxpayer has lived in the Philippines since 1953 except for a period of time in New York in 1966 and 1967.

- 6. The taxpayer bought his own home in the Philippines in 1963 and paid Philippine income taxes until August 1, 1966.
- 7. On August 1, 1966, the taxpayer went to New York and worked there until the end of the year. He moved into a cooperative apartment on December 23, 1966, which he maintained until late 1967 when he disposed of it.
- 8. The taxpayer worked in New York until June 29, 1967, when he went overseas. He presently works and resides in the Philippines and pays income taxes there. The taxpayer spent less than 180 days in New York in 1967.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The taxpayer was domiciled in the Philippines and spent less than 180 days in New York during each of the years in issue.
- B. The taxpayer was a nonresident individual and his petition is sustained.
- C. It is determined that there are no deficiencies in income tax for the years 1966 and 1967.

DATED: Albany, New York

STATE TAX COMMISSION

March 11, 1975

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER