POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED & BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ALAN D. and ESTRELLITA M. WOFFORD

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year(s) 1969.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

MARTHA FUNARO , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 5th day of April , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon ALAN D. and ESTRELLITA M. WOFFORD (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. & Mrs. Alan D. Wofford 2822 San Antonio Drive Walnut Creek, California 94598

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of

April

1974.

Jourtha Sunaco



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9. ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

DATED:

Albany, New York

April 5, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Alan D. Wofford 2822 San Antonio Drive Walnut Creek, California 94598

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Wofford:

Please take notice of the

DECISION

of

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK

SECRETARY TO

COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

Edward Rook Secretary to the State Tax Commission

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ALAN D. and ESTRELLITA M. WOFFORD

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1969.

Petitioners, Alan D. and Estrellita M. Wofford, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1969.

The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file.

The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., Counsel.

ISSUE

Did the taxpayers effect a change of resident status in 1969 when they left New York?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Alan D. and Estrellita M. Wofford, timely filed New York State combined income tax returns for the year ending December 31, 1969.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income tax for the year 1969 was issued on January 31, 1972, against the taxpayers under File No. 0-69865840.
 - 3. The taxpayers petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. The amount of New York State income tax for Estrellita M. Wofford is not in dispute.

- 5. Alan D. Wofford earned \$7,614.00 in New York State and he earned \$9,329.00 in Okinawa. New York State taxes were withheld in the amount of \$300.00.
- 6. The Income Tax Bureau asserted that Alan D. Wofford was a resident and domiciliary of New York State for the entire year of 1969 and that \$16,943.00 was his total New York income, less a full standard deduction of \$1,000.00 and a full \$600.00 exemption. The taxpayer claimed that only \$7,614.00 was New York income and he claimed a \$300.00 deduction (Estrellita M. Wofford claimed a \$283.00 deduction) plus a \$350.00 exemption.
- 7. The taxpayers moved to Okinawa in 1969. Alan D. Wofford left New York State on or about July 17, 1969. The taxpayers sold their car and turned in the license plates, sold their boat and had all their furniture shipped to Okinawa. The taxpayers left New York permanently, and paid income taxes to the Government of Ryukyu Islands and resided there. A future move by them, if any, would be to Singapore or California.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That petitioners Alan D. and Estrellita M. Wofford were resident individuals of New York State from January 1, 1969 until December 31, 1969, in accordance with the meaning and intent of Section 605 (a)(1) of the Tax Law, since they were domiciled in and spent more than 30 days in New York State during said period. They did not prove that they had a bona fide intention of making Okinawa their fixed and permanent home and, therefore, did not establish a new domicile during the period of time they were in Okinawa, in accordance with the meaning and intent of NYCRR 102.2 (d)(2).

B. That the petition of Alan D. and Estrellita M. Wofford is denied

and Notice of Deficiency issued January 31, 1972, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
April 5, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER