POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

WILBUR G. SILVERMAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article (s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year (s) 1970

State of New York County of Albany

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Wilbur G. Silverman
9400 West Bay Harbor Drive
Bay Harbor Islands, Florida 33154

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the **REPRESENTATIONS*

Df*) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (*REPRESENTATIONS***XEDE*) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of December , 1974.

AD-1.30 (1/74)



STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

Albany, New York

December 23, 1974

Mr. Wilbur G. Silverman 9400 West Bay Harbor Drive Bay Harbor Islands, Florida 33154

Dear Mr. Silverman:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (2) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILBUR G. SILVERMAN

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioner, Wilbur G. Silverman, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970.

The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file.

ISSUE

Whether petitioner, Wilbur G. Silverman, was entitled to a capital loss deduction for the year 1970.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Wilbur G. Silverman, timely filed New York State income tax nonresident returns for the year 1970.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income tax for the year 1970 was issued on January 28, 1974, against the taxpayer under File No. 0-53251506, disallowing a capital loss deduction hereinafter described.
- 3. The taxpayer petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. The taxpayer, an attorney, was a resident of New York State until December 15, 1968, when he moved to Florida. In November 1961, while he was a resident of New York, he loaned \$43,000.00 to Chardele Clothing Corporation, a New York corporation. The loan was never repaid.

5. In an effort to collect the loan, on January 11, 1967, taxpayer obtained a judgment against Chardele Clothing Corporation for the sum of \$53,713.83 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Queens County. On January 11, 1967, said judgment and execution was returned unsatisfied by the Sheriff of the City of New York, Queens County, as a result of the insolvency of Chardele Clothing Corporation. This loss has been carried over from year to year, by the taxpayer, since said date.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the loss in question was incurred while the taxpayer was a resident of the State of New York and was a result of a business transaction consummated within the State of New York.

 Therefore, the loss from the aforesaid capital transaction was properly reportable to New York State by the nonresident taxpayer.

 20 NYCRR 262.6.
- B. The taxpayer's petition is sustained. It is determined that there are no deficiencies against petitioner in either income tax or unincorporated business tax for the year 1970.

DATED: Albany, New York
December 23, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER