In the Matter of the Petition

of

LEO M. GOLDNER

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year(x) 1970

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the $20 th_{day}$ of May , 1975, she served the within

(Keptusentative xx) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Leo M. Goldner

Edgewood Drive
Port Chester, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

20th day of

Mav

19 7

etton

Janet mach



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

DATED:

Albany, New York

Mr. Leo M. Goldner Edgewood Drive Port Chester, New York 10571

Dear Mr. Goldner:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Meetstemet of Meetschauetse

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

LEO M. GOLDNER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Leo M. Goldner, Edgewood Drive, Port Chester, New York 10573, filed a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of a deficiency in personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970. (File No. 0-68321018.)

Said deficiency was asserted by notice issued June 24, 1974, under File No. 0-68321018 and is in the amount of \$332.14 plus interest of \$63.59 for a total of \$395.73.

In lieu of a hearing, petitioner submitted his case to the Commission on the file of the Income Tax Bureau.

Said file has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUES

The issues in this case are whether the expenses of a trip to Europe and certain entertainment expenses are deductible.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Mr. Goldner is a resident of Port Chester, New York.

He is a financial advisor.

- 2. In 1970, Mr. Goldner worked as an employee of a securities firm in New York City and also as an employee of Mount Vernon Associates, a partnership also located in New York.
- 3. Mr. Goldner incurred expenses of \$700.00 in a three-day trip to Holland on behalf of Mount Vernon Associates. During this trip, Mr. Goldner negotiated an investment advisory agreement.

 The Income Tax Bureau has withdrawn their objection to the disallowance of this amount.
- 4. Mr. Goldner incurred food and entertainment expenses on behalf of Mount Vernon Associates. When expenses could be attributed to a current client, Mount Vernon would reimburse Mr. Goldner and did so during 1970 for about \$300.00. When, however, the expenses were attributable to prospective clients, the firm would not reimburse Mr. Goldner. Mr. Goldner incurred such expenses in 1970 in the amount of \$850.00.
- 5. The deficiency notice in issue disallows a certain amount of medical expenses and interest expense which are not contested. It further disallows \$2,430.00 of miscellaneous deductions of which only \$1,550.00 is here contested.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The expenses of the trip to Holland are properly deductible.

The expenses for entertainment and food are deductible.

Petitioner could not have been reimbursed by his employer for such expenses.

The deficiency is erroneous in part and is redetermined to be \$186.73 plus interest to the date thereof of \$35.75 for a total of \$222.48. Such amount is due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

May 20, 1975

STATE TAX COMMISSION

•

COMMISSIONED

COMMISSIONER